# NCACC
# County Map Book
## 2022




North Carolina
Association of
County Commissioners

# Table of Contents


4 Introduction

5 Acknowledgments

## Demographics

6 Projected Population Change by 2042

8 Median Age

10 Population Under Age 18

12 Population Aged 65+

14 Veteran Population

## Education and Health

16 Medicaid Population

18 Residents Without Health Insurance

20 Food Insecurity

22 Opioid Deaths

24 Opioid Prescriptions

26 NC Pre-K Enrollment

28 Charter School Enrollment

30 Local Education Funding Per Student

32 Teacher Salary Supplements

34 Opportunity Youth

36 Educational Attainment

## Economics

38 Computing Device Access

40 Internet Access

42 Children in Poverty

44 Per Capita Income

46 Residents Working in County

48 Solo Commuters

50 Unemployment Rate

52 Average Weekly Wage

54 Tax Levy Per Capita

56 Property Tax Rates

58 Taxable Property Per Capita

60 Local Option Sales Tax

# Introduction

**Welcome to the 2022 County Map Book!**

The North Carolina Association of County Commissioners is pleased to share this year's county data maps. The maps and data in this book highlight demographic, economic, health, and educational information from North Carolina's 100 counties. We hope this information will help provide context and promote analysis for decisions at the local level.

These categories and data indicators were selected based on research at the county level, recommendations from county officials and staff, and timely policy issues. This year we focused our efforts on refining the Map Book as a reference document. NCACC aims to provide support for all North Carolina county commissioners, and the County Map Book has always been one of the best ways for us to share county-level data. For 2022 we have aimed to improve the readability and ease-of-use for users. Some of these refinements are easy to see, such as the new size or the inclusion of extended data tables for the first time. Other changes are more subtle.

By decreasing the Map Book's size from our traditional legal size (8.5" x 14") to a standard print format (8.5" x 11"), this map collection fits as well in any bookbag or briefcase as it does on a reference shelf or coffee table. We hope that having the County Map Book in this convenient size will encourage its use in everyday topics.

Additionally, many commissioners requested more insight and access to the raw data we use to create our maps, and for that reason we've included an entire page of extended data for each map. In these tables we include all the indicator data for each county, as well as the prior year's data for comparison when possible. We hope that having a comparison to prior-year data will help users form a clearer understanding of where each county lies, as well as changing trends.

For the visualization of this year's collection of maps, we've unified the color schemes into a single gradient of light tan to dark green. Jurisdictions colored in dark green represent the highest levels of the individual map's indicator, while jurisdictions in light tan represent the lowest levels. Our intent is to create a common tie between the color scale and the indicators for each map, so that you will always understand the highs and lows as you move from map to map. *Note: the one exception to this color scheme is on our very first map, Projected Population Change, where population growth values are green and population decline values are tan.*

# Acknowledgments

**The 2022 County Map Book is a publication of the North Carolina Association of County Commissioners.** The majority of the data come from federal, state, and local sources, and each map includes a citation with the applicable reference.

We thank the organizations and resources that helped with the data collection process including the NC Department of Health and Human Services, NC Department of Public Instruction, NC Department of Revenue, NC Department of Commerce, The North Carolina State Demographer's Office in the Office of State Budget and Management, US Census Bureau, County Health Rankings, Carolina Demography, UNC Chapel Hill Injury Prevention Research Center, and county staff across the state.

This publication is intended for educational and research purposes. Reproduction for commercial purposes without the written permission of the NCACC is not permitted.

**Denise Canada**
NCACC Fiscal Policy and Research Director

**Phil Van Wagoner**
NCACC GIS Intern

## A Note from NCACC Staff

The County Map Book is an annual publication, and with each year we hope to incorporate feedback so that the publication can be more useful to you. In order to capture feedback from all readers of the Map Book, we have set up an online survey with prompts for comments, critiques, and questions, as well as ideas for maps or data in future versions of the publication. The survey can be accessed at www.ncacc.org/mapbooksurvey.

# Projected Population Change by 2042

This map shows the projected population change for each county between now and 2042.
Counties with the projected growth are shown in green, counties with projected loss in tan.
Data source: North Carolina State Demographer's Office.





Table ranked from highest growth to highest loss.

| Rank | County | Rank | County | Rank | County | Rank | County | Rank | County |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Currituck | 21 | Buncombe | 41 | Transylvania | 61 | Alleghany | 81 | Wilkes |
| 2 | Union | 22 | Granville | 42 | Carteret | 62 | Burke | 82 | Richmond |
| 3 | Brunswick | 23 | Onslow | 43 | Camden | 63 | Yadkin | 83 | Jones |
| 4 | Franklin | 24 | Dare | 44 | Madison | 64 | Alexander | 84 | Warren |
| 5 | Wake | 25 | Davie | 45 | Wilson | 65 | Stokes | 85 | Pamlico |
| 6 | Johnston | 26 | Macon | 46 | Stanly | 66 | Surry | 86 | Beaufort |
| 7 | Cabarrus | 27 | Clay | 47 | Jackson | 67 | Caldwell | 87 | Tyrrell |
| 8 | Iredell | 28 | Watauga | 48 | Rutherford | 68 | Craven | 88 | Bertie |
| 9 | Mecklenburg | 29 | Orange | 49 | Cleveland | 69 | Graham | 89 | Martin |
| 10 | Moore | 30 | Pitt | 50 | Swain | 70 | Vance | 90 | Washington |
| 11 | Chatham | 31 | Guilford | 51 | Pasquotank | 71 | Anson | 91 | Hertford |
| 12 | Harnett | 32 | Forsyth | 52 | Nash | 72 | Polk | 92 | Halifax |
| 13 | Pender | 33 | Catawba | 53 | Person | 73 | Wayne | 93 | Robeson |
| 14 | Durham | 34 | Cherokee | 54 | Chowan | 74 | Perquimans | 94 | Edgecombe |
| 15 | Hoke | 35 | Davidson | 55 | Cumberland | 75 | Sampson | 95 | Scotland |
| 16 | New Hanover | 36 | Gaston | 56 | Montgomery | 76 | Ashe | 96 | Northampton |
| 17 | Lincoln | 37 | Haywood | 57 | Rockingham | 77 | Mitchell | 97 | Hyde |
| 18 | Lee | 38 | Rowan | 58 | McDowell | 78 | Lenoir | 98 | Bladen |
| 19 | Alamance | 39 | Yancey | 59 | Greene | 79 | Caswell | 99 | Duplin |
| 20 | Henderson | 40 | Randolph | 60 | Avery | 80 | Gates | 100 | Columbus |

**Projected Growth**

- 23.0% to 67.0%
- 9.1% to 22.9%
- 0.1% to 9.0%
- -8.3% to 0.0%
- -36.6% to -8.4%

**North Carolina Association of County Commissioners**

Map Scale 1:3,750,000.
NCACC County Map Book, 2022. | 6

# Projected Population Change by 2042

This table shows the projected population change for each county between 2022 and 2042 and each county's growth during the prior 20-year period, from 2002 to 2022. It also shows each county's rank among the 100 counties; a rank of 1 indicates the greatest percentage increase over the specified 20-year time period. Source: North Carolina State Demographer's Office.

| | Next 20 years | | Past 20 years | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | % | Rank | % | Rank |
| Alamance | 23.3% | 19 | 28.7% | 21 |
| Alexander | -0.3% | 64 | 5.3% | 55 |
| Alleghany | 0.0% | 61 | 0.5% | 62 |
| Anson | -2.0% | 71 | -15.0% | 94 |
| Ashe | -4.6% | 76 | 6.2% | 50 |
| Avery | 0.0% | 60 | -0.2% | 65 |
| Beaufort | -15.1% | 86 | -3.4% | 73 |
| Bertie | -16.2% | 88 | -12.6% | 89 |
| Bladen | -27.9% | 98 | -14.4% | 91 |
| Brunswick | 46.4% | 3 | 82.4% | 1 |
| Buncombe | 22.9% | 21 | 30.5% | 20 |
| Burke | -0.2% | 62 | -3.2% | 72 |
| Cabarrus | 40.3% | 7 | 69.3% | 5 |
| Caldwell | -0.5% | 67 | 1.5% | 60 |
| Camden | 6.9% | 43 | 44.4% | 12 |
| Carteret | 7.8% | 42 | 11.8% | 42 |
| Caswell | -6.2% | 79 | -5.2% | 75 |
| Catawba | 15.8% | 33 | 12.1% | 41 |
| Chatham | 32.7% | 11 | 49.8% | 8 |
| Cherokee | 15.0% | 34 | 16.3% | 36 |
| Chowan | 0.2% | 54 | -6.3% | 79 |
| Clay | 20.2% | 27 | 21.6% | 32 |
| Cleveland | 4.2% | 49 | 4.7% | 57 |
| Columbus | -36.6% | 100 | -12.2% | 88 |
| Craven | -0.9% | 68 | 7.3% | 49 |
| Cumberland | 0.1% | 55 | 9.6% | 44 |
| Currituck | 67.0% | 1 | 55.3% | 7 |
| Dare | 21.7% | 24 | 17.6% | 35 |
| Davidson | 13.8% | 35 | 13.5% | 39 |
| Davie | 21.7% | 25 | 20.0% | 33 |
| Duplin | -30.3% | 99 | -10.5% | 87 |
| Durham | 28.9% | 14 | 43.6% | 13 |
| Edgecombe | -21.8% | 94 | -15.0% | 93 |
| Forsyth | 18.4% | 32 | 23.7% | 27 |
| Franklin | 45.9% | 4 | 42.6% | 14 |
| Gaston | 13.4% | 36 | 21.6% | 31 |
| Gates | -6.9% | 80 | -6.9% | 81 |
| Graham | -1.3% | 69 | -2.0% | 69 |
| Granville | 22.5% | 22 | 19.4% | 34 |
| Greene | 0.0% | 59 | 5.1% | 56 |
| Guilford | 19.2% | 31 | 28.6% | 22 |
| Halifax | -18.4% | 92 | -16.7% | 95 |
| Harnett | 31.3% | 12 | 42.3% | 15 |
| Haywood | 12.9% | 37 | 13.6% | 38 |
| Henderson | 23.0% | 20 | 27.7% | 24 |
| Hertford | -17.8% | 91 | -18.1% | 96 |
| Hoke | 28.1% | 15 | 47.4% | 10 |
| Hyde | -24.1% | 97 | -25.5% | 99 |
| Iredell | 39.4% | 8 | 49.3% | 9 |
| Jackson | 5.4% | 47 | 25.0% | 26 |
| Johnston | 42.1% | 6 | 74.8% | 3 |
| Jones | -10.0% | 83 | -13.0% | 90 |
| Lee | 24.0% | 18 | 28.4% | 23 |
| Lenoir | -5.8% | 78 | -8.3% | 83 |
| Lincoln | 25.4% | 17 | 33.9% | 18 |
| Macon | 20.8% | 26 | 22.8% | 29 |
| Madison | 6.7% | 44 | 7.8% | 47 |
| Martin | -16.6% | 89 | -14.4% | 92 |
| McDowell | 0.0% | 58 | 3.2% | 59 |
| Mecklenburg | 36.1% | 9 | 56.9% | 6 |
| Mitchell | -4.9% | 77 | -6.7% | 80 |
| Montgomery | 0.1% | 56 | -5.1% | 74 |
| Moore | 33.4% | 10 | 33.8% | 19 |
| Nash | 1.2% | 52 | 7.5% | 48 |
| New Hanover | 26.2% | 16 | 37.3% | 16 |
| Northampton | -23.6% | 96 | -24.5% | 98 |
| Onslow | 22.2% | 23 | 36.1% | 17 |
| Orange | 19.8% | 29 | 27.1% | 25 |
| Pamlico | -14.6% | 85 | -7.2% | 82 |
| Pasquotank | 2.1% | 51 | 14.9% | 37 |
| Pender | 30.5% | 13 | 45.5% | 11 |
| Perquimans | -2.9% | 74 | 9.4% | 46 |
| Person | 0.7% | 53 | 6.1% | 51 |
| Pitt | 19.4% | 30 | 22.4% | 30 |
| Polk | -2.1% | 72 | -0.8% | 67 |
| Randolph | 9.4% | 40 | 9.5% | 45 |
| Richmond | -8.7% | 82 | -8.9% | 84 |
| Robeson | -20.3% | 93 | -10.5% | 86 |
| Rockingham | 0.0% | 57 | -1.8% | 68 |
| Rowan | 11.4% | 38 | 12.5% | 40 |
| Rutherford | 5.3% | 48 | 0.4% | 63 |
| Sampson | -4.5% | 75 | -5.3% | 76 |
| Scotland | -22.2% | 95 | -6.0% | 78 |
| Stanly | 5.8% | 46 | 5.7% | 53 |
| Stokes | -0.3% | 65 | -2.3% | 70 |
| Surry | -0.4% | 66 | -0.7% | 66 |
| Swain | 3.8% | 50 | 5.8% | 52 |
| Transylvania | 9.0% | 41 | 10.4% | 43 |
| Tyrrell | -15.7% | 87 | -26.4% | 100 |
| Union | 49.4% | 2 | 78.3% | 2 |
| Vance | -1.7% | 70 | -5.6% | 77 |
| Wake | 44.3% | 5 | 73.5% | 4 |
| Warren | -14.3% | 84 | -9.8% | 85 |
| Washington | -17.0% | 90 | -21.7% | 97 |
| Watauga | 19.8% | 28 | 23.0% | 28 |
| Wayne | -2.7% | 73 | 0.5% | 61 |
| Wilkes | -8.4% | 81 | -2.9% | 71 |
| Wilson | 6.3% | 45 | 3.7% | 58 |
| Yadkin | -0.2% | 63 | 0.3% | 64 |
| Yancey | 10.8% | 39 | 5.3% | 54 |
| | | | | |
| **Statewide** | **21.3%** | | **27.7%** | |

North Carolina Association of County Commissioners

# Median Age

This map shows the median age for each county. The median age for a county is the age at which half of the population is older, and half of the population is younger. For 2022, the median age of North Carolinians is estimated to be 39.6 years. The US median age in 2020 was 38.2 years. Higher median ages are shown in green, lower in tan.
Data source: North Carolina State Demographer; US Census Bureau.





Table ranked from highest median age to lowest.

| Rank | County | Rank | County | Rank | County | Rank | County | Rank | County |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Brunswick | 21 | Madison | 41 | Burke | 61 | Richmond | 81 | Vance |
| 2 | Cherokee | 22 | Hyde | 42 | Buncombe | 62 | Scotland | 82 | Northampton |
| 3 | Clay | 23 | Halifax | 43 | Chowan | 63 | Cleveland | 83 | Wake |
| 4 | Polk | 24 | Avery | 44 | Yadkin | 64 | Randolph | 84 | Jackson |
| 5 | Transylvania | 25 | Stokes | 45 | Warren | 65 | Montgomery | 85 | Forsyth |
| 6 | Pamlico | 26 | Tyrrell | 46 | Jones | 66 | Gaston | 86 | Bladen |
| 7 | Chatham | 27 | Caswell | 47 | Person | 67 | Rowan | 87 | Wayne |
| 8 | Macon | 28 | Davie | 48 | Rutherford | 68 | Sampson | 88 | Guilford |
| 9 | Carteret | 29 | Edgecombe | 49 | Columbus | 69 | Anson | 89 | Pasquotank |
| 10 | Henderson | 30 | Lincoln | 50 | Camden | 70 | Bertie | 90 | Craven |
| 11 | Haywood | 31 | Mitchell | 51 | Nash | 71 | Swain | 91 | Mecklenburg |
| 12 | Ashe | 32 | Rockingham | 52 | Surry | 72 | Hertford | 92 | Orange |
| 13 | Martin | 33 | Graham | 53 | Catawba | 73 | Alamance | 93 | Harnett |
| 14 | Washington | 34 | McDowell | 54 | Pender | 74 | New Hanover | 94 | Durham |
| 15 | Perquimans | 35 | Alexander | 55 | Davidson | 75 | Union | 95 | Duplin |
| 16 | Moore | 36 | Caldwell | 56 | Stanly | 76 | Johnston | 96 | Hoke |
| 17 | Alleghany | 37 | Currituck | 57 | Lenoir | 77 | Cabarrus | 97 | Cumberland |
| 18 | Dare | 38 | Gates | 58 | Greene | 78 | Wilson | 98 | Pitt |
| 19 | Beaufort | 39 | Granville | 59 | Franklin | 79 | Lee | 99 | Watauga |
| 20 | Yancey | 40 | Wilkes | 60 | Iredell | 80 | Robeson | 100 | Onslow |

**North Carolina Association of County Commissioners**

Map Scale 1:3,750,000.
NCACC County Map Book, 2022. | 8

# Median Age

This table shows the median age for each county's population in 2022 and 2021 and each county's rank among the 100 counties. A rank of 1 indicates the highest median age. The "median age" for a county is the age at which half of the population is older, and half of the population is younger. Source: North Carolina State Demographer's Office.

| | 2022 | | 2021 | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Age | Rank | Age | Rank |
| Alamance | 39.8 | 73 | 39.8 | 73 |
| Alexander | 44.1 | 35 | 43.9 | 36 |
| Alleghany | 46.8 | 17 | 47.1 | 16 |
| Anson | 40.5 | 69 | 40.6 | 69 |
| Ashe | 48.1 | 12 | 48.0 | 12 |
| Avery | 45.6 | 24 | 45.5 | 25 |
| Beaufort | 46.1 | 19 | 46.1 | 20 |
| Bertie | 40.5 | 70 | 40.4 | 70 |
| Bladen | 37.9 | 86 | 38.2 | 84 |
| Brunswick | 52.5 | 1 | 52.2 | 1 |
| Buncombe | 43.5 | 42 | 43.2 | 45 |
| Burke | 43.6 | 41 | 43.6 | 40 |
| Cabarrus | 39.3 | 77 | 39.1 | 79 |
| Caldwell | 43.9 | 36 | 43.9 | 37 |
| Camden | 42.6 | 50 | 42.4 | 52 |
| Carteret | 48.8 | 9 | 48.8 | 9 |
| Caswell | 45.0 | 27 | 45.1 | 27 |
| Catawba | 42.5 | 53 | 42.3 | 55 |
| Chatham | 49.9 | 7 | 49.5 | 8 |
| Cherokee | 51.8 | 2 | 51.6 | 2 |
| Chowan | 43.2 | 43 | 43.6 | 42 |
| Clay | 51.2 | 3 | 51.1 | 3 |
| Cleveland | 41.5 | 63 | 41.6 | 62 |
| Columbus | 42.6 | 49 | 42.5 | 51 |
| Craven | 37.5 | 90 | 37.4 | 90 |
| Cumberland | 33.4 | 97 | 33.2 | 97 |
| Currituck | 43.9 | 37 | 43.8 | 38 |
| Dare | 46.4 | 18 | 46.3 | 18 |
| Davidson | 42.3 | 55 | 42.3 | 53 |
| Davie | 44.9 | 28 | 44.8 | 28 |
| Duplin | 34.5 | 95 | 34.9 | 94 |
| Durham | 35.0 | 94 | 34.8 | 95 |
| Edgecombe | 44.9 | 29 | 44.7 | 29 |
| Forsyth | 38.0 | 85 | 38.0 | 85 |
| Franklin | 42.0 | 59 | 41.9 | 58 |
| Gaston | 40.9 | 66 | 40.8 | 66 |
| Gates | 43.8 | 38 | 44.3 | 34 |
| Graham | 44.3 | 33 | 44.6 | 31 |
| Granville | 43.8 | 39 | 43.6 | 41 |
| Greene | 42.0 | 58 | 41.7 | 60 |
| Guilford | 37.8 | 88 | 37.7 | 87 |
| Halifax | 45.7 | 23 | 45.7 | 22 |
| Harnett | 35.9 | 93 | 35.8 | 93 |
| Haywood | 48.4 | 11 | 48.4 | 11 |
| Henderson | 48.6 | 10 | 48.4 | 10 |
| Hertford | 39.9 | 72 | 40.0 | 72 |
| Hoke | 34.4 | 96 | 34.6 | 96 |
| Hyde | 45.8 | 22 | 45.5 | 24 |
| Iredell | 42.0 | 60 | 41.8 | 59 |
| Jackson | 38.2 | 84 | 37.9 | 86 |
| Johnston | 39.4 | 76 | 39.2 | 78 |
| Jones | 43.0 | 46 | 43.1 | 46 |
| Lee | 39.2 | 79 | 39.0 | 81 |
| Lenoir | 42.1 | 57 | 42.2 | 56 |
| Lincoln | 44.5 | 30 | 44.4 | 33 |
| Macon | 49.6 | 8 | 49.7 | 7 |
| Madison | 46.0 | 21 | 45.9 | 21 |
| Martin | 47.6 | 13 | 47.7 | 14 |
| McDowell | 44.2 | 34 | 44.1 | 35 |
| Mecklenburg | 37.3 | 91 | 37.0 | 91 |
| Mitchell | 44.5 | 31 | 44.7 | 30 |
| Montgomery | 41.2 | 65 | 41.3 | 65 |
| Moore | 46.9 | 16 | 46.8 | 17 |
| Nash | 42.6 | 51 | 42.6 | 50 |
| New Hanover | 39.6 | 74 | 39.4 | 74 |
| Northampton | 38.9 | 82 | 39.1 | 80 |
| Onslow | 25.7 | 100 | 25.8 | 100 |
| Orange | 37.1 | 92 | 36.7 | 92 |
| Pamlico | 50.4 | 6 | 50.4 | 6 |
| Pasquotank | 37.7 | 89 | 37.5 | 89 |
| Pender | 42.4 | 54 | 42.3 | 54 |
| Perquimans | 47.0 | 15 | 47.3 | 15 |
| Person | 43.0 | 47 | 43.0 | 47 |
| Pitt | 32.9 | 98 | 32.7 | 98 |
| Polk | 50.8 | 4 | 50.8 | 5 |
| Randolph | 41.4 | 64 | 41.4 | 64 |
| Richmond | 41.9 | 61 | 41.7 | 61 |
| Robeson | 39.2 | 80 | 38.9 | 82 |
| Rockingham | 44.4 | 32 | 44.5 | 32 |
| Rowan | 40.9 | 67 | 40.7 | 68 |
| Rutherford | 42.9 | 48 | 42.9 | 48 |
| Sampson | 40.8 | 68 | 40.8 | 67 |
| Scotland | 41.7 | 62 | 41.4 | 63 |
| Stanly | 42.2 | 56 | 42.2 | 57 |
| Stokes | 45.6 | 25 | 45.5 | 23 |
| Surry | 42.5 | 52 | 42.7 | 49 |
| Swain | 40.1 | 71 | 40.2 | 71 |
| Transylvania | 50.8 | 5 | 50.9 | 4 |
| Tyrrell | 45.4 | 26 | 45.3 | 26 |
| Union | 39.4 | 75 | 39.3 | 75 |
| Vance | 39.0 | 81 | 39.2 | 77 |
| Wake | 38.5 | 83 | 38.2 | 83 |
| Warren | 43.2 | 45 | 43.2 | 44 |
| Washington | 47.6 | 14 | 47.9 | 13 |
| Watauga | 31.1 | 99 | 31.3 | 99 |
| Wayne | 37.8 | 87 | 37.7 | 88 |
| Wilkes | 43.6 | 40 | 43.8 | 39 |
| Wilson | 39.3 | 78 | 39.2 | 76 |
| Yadkin | 43.2 | 44 | 43.2 | 43 |
| Yancey | 46.0 | 20 | 46.2 | 19 |
| **Statewide** | **39.6** | | **39.4** | |

North Carolina Association of County Commissioners

# Population Under Age 18

This map shows the percentage of each county's population under age 18 in 2022. Counties where more of the population is under age 18 are shown in green, counties where a lesser share is under age 18 are shown in tan.
Data source: North Carolina State Demographer's Office.





Table ranked from highest percentage to lowest.

| Rank | County | Rank | County | Rank | County | Rank | County | Rank | County |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Onslow | 21 | Pitt | 41 | Hertford | 61 | Wilkes | 81 | Buncombe |
| 2 | Hoke | 22 | Pasquotank | 42 | Nash | 62 | McDowell | 82 | Haywood |
| 3 | Duplin | 23 | Forsyth | 43 | Catawba | 63 | Currituck | 83 | Gates |
| 4 | Cumberland | 24 | Washington | 44 | Surry | 64 | Lincoln | 84 | Stokes |
| 5 | Harnett | 25 | Lenoir | 45 | Stanly | 65 | Rockingham | 85 | Ashe |
| 6 | Craven | 26 | Wake | 46 | Davidson | 66 | Caldwell | 86 | Hyde |
| 7 | Wayne | 27 | Montgomery | 47 | Greene | 67 | Tyrrell | 87 | Caswell |
| 8 | Sampson | 28 | Union | 48 | Chowan | 68 | Alexander | 88 | Chatham |
| 9 | Swain | 29 | Gaston | 49 | Moore | 69 | Davie | 89 | Madison |
| 10 | Edgecombe | 30 | Rowan | 50 | Martin | 70 | Granville | 90 | Orange |
| 11 | Lee | 31 | Robeson | 51 | Person | 71 | Mitchell | 91 | Jackson |
| 12 | Scotland | 32 | Graham | 52 | Iredell | 72 | Camden | 92 | Clay |
| 13 | Vance | 33 | Anson | 53 | Yadkin | 73 | Yancey | 93 | Carteret |
| 14 | Bladen | 34 | Alamance | 54 | Rutherford | 74 | Warren | 94 | Cherokee |
| 15 | Durham | 35 | Halifax | 55 | Jones | 75 | Perquimans | 95 | Transylvania |
| 16 | Mecklenburg | 36 | Cleveland | 56 | Northampton | 76 | New Hanover | 96 | Avery |
| 17 | Johnston | 37 | Randolph | 57 | Bertie | 77 | Henderson | 97 | Polk |
| 18 | Cabarrus | 38 | Columbus | 58 | Pender | 78 | Dare | 98 | Brunswick |
| 19 | Wilson | 39 | Franklin | 59 | Burke | 79 | Macon | 99 | Pamlico |
| 20 | Richmond | 40 | Guilford | 60 | Beaufort | 80 | Alleghany | 100 | Watauga |

12% 31%

**North Carolina Association of County Commissioners**

Map Scale 1:3,750,000.
NCACC County Map Book, 2022. | 10

# Population Under Age 18

This table shows the percentage of each county's population under age 18 in 2022 and in 2021 and each county's rank among the 100 counties; a rank of 1 indicates the highest density of residents under age 18. Source: North Carolina State Demographer's Office.

| | 2022 | | 2021 | | | 2022 | | 2021 | | | 2022 | | 2021 | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | % | Rank | % | Rank | | % | Rank | % | Rank | | % | Rank | % | Rank |
| Alamance | 21.0% | 34 | 21.2% | 33 | Graham | 21.2% | 32 | 21.3% | 32 | Polk | 15.0% | 97 | 15.2% | 97 |
| Alexander | 18.6% | 68 | 18.8% | 68 | Granville | 18.3% | 70 | 18.6% | 70 | Randolph | 20.8% | 37 | 21.1% | 35 |
| Alleghany | 17.4% | 80 | 17.3% | 85 | Greene | 20.2% | 47 | 20.4% | 46 | Richmond | 22.4% | 20 | 22.4% | 20 |
| Anson | 21.0% | 33 | 21.1% | 34 | Guilford | 20.5% | 40 | 20.7% | 40 | Robeson | 21.2% | 31 | 21.5% | 29 |
| Ashe | 17.0% | 85 | 17.2% | 86 | Halifax | 20.9% | 35 | 20.9% | 37 | Rockingham | 19.0% | 65 | 19.1% | 65 |
| Avery | 15.1% | 96 | 15.2% | 98 | Harnett | 25.6% | 5 | 25.8% | 5 | Rowan | 21.2% | 30 | 21.5% | 30 |
| Beaufort | 19.5% | 60 | 19.6% | 60 | Haywood | 17.3% | 82 | 17.4% | 81 | Rutherford | 19.8% | 54 | 19.9% | 54 |
| Bertie | 19.6% | 57 | 19.6% | 58 | Henderson | 17.6% | 77 | 17.8% | 77 | Sampson | 24.2% | 8 | 24.3% | 8 |
| Bladen | 22.8% | 14 | 22.8% | 17 | Hertford | 20.4% | 41 | 20.6% | 42 | Scotland | 23.0% | 12 | 23.0% | 12 |
| Brunswick | 15.0% | 98 | 15.3% | 96 | Hoke | 30.5% | 2 | 30.9% | 1 | Stanly | 20.2% | 45 | 20.4% | 47 |
| Buncombe | 17.3% | 81 | 17.6% | 79 | Hyde | 17.0% | 86 | 16.9% | 89 | Stokes | 17.2% | 84 | 17.3% | 83 |
| Burke | 19.5% | 59 | 19.6% | 59 | Iredell | 19.9% | 52 | 20.2% | 48 | Surry | 20.3% | 44 | 20.5% | 43 |
| Cabarrus | 22.5% | 18 | 22.9% | 16 | Jackson | 16.3% | 91 | 16.4% | 91 | Swain | 23.1% | 9 | 23.2% | 11 |
| Caldwell | 19.0% | 66 | 19.1% | 66 | Johnston | 22.6% | 17 | 23.0% | 13 | Transylvania | 15.8% | 95 | 15.9% | 95 |
| Camden | 18.2% | 72 | 18.5% | 71 | Jones | 19.7% | 55 | 19.7% | 56 | Tyrrell | 18.9% | 67 | 18.8% | 67 |
| Carteret | 16.2% | 93 | 16.4% | 92 | Lee | 23.1% | 11 | 23.4% | 9 | Union | 21.4% | 28 | 21.9% | 25 |
| Caswell | 16.9% | 87 | 17.1% | 87 | Lenoir | 21.7% | 25 | 21.8% | 26 | Vance | 23.0% | 13 | 23.0% | 14 |
| Catawba | 20.4% | 43 | 20.6% | 41 | Lincoln | 19.0% | 64 | 19.4% | 62 | Wake | 21.5% | 26 | 21.9% | 24 |
| Chatham | 16.9% | 88 | 17.3% | 84 | Macon | 17.4% | 79 | 17.5% | 80 | Warren | 17.9% | 74 | 18.0% | 76 |
| Cherokee | 15.9% | 94 | 16.0% | 94 | Madison | 16.7% | 89 | 17.0% | 88 | Washington | 21.8% | 24 | 21.7% | 27 |
| Chowan | 20.1% | 48 | 20.1% | 50 | Martin | 20.0% | 50 | 20.1% | 51 | Watauga | 12.0% | 100 | 12.3% | 100 |
| Clay | 16.2% | 92 | 16.2% | 93 | McDowell | 19.1% | 62 | 19.2% | 64 | Wayne | 24.7% | 7 | 24.8% | 7 |
| Cleveland | 20.9% | 36 | 21.0% | 36 | Mecklenburg | 22.6% | 16 | 22.9% | 15 | Wilkes | 19.4% | 61 | 19.5% | 61 |
| Columbus | 20.6% | 38 | 20.8% | 39 | Mitchell | 18.3% | 71 | 18.2% | 72 | Wilson | 22.5% | 19 | 22.6% | 19 |
| Craven | 25.4% | 6 | 25.4% | 6 | Montgomery | 21.4% | 27 | 21.6% | 28 | Yadkin | 19.8% | 53 | 19.9% | 53 |
| Cumberland | 25.9% | 4 | 25.9% | 4 | Moore | 20.1% | 49 | 20.2% | 49 | Yancey | 18.0% | 73 | 18.0% | 75 |
| Currituck | 19.1% | 63 | 19.3% | 63 | Nash | 20.4% | 42 | 20.5% | 45 | | | | | |
| Dare | 17.5% | 78 | 17.8% | 78 | New Hanover | 17.8% | 76 | 18.1% | 73 | **Statewide** | **21.2%** | | **21.4%** | |
| Davidson | 20.2% | 46 | 20.5% | 44 | Northampton | 19.6% | 56 | 19.7% | 57 | | | | | |
| Davie | 18.5% | 69 | 18.7% | 69 | Onslow | 30.9% | 1 | 30.8% | 2 | | | | | |
| Duplin | 27.4% | 3 | 27.2% | 3 | Orange | 16.5% | 90 | 16.9% | 90 | | | | | |
| Durham | 22.7% | 15 | 22.7% | 18 | Pamlico | 14.7% | 99 | 14.8% | 99 | | | | | |
| Edgecombe | 23.1% | 10 | 23.3% | 10 | Pasquotank | 22.1% | 22 | 22.3% | 22 | | | | | |
| Forsyth | 21.9% | 23 | 22.1% | 23 | Pender | 19.6% | 58 | 19.7% | 55 | | | | | |
| Franklin | 20.6% | 39 | 20.8% | 38 | Perquimans | 17.9% | 75 | 18.1% | 74 | | | | | |
| Gaston | 21.3% | 29 | 21.5% | 31 | Person | 20.0% | 51 | 20.1% | 52 | | | | | |
| Gates | 17.2% | 83 | 17.4% | 82 | Pitt | 22.2% | 21 | 22.3% | 21 | | | | | |

North Carolina Association of County Commissioners

# Population Aged 65+

This map shows the percentage of each county's population aged 65 or older in 2022. Counties where more of the population is 65 or older are shown in green, counties where a lesser share is age 65 or over are shown in tan. Data source: North Carolina State Demographer's Office.





Table ranked from highest to lowest.

| Rank | County | Rank | County | Rank | County | Rank | County | Rank | County |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Transylvania | 21 | Chowan | 41 | Burke | 61 | Catawba | 81 | Currituck |
| 2 | Brunswick | 22 | Warren | 42 | Rockingham | 62 | Anson | 82 | Wayne |
| 3 | Clay | 23 | Madison | 43 | Lenoir | 63 | Sampson | 83 | Iredell |
| 4 | Cherokee | 24 | Mitchell | 44 | Hertford | 64 | Lincoln | 84 | Gaston |
| 5 | Polk | 25 | Jones | 45 | Alexander | 65 | Vance | 85 | Forsyth |
| 6 | Pamlico | 26 | Tyrrell | 46 | Jackson | 66 | Richmond | 86 | Pasquotank |
| 7 | Macon | 27 | Dare | 47 | Person | 67 | Davidson | 87 | Guilford |
| 8 | Perquimans | 28 | Hyde | 48 | Caldwell | 68 | Rowan | 88 | Orange |
| 9 | Henderson | 29 | Halifax | 49 | Bertie | 69 | Randolph | 89 | Duplin |
| 10 | Chatham | 30 | Edgecombe | 50 | Columbus | 70 | New Hanover | 90 | Pitt |
| 11 | Washington | 31 | Caswell | 51 | Northampton | 71 | Granville | 91 | Johnston |
| 12 | Moore | 32 | Gates | 52 | Yadkin | 72 | Franklin | 92 | Cumberland |
| 13 | Carteret | 33 | Avery | 53 | Scotland | 73 | Wilson | 93 | Union |
| 14 | Alleghany | 34 | Montgomery | 54 | Nash | 74 | Greene | 94 | Cabarrus |
| 15 | Ashe | 35 | Wilkes | 55 | Stanly | 75 | Craven | 95 | Harnett |
| 16 | Haywood | 36 | Davie | 56 | Surry | 76 | Camden | 96 | Durham |
| 17 | Beaufort | 37 | McDowell | 57 | Swain | 77 | Alamance | 97 | Wake |
| 18 | Martin | 38 | Rutherford | 58 | Pender | 78 | Watauga | 98 | Mecklenburg |
| 19 | Yancey | 39 | Buncombe | 59 | Bladen | 79 | Lee | 99 | Hoke |
| 20 | Graham | 40 | Stokes | 60 | Cleveland | 80 | Robeson | 100 | Onslow |

**North Carolina Association of County Commissioners**

Map Scale 1:3,750,000.

# Population Aged 65+

This table shows the percentage of each county's population aged 65 or older in 2022 and in 2021 and each county's rank among the 100 counties. A rank of 1 indicates the highest concentration of residents age 65 or higher. Source: North Carolina State Demographer's Office.

| | 2022 | | 2021 | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | % | Rank | % | Rank |
| Alamance | 18.3% | 77 | 18.0% | 76 |
| Alexander | 21.3% | 45 | 20.9% | 45 |
| Alleghany | 26.9% | 14 | 26.7% | 13 |
| Anson | 19.4% | 62 | 19.2% | 61 |
| Ashe | 26.8% | 15 | 26.5% | 15 |
| Avery | 22.6% | 33 | 22.3% | 32 |
| Beaufort | 26.1% | 17 | 25.8% | 17 |
| Bertie | 20.8% | 49 | 20.6% | 48 |
| Bladen | 19.8% | 59 | 19.8% | 58 |
| Brunswick | 32.3% | 2 | 31.8% | 3 |
| Buncombe | 21.8% | 39 | 21.4% | 39 |
| Burke | 21.7% | 41 | 21.3% | 40 |
| Cabarrus | 14.2% | 94 | 13.9% | 93 |
| Caldwell | 20.8% | 48 | 20.6% | 49 |
| Camden | 18.5% | 76 | 17.9% | 78 |
| Carteret | 27.2% | 13 | 26.7% | 14 |
| Caswell | 23.2% | 31 | 22.7% | 31 |
| Catawba | 19.5% | 61 | 19.1% | 62 |
| Chatham | 28.2% | 10 | 27.6% | 10 |
| Cherokee | 31.7% | 4 | 31.4% | 4 |
| Chowan | 25.2% | 21 | 25.1% | 21 |
| Clay | 32.1% | 3 | 31.8% | 2 |
| Cleveland | 19.5% | 60 | 19.2% | 60 |
| Columbus | 20.8% | 50 | 20.4% | 51 |
| Craven | 18.5% | 75 | 18.3% | 71 |
| Cumberland | 14.3% | 92 | 13.9% | 92 |
| Currituck | 17.7% | 81 | 17.3% | 81 |
| Dare | 23.9% | 27 | 23.4% | 27 |
| Davidson | 19.1% | 67 | 18.7% | 67 |
| Davie | 22.1% | 36 | 21.7% | 37 |
| Duplin | 14.8% | 89 | 15.1% | 89 |
| Durham | 13.3% | 96 | 13.0% | 96 |
| Edgecombe | 23.3% | 30 | 22.7% | 30 |
| Forsyth | 17.0% | 85 | 16.7% | 85 |
| Franklin | 18.6% | 72 | 18.3% | 72 |
| Gaston | 17.2% | 84 | 16.9% | 83 |
| Gates | 22.8% | 32 | 22.2% | 33 |
| Graham | 25.4% | 20 | 25.5% | 18 |
| Granville | 18.7% | 71 | 18.1% | 74 |
| Greene | 18.6% | 74 | 18.0% | 75 |
| Guilford | 16.6% | 87 | 16.2% | 87 |
| Halifax | 23.3% | 29 | 22.9% | 29 |
| Harnett | 14.0% | 95 | 13.8% | 95 |
| Haywood | 26.7% | 16 | 26.4% | 16 |
| Henderson | 28.3% | 9 | 28.0% | 9 |
| Hertford | 21.4% | 44 | 20.8% | 47 |
| Hoke | 11.3% | 99 | 11.0% | 99 |
| Hyde | 23.5% | 28 | 22.9% | 28 |
| Iredell | 17.2% | 83 | 16.9% | 84 |
| Jackson | 21.2% | 46 | 20.9% | 46 |
| Johnston | 14.3% | 91 | 14.0% | 91 |
| Jones | 24.5% | 25 | 24.0% | 25 |
| Lee | 18.1% | 79 | 17.8% | 79 |
| Lenoir | 21.6% | 43 | 21.2% | 43 |
| Lincoln | 19.3% | 64 | 18.8% | 66 |
| Macon | 30.0% | 7 | 29.9% | 7 |
| Madison | 24.6% | 23 | 24.3% | 24 |
| Martin | 26.1% | 18 | 25.5% | 19 |
| McDowell | 22.1% | 37 | 21.8% | 36 |
| Mecklenburg | 12.6% | 98 | 12.2% | 98 |
| Mitchell | 24.5% | 24 | 24.3% | 23 |
| Montgomery | 22.2% | 34 | 21.9% | 34 |
| Moore | 27.4% | 12 | 27.2% | 11 |
| Nash | 20.4% | 54 | 20.0% | 57 |
| New Hanover | 18.7% | 70 | 18.5% | 70 |
| Northampton | 20.8% | 51 | 20.9% | 44 |
| Onslow | 10.3% | 100 | 10.1% | 100 |
| Orange | 16.3% | 88 | 15.8% | 88 |
| Pamlico | 30.5% | 6 | 30.1% | 6 |
| Pasquotank | 17.0% | 86 | 16.6% | 86 |
| Pender | 20.0% | 58 | 19.8% | 59 |
| Perquimans | 28.4% | 8 | 28.1% | 8 |
| Person | 21.1% | 47 | 20.5% | 50 |
| Pitt | 14.6% | 90 | 14.2% | 90 |
| Polk | 30.7% | 5 | 30.4% | 5 |
| Randolph | 18.9% | 69 | 18.5% | 69 |
| Richmond | 19.2% | 66 | 18.9% | 65 |
| Robeson | 17.9% | 80 | 17.4% | 80 |
| Rockingham | 21.7% | 42 | 21.3% | 41 |
| Rowan | 19.1% | 68 | 18.7% | 68 |
| Rutherford | 21.8% | 38 | 21.6% | 38 |
| Sampson | 19.4% | 63 | 19.1% | 63 |
| Scotland | 20.5% | 53 | 20.0% | 56 |
| Stanly | 20.4% | 55 | 20.1% | 54 |
| Stokes | 21.7% | 40 | 21.2% | 42 |
| Surry | 20.3% | 56 | 20.1% | 53 |
| Swain | 20.1% | 57 | 20.1% | 55 |
| Transylvania | 32.7% | 1 | 32.4% | 1 |
| Tyrrell | 23.9% | 26 | 23.6% | 26 |
| Union | 14.3% | 93 | 13.8% | 94 |
| Vance | 19.2% | 65 | 19.0% | 64 |
| Wake | 13.0% | 97 | 12.6% | 97 |
| Warren | 24.9% | 22 | 25.0% | 22 |
| Washington | 27.7% | 11 | 27.1% | 12 |
| Watauga | 18.2% | 78 | 18.0% | 77 |
| Wayne | 17.4% | 82 | 17.1% | 82 |
| Wilkes | 22.1% | 35 | 21.9% | 35 |
| Wilson | 18.6% | 73 | 18.3% | 73 |
| Yadkin | 20.6% | 52 | 20.4% | 52 |
| Yancey | 25.5% | 19 | 25.4% | 20 |
| **Statewide** | **17.5%** | | **17.2%** | |

North Carolina Association of County Commissioners

# Veteran Population

This map shows the percentage of each county's residents who are military veterans. In creating this data, the US Department of Veterans Affairs generally excludes anyone who enlisted within the last 40 years and who did not complete their minimum service requirement, which is typically 2 years. Counties where veterans make up a greater share of the population are shown in green, counties with fewer veterans as a share of population in tan.
Data source: US Department of Veterans Affairs; North Carolina State Demographer's Office.





Table ranked from highest population to lowest.

| Rank | County | Rank | County | Rank | County | Rank | County | Rank | County |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Cumberland | 21 | Macon | 41 | McDowell | 61 | Ashe | 81 | Yancey |
| 2 | Onslow | 22 | Haywood | 42 | Pitt | 62 | Caldwell | 82 | Wilkes |
| 3 | Craven | 23 | Northampton | 43 | Swain | 63 | Alexander | 83 | Nash |
| 4 | Carteret | 24 | Pender | 44 | Washington | 64 | Rowan | 84 | Watauga |
| 5 | Perquimans | 25 | Clay | 45 | Jackson | 65 | Mitchell | 85 | Iredell |
| 6 | Pamlico | 26 | Gates | 46 | Davie | 66 | Yadkin | 86 | Surry |
| 7 | Hoke | 27 | Henderson | 47 | Madison | 67 | Sampson | 87 | Davidson |
| 8 | Camden | 28 | Alleghany | 48 | Burke | 68 | Vance | 88 | Hyde |
| 9 | Tyrrell | 29 | Chowan | 49 | Anson | 69 | Hertford | 89 | Guilford |
| 10 | Brunswick | 30 | Beaufort | 50 | Stokes | 70 | Forsyth | 90 | Johnston |
| 11 | Wayne | 31 | Lenoir | 51 | Graham | 71 | Edgecombe | 91 | Franklin |
| 12 | Currituck | 32 | New Hanover | 52 | Wilson | 72 | Avery | 92 | Cabarrus |
| 13 | Transylvania | 33 | Columbus | 53 | Buncombe | 73 | Rockingham | 93 | Chatham |
| 14 | Polk | 34 | Bladen | 54 | Montgomery | 74 | Cleveland | 94 | Granville |
| 15 | Pasquotank | 35 | Warren | 55 | Rutherford | 75 | Gaston | 95 | Durham |
| 16 | Moore | 36 | Richmond | 56 | Halifax | 76 | Person | 96 | Wake |
| 17 | Cherokee | 37 | Duplin | 57 | Catawba | 77 | Lincoln | 97 | Greene |
| 18 | Jones | 38 | Scotland | 58 | Caswell | 78 | Randolph | 98 | Union |
| 19 | Dare | 39 | Martin | 59 | Bertie | 79 | Stanly | 99 | Mecklenburg |
| 20 | Harnett | 40 | Lee | 60 | Robeson | 80 | Alamance | 100 | Orange |

**Veteran Population**

- 10.4% - 14.9%
- 7.7% - 10.3%
- 6.2% - 7.6%
- 5.3% - 6.1%
- 3.7% - 5.2%

**North Carolina Association of County Commissioners**

Map Scale 1:3,750,000.
NCACC County Map Book, 2022. | 14

# Veteran Population

This table shows the percentage of each county's residents who were military veterans as of 2022 and 2021 and each county's rank among the 100 counties. A rank of 1 indicates the highest percentage of veterans. This data generally excludes veterans who enlisted in the last 40 years and did not complete a min. service requirement (typically 2 years). Source: US Dept. of Veterans Affairs; NC State Demographer's Office.

| | 2022 | | 2021 | | | 2022 | | 2021 | | | 2022 | | 2021 | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | % | Rank | % | Rank | | % | Rank | % | Rank | | % | Rank | % | Rank |
| Alamance | 5.6% | 80 | 5.7% | 80 | Graham | 6.4% | 51 | 6.6% | 49 | Polk | 9.3% | 14 | 9.3% | 17 |
| Alexander | 6.1% | 63 | 6.2% | 62 | Granville | 5.0% | 94 | 5.1% | 94 | Randolph | 5.7% | 78 | 5.9% | 78 |
| Alleghany | 7.7% | 28 | 7.8% | 29 | Greene | 4.5% | 97 | 4.5% | 98 | Richmond | 7.0% | 36 | 7.1% | 35 |
| Anson | 6.5% | 49 | 6.6% | 50 | Guilford | 5.2% | 89 | 5.3% | 89 | Robeson | 6.2% | 60 | 6.2% | 63 |
| Ashe | 6.1% | 61 | 6.2% | 65 | Halifax | 6.3% | 56 | 6.3% | 58 | Rockingham | 5.8% | 73 | 6.0% | 72 |
| Avery | 5.8% | 72 | 5.9% | 75 | Harnett | 8.8% | 20 | 9.0% | 20 | Rowan | 6.1% | 64 | 6.3% | 61 |
| Beaufort | 7.5% | 30 | 7.5% | 30 | Haywood | 8.4% | 22 | 8.6% | 21 | Rutherford | 6.4% | 55 | 6.5% | 54 |
| Bertie | 6.2% | 59 | 6.3% | 60 | Henderson | 7.8% | 27 | 7.9% | 26 | Sampson | 6.0% | 67 | 6.1% | 67 |
| Bladen | 7.1% | 34 | 7.1% | 36 | Hertford | 5.9% | 69 | 5.9% | 73 | Scotland | 6.9% | 38 | 6.9% | 39 |
| Brunswick | 10.0% | 10 | 10.3% | 9 | Hoke | 11.5% | 7 | 11.7% | 7 | Stanly | 5.7% | 79 | 5.9% | 77 |
| Buncombe | 6.4% | 53 | 6.5% | 53 | Hyde | 5.2% | 88 | 5.3% | 90 | Stokes | 6.5% | 50 | 6.6% | 51 |
| Burke | 6.5% | 48 | 6.6% | 48 | Iredell | 5.3% | 85 | 5.5% | 85 | Surry | 5.2% | 86 | 5.3% | 87 |
| Cabarrus | 5.0% | 92 | 5.1% | 93 | Jackson | 6.7% | 45 | 6.8% | 44 | Swain | 6.7% | 43 | 6.8% | 43 |
| Caldwell | 6.1% | 62 | 6.3% | 59 | Johnston | 5.1% | 90 | 5.3% | 88 | Transylvania | 9.4% | 13 | 9.5% | 13 |
| Camden | 10.3% | 8 | 10.5% | 8 | Jones | 9.1% | 18 | 9.2% | 18 | Tyrrell | 10.1% | 9 | 9.9% | 11 |
| Carteret | 12.3% | 4 | 12.4% | 4 | Lee | 6.8% | 40 | 7.1% | 37 | Union | 4.5% | 98 | 4.6% | 97 |
| Caswell | 6.2% | 58 | 6.4% | 57 | Lenoir | 7.3% | 31 | 7.5% | 31 | Vance | 5.9% | 68 | 6.0% | 68 |
| Catawba | 6.3% | 57 | 6.4% | 56 | Lincoln | 5.7% | 77 | 5.8% | 79 | Wake | 4.6% | 96 | 4.7% | 95 |
| Chatham | 5.0% | 93 | 5.2% | 92 | Macon | 8.4% | 21 | 8.6% | 22 | Warren | 7.1% | 35 | 7.2% | 34 |
| Cherokee | 9.1% | 17 | 9.4% | 14 | Madison | 6.5% | 47 | 6.7% | 47 | Washington | 6.7% | 44 | 6.8% | 45 |
| Chowan | 7.6% | 29 | 7.8% | 28 | Martin | 6.8% | 39 | 6.9% | 42 | Watauga | 5.4% | 84 | 5.5% | 84 |
| Clay | 7.9% | 25 | 8.1% | 25 | McDowell | 6.8% | 41 | 6.9% | 38 | Wayne | 10.0% | 11 | 10.1% | 10 |
| Cleveland | 5.8% | 74 | 6.0% | 71 | Mecklenburg | 4.4% | 99 | 4.5% | 99 | Wilkes | 5.5% | 82 | 5.6% | 82 |
| Columbus | 7.1% | 33 | 7.2% | 33 | Mitchell | 6.1% | 65 | 6.2% | 64 | Wilson | 6.4% | 52 | 6.6% | 52 |
| Craven | 12.6% | 3 | 12.9% | 3 | Montgomery | 6.4% | 54 | 6.5% | 55 | Yadkin | 6.1% | 66 | 6.1% | 66 |
| Cumberland | 14.9% | 1 | 15.2% | 1 | Moore | 9.1% | 16 | 9.3% | 15 | Yancey | 5.5% | 81 | 5.7% | 81 |
| Currituck | 9.4% | 12 | 9.8% | 12 | Nash | 5.4% | 83 | 5.6% | 83 | | | | | |
| Dare | 8.8% | 19 | 9.0% | 19 | New Hanover | 7.2% | 32 | 7.4% | 32 | **Statewide** | **6.4%** | | **6.5%** | |
| Davidson | 5.2% | 87 | 5.3% | 86 | Northampton | 8.3% | 23 | 8.3% | 24 | | | | | |
| Davie | 6.7% | 46 | 6.8% | 46 | Onslow | 14.1% | 2 | 14.5% | 2 | | | | | |
| Duplin | 6.9% | 37 | 6.9% | 40 | Orange | 3.7% | 100 | 3.7% | 100 | | | | | |
| Durham | 4.6% | 95 | 4.7% | 96 | Pamlico | 11.6% | 6 | 11.7% | 6 | | | | | |
| Edgecombe | 5.9% | 71 | 6.0% | 70 | Pasquotank | 9.1% | 15 | 9.3% | 16 | | | | | |
| Forsyth | 5.9% | 70 | 6.0% | 69 | Pender | 8.2% | 24 | 8.4% | 23 | | | | | |
| Franklin | 5.1% | 91 | 5.2% | 91 | Perquimans | 11.7% | 5 | 11.8% | 5 | | | | | |
| Gaston | 5.8% | 75 | 5.9% | 74 | Person | 5.8% | 76 | 5.9% | 76 | | | | | |
| Gates | 7.9% | 26 | 7.9% | 27 | Pitt | 6.8% | 42 | 6.9% | 41 | | | | | |

North Carolina Association of County Commissioners

# Medicaid Population

This map shows the percentage of each county's population that received Medicaid coverage at any point during calendar year 2021.
Counties with higher rates of Medicaid usage are shown in green, counties with lower rates in tan.
Data source: NC OSBM LINC database. Data provided to LINC by NC DHHS.





Table ranked from highest Medicaid rates to lowest.

| Rank | County | Rank | County | Rank | County | Rank | County | Rank | County |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Robeson | 21 | Martin | 41 | Surry | 61 | Pender | 81 | Durham |
| 2 | Vance | 22 | Cumberland | 42 | Wilkes | 62 | Forsyth | 82 | Cabarrus |
| 3 | Edgecombe | 23 | Montgomery | 43 | Pasquotank | 63 | Davidson | 83 | Brunswick |
| 4 | Richmond | 24 | Wayne | 44 | Burke | 64 | Yadkin | 84 | Carteret |
| 5 | Scotland | 25 | Hoke | 45 | Lee | 65 | Stanly | 85 | Lincoln |
| 6 | Anson | 26 | Tyrrell | 46 | Hyde | 66 | Alexander | 86 | Buncombe |
| 7 | Columbus | 27 | Warren | 47 | Rowan | 67 | Gates | 87 | Avery |
| 8 | Bladen | 28 | Jones | 48 | Gaston | 68 | Craven | 88 | Iredell |
| 9 | Halifax | 29 | Caldwell | 49 | Randolph | 69 | Catawba | 89 | Davie |
| 10 | Swain | 30 | Beaufort | 50 | Person | 70 | Macon | 90 | Henderson |
| 11 | Hertford | 31 | Rutherford | 51 | Mitchell | 71 | Pamlico | 91 | Moore |
| 12 | Duplin | 32 | Chowan | 52 | Guilford | 72 | Franklin | 92 | New Hanover |
| 13 | Northampton | 33 | Pitt | 53 | Perquimans | 73 | Johnston | 93 | Dare |
| 14 | Lenoir | 34 | Nash | 54 | Madison | 74 | Stokes | 94 | Union |
| 15 | Bertie | 35 | McDowell | 55 | Clay | 75 | Granville | 95 | Wake |
| 16 | Washington | 36 | Cherokee | 56 | Yancey | 76 | Mecklenburg | 96 | Camden |
| 17 | Sampson | 37 | Rockingham | 57 | Harnett | 77 | Jackson | 97 | Currituck |
| 18 | Graham | 38 | Caswell | 58 | Alamance | 78 | Polk | 98 | Chatham |
| 19 | Cleveland | 39 | Greene | 59 | Haywood | 79 | Transylvania | 99 | Orange |
| 20 | Wilson | 40 | Alleghany | 60 | Ashe | 80 | Onslow | 100 | Watauga |

11% 53%

NORTH CAROLINA ASSOCIATION OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS FOUNDED 1908

**North Carolina Association of County Commissioners**

Map Scale 1:3,750,000.

# Medicaid Population

This table shows the percentage of each county's population that received Medicaid coverage at any point during calendar year 2021 and in 2020 and each county's rank among the 100 counties; a rank of 1 indicates the highest rate of Medicaid coverage. Sources: NC Office of State Budget & Management and NC Department of Health & Human Services.

| | 2021 | | 2020 | | | 2021 | | 2020 | | | 2021 | | 2020 | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | % | Rank | % | Rank | | % | Rank | % | Rank | | % | Rank | % | Rank |
| Alamance | 26.3% | 58 | 24.9% | 60 | Graham | 36.1% | 18 | 35.0% | 18 | Polk | 22.2% | 78 | 20.4% | 81 |
| Alexander | 24.8% | 66 | 23.9% | 64 | Granville | 22.8% | 75 | 21.2% | 77 | Randolph | 27.7% | 49 | 26.3% | 49 |
| Alleghany | 29.4% | 40 | 28.0% | 39 | Greene | 29.4% | 39 | 28.2% | 38 | Richmond | 47.1% | 4 | 44.6% | 4 |
| Anson | 41.2% | 6 | 39.5% | 6 | Guilford | 27.1% | 52 | 25.7% | 53 | Robeson | 53.6% | 1 | 49.8% | 1 |
| Ashe | 26.0% | 60 | 24.9% | 59 | Halifax | 40.5% | 9 | 38.4% | 9 | Rockingham | 29.6% | 37 | 28.7% | 35 |
| Avery | 20.7% | 87 | 19.8% | 86 | Harnett | 26.4% | 57 | 24.4% | 62 | Rowan | 28.4% | 47 | 27.0% | 46 |
| Beaufort | 31.9% | 30 | 30.6% | 30 | Haywood | 26.2% | 59 | 25.5% | 56 | Rutherford | 31.2% | 31 | 29.1% | 32 |
| Bertie | 38.0% | 15 | 36.8% | 15 | Henderson | 19.1% | 90 | 18.1% | 92 | Sampson | 38.0% | 17 | 36.2% | 17 |
| Bladen | 40.7% | 8 | 38.0% | 10 | Hertford | 39.4% | 11 | 36.8% | 14 | Scotland | 43.9% | 5 | 42.3% | 5 |
| Brunswick | 21.1% | 83 | 20.3% | 82 | Hoke | 33.3% | 25 | 31.5% | 25 | Stanly | 24.9% | 65 | 23.2% | 68 |
| Buncombe | 20.8% | 86 | 19.7% | 87 | Hyde | 28.4% | 46 | 26.9% | 47 | Stokes | 23.5% | 74 | 21.9% | 74 |
| Burke | 28.9% | 44 | 27.5% | 44 | Iredell | 20.6% | 88 | 19.1% | 88 | Surry | 29.4% | 41 | 28.2% | 37 |
| Cabarrus | 21.4% | 82 | 20.1% | 84 | Jackson | 22.3% | 77 | 21.3% | 76 | Swain | 40.5% | 10 | 38.5% | 8 |
| Caldwell | 32.1% | 29 | 30.8% | 29 | Johnston | 23.8% | 73 | 22.7% | 72 | Transylvania | 22.0% | 79 | 20.8% | 78 |
| Camden | 14.9% | 96 | 14.0% | 97 | Jones | 32.2% | 28 | 31.3% | 27 | Tyrrell | 33.0% | 26 | 31.9% | 24 |
| Carteret | 21.0% | 84 | 19.9% | 85 | Lee | 28.6% | 45 | 27.6% | 43 | Union | 16.9% | 94 | 15.6% | 94 |
| Caswell | 29.6% | 38 | 27.9% | 40 | Lenoir | 38.2% | 14 | 36.4% | 16 | Vance | 49.8% | 2 | 47.7% | 2 |
| Catawba | 24.4% | 69 | 22.7% | 71 | Lincoln | 20.9% | 85 | 20.2% | 83 | Wake | 15.7% | 95 | 14.6% | 95 |
| Chatham | 14.4% | 98 | 14.3% | 96 | Macon | 24.2% | 70 | 23.0% | 69 | Warren | 32.9% | 27 | 31.0% | 28 |
| Cherokee | 29.7% | 36 | 28.7% | 34 | Madison | 26.9% | 54 | 25.6% | 54 | Washington | 38.0% | 16 | 37.6% | 11 |
| Chowan | 30.2% | 32 | 29.5% | 31 | Martin | 34.2% | 21 | 32.8% | 21 | Watauga | 11.2% | 100 | 10.0% | 100 |
| Clay | 26.8% | 55 | 25.8% | 52 | McDowell | 29.9% | 35 | 28.5% | 36 | Wayne | 33.6% | 24 | 32.4% | 22 |
| Cleveland | 34.8% | 19 | 34.2% | 19 | Mecklenburg | 22.4% | 76 | 21.7% | 75 | Wilkes | 29.2% | 42 | 27.4% | 45 |
| Columbus | 40.9% | 7 | 38.5% | 7 | Mitchell | 27.3% | 51 | 26.3% | 48 | Wilson | 34.6% | 20 | 33.3% | 20 |
| Craven | 24.6% | 68 | 23.3% | 67 | Montgomery | 33.6% | 23 | 32.3% | 23 | Yadkin | 25.0% | 64 | 23.4% | 66 |
| Cumberland | 34.0% | 22 | 31.4% | 26 | Moore | 19.0% | 91 | 18.2% | 90 | Yancey | 26.4% | 56 | 25.5% | 55 |
| Currituck | 14.6% | 97 | 13.3% | 98 | Nash | 29.9% | 34 | 28.7% | 33 | | | | | |
| Dare | 17.5% | 93 | 16.5% | 93 | New Hanover | 18.9% | 92 | 18.2% | 91 | **Statewide** | **24.9%** | | **23.7%** | |
| Davidson | 25.7% | 63 | 24.2% | 63 | Northampton | 39.2% | 13 | 37.6% | 12 | | | | | |
| Davie | 20.5% | 89 | 19.1% | 89 | Onslow | 21.7% | 80 | 20.4% | 80 | | | | | |
| Duplin | 39.4% | 12 | 37.0% | 13 | Orange | 12.9% | 99 | 12.2% | 99 | | | | | |
| Durham | 21.6% | 81 | 20.6% | 79 | Pamlico | 24.0% | 71 | 22.3% | 73 | | | | | |
| Edgecombe | 47.8% | 3 | 45.9% | 3 | Pasquotank | 29.1% | 43 | 27.7% | 42 | | | | | |
| Forsyth | 25.9% | 62 | 24.7% | 61 | Pender | 26.0% | 61 | 24.9% | 58 | | | | | |
| Franklin | 23.8% | 72 | 22.9% | 70 | Perquimans | 27.0% | 53 | 25.2% | 57 | | | | | |
| Gaston | 28.2% | 48 | 26.2% | 50 | Person | 27.3% | 50 | 26.2% | 51 | | | | | |
| Gates | 24.7% | 67 | 23.7% | 65 | Pitt | 29.9% | 33 | 27.8% | 41 | | | | | |

North Carolina Association of County Commissioners

# Residents Without Health Insurance

This map shows the percentage of each county's residents under age 65 without health insurance in 2019.
Counties with higher rates of uninsurance are shown in green, counties with lower rates in tan.
Data source: US Census Bureau's Small Area Health Insurance Estimates.





Table ranked from highest rate to lowest.

| Rank | County | Rank | County | Rank | County | Rank | County | Rank | County |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Swain | 21 | Ashe | 41 | Stanly | 61 | Cleveland | 81 | Person |
| 2 | Duplin | 22 | Wilkes | 42 | Davidson | 62 | Hertford | 82 | Currituck |
| 3 | Greene | 23 | Tyrrell | 43 | Buncombe | 63 | Alexander | 83 | Nash |
| 4 | Sampson | 24 | Wilson | 44 | Mitchell | 64 | Brunswick | 84 | Bertie |
| 5 | Alleghany | 25 | Bladen | 45 | Pamlico | 65 | Carteret | 85 | Cumberland |
| 6 | Avery | 26 | Lee | 46 | Vance | 66 | Pender | 86 | Haywood |
| 7 | Jackson | 27 | Transylvania | 47 | Rowan | 67 | Madison | 87 | Stokes |
| 8 | Graham | 28 | Yancey | 48 | Johnston | 68 | Anson | 88 | New Hanover |
| 9 | Robeson | 29 | Burke | 49 | Beaufort | 69 | Caswell | 89 | Pasquotank |
| 10 | Macon | 30 | Catawba | 50 | Forsyth | 70 | Granville | 90 | Edgecombe |
| 11 | Jones | 31 | Wayne | 51 | Washington | 71 | Mecklenburg | 91 | Moore |
| 12 | Randolph | 32 | Yadkin | 52 | Polk | 72 | Guilford | 92 | Pitt |
| 13 | Montgomery | 33 | Caldwell | 53 | Chatham | 73 | Watauga | 93 | Chowan |
| 14 | Clay | 34 | Harnett | 54 | Durham | 74 | Davie | 94 | Gates |
| 15 | Warren | 35 | Halifax | 55 | Gaston | 75 | Martin | 95 | Union |
| 16 | Hyde | 36 | Lenoir | 56 | Franklin | 76 | Lincoln | 96 | Camden |
| 17 | Surry | 37 | McDowell | 57 | Rutherford | 77 | Northampton | 97 | Cabarrus |
| 18 | Cherokee | 38 | Alamance | 58 | Scotland | 78 | Craven | 98 | Onslow |
| 19 | Columbus | 39 | Henderson | 59 | Rockingham | 79 | Iredell | 99 | Orange |
| 20 | Hoke | 40 | Richmond | 60 | Dare | 80 | Perquimans | 100 | Wake |

**North Carolina Association of County Commissioners**

Map Scale 1:3,750,000.
NCACC County Map Book, 2022. | 18

# Residents Without Health Insurance

This table shows the percentage of each county's residents under age 65 without health insurance in 2019 and 2018 and each county's rank among the 100 counties; a rank of 1 indicates the highest rate of uninsured residents. Source: US Census Bureau Small Area Health Insurance Estimates.

| | 2019 | | 2018 | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | % | Rank | % | Rank |
| Alamance | 14.8% | 38 | 13.8% | 43 |
| Alexander | 13.6% | 63 | 12.8% | 66 |
| Alleghany | 19.5% | 5 | 17.8% | 10 |
| Anson | 13.3% | 68 | 13.4% | 51 |
| Ashe | 16.5% | 21 | 15.4% | 21 |
| Avery | 19.2% | 6 | 18.1% | 7 |
| Beaufort | 14.4% | 49 | 13.1% | 61 |
| Bertie | 12.6% | 84 | 12.6% | 70 |
| Bladen | 16.1% | 25 | 17.8% | 9 |
| Brunswick | 13.5% | 64 | 13.7% | 44 |
| Buncombe | 14.6% | 43 | 12.5% | 75 |
| Burke | 15.5% | 29 | 13.6% | 46 |
| Cabarrus | 11.2% | 97 | 10.6% | 97 |
| Caldwell | 15.3% | 33 | 14.4% | 35 |
| Camden | 11.2% | 96 | 11.9% | 88 |
| Carteret | 13.4% | 65 | 13.3% | 54 |
| Caswell | 13.3% | 69 | 13.2% | 57 |
| Catawba | 15.4% | 30 | 13.5% | 50 |
| Chatham | 14.0% | 53 | 13.4% | 52 |
| Cherokee | 16.6% | 18 | 15.2% | 25 |
| Chowan | 11.8% | 93 | 12.0% | 85 |
| Clay | 17.2% | 14 | 16.3% | 16 |
| Cleveland | 13.6% | 61 | 13.1% | 60 |
| Columbus | 16.6% | 19 | 15.6% | 20 |
| Craven | 12.9% | 78 | 11.7% | 92 |
| Cumberland | 12.5% | 85 | 10.7% | 96 |
| Currituck | 12.7% | 82 | 12.6% | 72 |
| Dare | 13.6% | 60 | 14.8% | 29 |
| Davidson | 14.6% | 42 | 14.2% | 36 |
| Davie | 13.0% | 74 | 13.1% | 59 |
| Duplin | 21.6% | 2 | 20.7% | 1 |
| Durham | 14.0% | 54 | 12.6% | 71 |
| Edgecombe | 12.1% | 90 | 12.7% | 69 |
| Forsyth | 14.2% | 50 | 12.8% | 68 |
| Franklin | 13.8% | 56 | 13.8% | 42 |
| Gaston | 13.9% | 55 | 12.4% | 77 |
| Gates | 11.8% | 94 | 10.8% | 95 |
| Graham | 19.0% | 8 | 18.5% | 6 |
| Granville | 13.2% | 70 | 12.5% | 74 |
| Greene | 20.7% | 3 | 19.6% | 2 |
| Guilford | 13.1% | 72 | 11.5% | 94 |
| Halifax | 15.0% | 35 | 13.3% | 55 |
| Harnett | 15.1% | 34 | 14.0% | 39 |
| Haywood | 12.4% | 86 | 12.3% | 80 |
| Henderson | 14.7% | 39 | 14.6% | 31 |
| Hertford | 13.6% | 62 | 12.3% | 81 |
| Hoke | 16.5% | 20 | 15.3% | 24 |
| Hyde | 16.8% | 16 | 17.2% | 12 |
| Iredell | 12.8% | 79 | 12.1% | 84 |
| Jackson | 19.2% | 7 | 17.4% | 11 |
| Johnston | 14.5% | 48 | 13.6% | 48 |
| Jones | 17.5% | 11 | 15.8% | 18 |
| Lee | 15.9% | 26 | 16.2% | 17 |
| Lenoir | 14.9% | 36 | 14.2% | 37 |
| Lincoln | 13.0% | 76 | 12.4% | 79 |
| Macon | 18.2% | 10 | 17.9% | 8 |
| Madison | 13.4% | 67 | 12.5% | 73 |
| Martin | 13.0% | 75 | 12.0% | 86 |
| McDowell | 14.8% | 37 | 13.8% | 41 |
| Mecklenburg | 13.2% | 71 | 13.0% | 62 |
| Mitchell | 14.6% | 44 | 14.5% | 33 |
| Montgomery | 17.3% | 13 | 15.4% | 22 |
| Moore | 12.0% | 91 | 11.9% | 87 |
| Nash | 12.6% | 83 | 12.1% | 83 |
| New Hanover | 12.2% | 88 | 11.7% | 90 |
| Northampton | 13.0% | 77 | 12.5% | 76 |
| Onslow | 10.6% | 98 | 9.9% | 100 |
| Orange | 10.5% | 99 | 10.4% | 98 |
| Pamlico | 14.6% | 45 | 13.2% | 56 |
| Pasquotank | 12.2% | 89 | 11.6% | 93 |
| Pender | 13.4% | 66 | 12.8% | 67 |
| Perquimans | 12.8% | 80 | 13.6% | 45 |
| Person | 12.7% | 81 | 11.7% | 91 |
| Pitt | 12.0% | 92 | 11.8% | 89 |
| Polk | 14.1% | 52 | 14.0% | 38 |
| Randolph | 17.4% | 12 | 15.7% | 19 |
| Richmond | 14.7% | 40 | 13.6% | 49 |
| Robeson | 18.5% | 9 | 18.5% | 5 |
| Rockingham | 13.7% | 59 | 13.3% | 53 |
| Rowan | 14.5% | 47 | 13.6% | 47 |
| Rutherford | 13.8% | 57 | 14.0% | 40 |
| Sampson | 20.2% | 4 | 18.8% | 4 |
| Scotland | 13.7% | 58 | 14.5% | 34 |
| Stanly | 14.6% | 41 | 13.0% | 63 |
| Stokes | 12.3% | 87 | 12.4% | 78 |
| Surry | 16.7% | 17 | 15.2% | 26 |
| Swain | 22.3% | 1 | 19.3% | 3 |
| Transylvania | 15.9% | 27 | 16.6% | 14 |
| Tyrrell | 16.3% | 23 | 17.1% | 13 |
| Union | 11.3% | 95 | 12.3% | 82 |
| Vance | 14.6% | 46 | 13.2% | 58 |
| Wake | 10.1% | 100 | 10.0% | 99 |
| Warren | 17.0% | 15 | 16.5% | 15 |
| Washington | 14.1% | 51 | 12.8% | 64 |
| Watauga | 13.1% | 73 | 12.8% | 65 |
| Wayne | 15.4% | 31 | 14.8% | 28 |
| Wilkes | 16.4% | 22 | 15.3% | 23 |
| Wilson | 16.1% | 24 | 14.7% | 30 |
| Yadkin | 15.3% | 32 | 14.5% | 32 |
| Yancey | 15.7% | 28 | 15.1% | 27 |
| **Statewide** | **13.4%** | | **12.7%** | |

North Carolina Association of County Commissioners

# Food Insecurity

This map shows, as of 2019, the percent of each county's households experiencing food insecurity at any time during the year. A household experiences food insecurity if it does not have access to enough food for an active, healthy lifestyle for all household members or if access to nutritionally adequate food is limited or insecure. Due to a lack of recent data, map shows 2019 food insecurity and does not reflect the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic. Higher rates of food insecurity are shown in green, lower rates in tan.

Data source: Feeding America, Mapping the Meal Gap.





Table ranked from highest food insecurity rate to lowest.

| Rank | County | Rank | County | Rank | County | Rank | County | Rank | County |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Scotland | 21 | Swain | 41 | Anson | 59 | Yadkin | 81 | Gates |
| 2 | Washington | 21 | Warren | 41 | Madison | 62 | Montgomery | 82 | Carteret |
| 3 | Robeson | 23 | Clay | 41 | Rowan | 62 | Nash | 83 | Perquimans |
| 4 | Halifax | 24 | Cleveland | 44 | Northampton | 64 | Buncombe | 84 | Dare |
| 5 | Alleghany | 25 | Surry | 44 | Pitt | 64 | Harnett | 84 | Henderson |
| 6 | Richmond | 26 | Martin | 46 | Haywood | 64 | New Hanover | 86 | Pasquotank |
| 7 | Jones | 26 | Rockingham | 46 | Randolph | 67 | Duplin | 87 | Moore |
| 7 | Rutherford | 26 | Wilson | 46 | Watauga | 67 | Transylvania | 88 | Franklin |
| 9 | Hyde | 29 | McDowell | 49 | Person | 69 | Alexander | 89 | Iredell |
| 9 | Lenoir | 30 | Jackson | 49 | Wayne | 69 | Craven | 90 | Johnston |
| 11 | Graham | 30 | Macon | 51 | Caswell | 71 | Guilford | 91 | Durham |
| 12 | Columbus | 32 | Hoke | 51 | Davidson | 72 | Catawba | 91 | Granville |
| 12 | Vance | 32 | Wilkes | 51 | Onslow | 73 | Alamance | 93 | Mecklenburg |
| 14 | Bertie | 34 | Yancey | 54 | Ashe | 73 | Brunswick | 94 | Chatham |
| 14 | Edgecombe | 35 | Caldwell | 54 | Avery | 75 | Davie | 95 | Cabarrus |
| 16 | Cherokee | 35 | Greene | 54 | Beaufort | 75 | Forsyth | 95 | Currituck |
| 17 | Bladen | 35 | Hertford | 54 | Gaston | 77 | Polk | 97 | Camden |
| 17 | Burke | 38 | Chowan | 54 | Sampson | 78 | Lincoln | 97 | Orange |
| 19 | Cumberland | 39 | Lee | 59 | Pamlico | 78 | Pender | 99 | Wake |
| 19 | Tyrrell | 39 | Mitchell | 59 | Stokes | 78 | Stanly | 100 | Union |

8.5% 20%

**North Carolina Association of County Commissioners**

Map Scale 1:3,750,000.

# Food Insecurity

This table shows the percentage of each county's households experiencing food insecurity at any time during the year. A household experiences food insecurity if it does not have access to enough food for an active, healthy lifestyle for all household members or if access to nutritionally adequate food is limited or insecure. Source: Feeding America, Mapping the Meal Gap.


| | 2020 | | 2019 | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | % | Rank | % | Rank |
| Alamance | 13.1% | 73 | 13.7% | 74 |
| Alexander | 13.5% | 69 | 14.3% | 61 |
| Alleghany | 17.5% | 5 | 18.5% | 4 |
| Anson | 14.5% | 41 | 14.5% | 55 |
| Ashe | 14.0% | 54 | 15.4% | 41 |
| Avery | 14.0% | 54 | 16.0% | 25 |
| Beaufort | 14.0% | 54 | 15.6% | 33 |
| Bertie | 16.5% | 14 | 15.7% | 30 |
| Bladen | 16.3% | 17 | 17.1% | 11 |
| Brunswick | 13.1% | 73 | 13.5% | 77 |
| Buncombe | 13.7% | 64 | 13.5% | 77 |
| Burke | 16.3% | 17 | 16.4% | 20 |
| Cabarrus | 10.3% | 95 | 10.8% | 97 |
| Caldwell | 14.9% | 35 | 15.6% | 33 |
| Camden | 10.2% | 97 | 11.5% | 96 |
| Carteret | 12.6% | 82 | 14.2% | 66 |
| Caswell | 14.1% | 51 | 15.0% | 49 |
| Catawba | 13.2% | 72 | 13.5% | 77 |
| Chatham | 10.7% | 94 | 11.7% | 94 |
| Cherokee | 16.4% | 16 | 16.6% | 17 |
| Chowan | 14.7% | 38 | 14.5% | 55 |
| Clay | 15.8% | 23 | 15.4% | 41 |
| Cleveland | 15.7% | 24 | 16.4% | 20 |
| Columbus | 16.6% | 12 | 17.0% | 13 |
| Craven | 13.5% | 69 | 14.9% | 50 |
| Cumberland | 16.2% | 19 | 16.0% | 25 |
| Currituck | 10.3% | 95 | 11.9% | 93 |
| Dare | 12.3% | 84 | 12.3% | 89 |
| Davidson | 14.1% | 51 | 14.7% | 53 |
| Davie | 13.0% | 75 | 13.6% | 76 |
| Duplin | 13.6% | 67 | 15.6% | 33 |
| Durham | 11.3% | 91 | 12.1% | 90 |
| Edgecombe | 16.5% | 14 | 16.7% | 16 |
| Forsyth | 13.0% | 75 | 13.2% | 81 |
| Franklin | 11.8% | 88 | 12.6% | 86 |
| Gaston | 14.0% | 54 | 14.3% | 61 |
| Gates | 12.7% | 81 | 14.0% | 69 |
| Graham | 16.7% | 11 | 17.6% | 9 |
| Granville | 11.3% | 91 | 12.4% | 88 |
| Greene | 14.9% | 35 | 16.1% | 24 |
| Guilford | 13.3% | 71 | 13.1% | 83 |
| Halifax | 17.9% | 4 | 17.6% | 9 |
| Harnett | 13.7% | 64 | 14.0% | 69 |
| Haywood | 14.3% | 46 | 14.9% | 50 |
| Henderson | 12.3% | 84 | 12.9% | 85 |
| Hertford | 14.9% | 35 | 15.7% | 30 |
| Hoke | 15.1% | 32 | 15.6% | 33 |
| Hyde | 17.0% | 9 | 19.2% | 2 |
| Iredell | 11.6% | 89 | 12.1% | 90 |
| Jackson | 15.3% | 30 | 15.8% | 29 |
| Johnston | 11.5% | 90 | 12.1% | 90 |
| Jones | 17.2% | 7 | 18.1% | 5 |
| Lee | 14.6% | 39 | 14.3% | 61 |
| Lenoir | 17.0% | 9 | 17.7% | 8 |
| Lincoln | 12.8% | 78 | 13.0% | 84 |
| Macon | 15.3% | 30 | 15.7% | 30 |
| Madison | 14.5% | 41 | 15.5% | 39 |
| Martin | 15.5% | 26 | 15.6% | 33 |
| McDowell | 15.4% | 29 | 16.5% | 19 |
| Mecklenburg | 10.9% | 93 | 10.8% | 97 |
| Mitchell | 14.6% | 39 | 15.6% | 33 |
| Montgomery | 13.8% | 62 | 13.8% | 72 |
| Moore | 12.0% | 87 | 12.6% | 86 |
| Nash | 13.8% | 62 | 13.7% | 74 |
| New Hanover | 13.7% | 64 | 14.3% | 61 |
| Northampton | 14.4% | 44 | 15.5% | 39 |
| Onslow | 14.1% | 51 | 15.4% | 41 |
| Orange | 10.2% | 97 | 11.6% | 95 |
| Pamlico | 13.9% | 59 | 13.8% | 72 |
| Pasquotank | 12.2% | 86 | 14.1% | 68 |
| Pender | 12.8% | 78 | 13.2% | 81 |
| Perquimans | 12.4% | 83 | 14.5% | 55 |
| Person | 14.2% | 49 | 14.3% | 61 |
| Pitt | 14.4% | 44 | 15.4% | 41 |
| Polk | 12.9% | 77 | 14.0% | 69 |
| Randolph | 14.3% | 46 | 14.6% | 54 |
| Richmond | 17.3% | 6 | 18.1% | 5 |
| Robeson | 18.8% | 3 | 19.1% | 3 |
| Rockingham | 15.5% | 26 | 15.3% | 46 |
| Rowan | 14.5% | 41 | 14.4% | 59 |
| Rutherford | 17.2% | 7 | 17.0% | 13 |
| Sampson | 14.0% | 54 | 15.1% | 48 |
| Scotland | 20.4% | 1 | 19.7% | 1 |
| Stanly | 12.8% | 78 | 13.5% | 77 |
| Stokes | 13.9% | 59 | 14.2% | 66 |
| Surry | 15.6% | 25 | 15.9% | 27 |
| Swain | 16.1% | 21 | 16.9% | 15 |
| Transylvania | 13.6% | 67 | 14.4% | 59 |
| Tyrrell | 16.2% | 19 | 18.1% | 5 |
| Union | 8.5% | 100 | 9.4% | 100 |
| Vance | 16.6% | 12 | 16.6% | 17 |
| Wake | 9.5% | 99 | 10.1% | 99 |
| Warren | 16.1% | 21 | 14.9% | 50 |
| Washington | 19.1% | 2 | 17.1% | 11 |
| Watauga | 14.3% | 46 | 15.2% | 47 |
| Wayne | 14.2% | 49 | 15.4% | 41 |
| Wilkes | 15.1% | 32 | 16.3% | 23 |
| Wilson | 15.5% | 26 | 15.9% | 27 |
| Yadkin | 13.9% | 59 | 14.5% | 55 |
| Yancey | 15.0% | 34 | 16.4% | 20 |
| **Statewide** | **12.0%** | | **13.5%** | |

North Carolina Association of County Commissioners

# Opioid Deaths

This map shows opioid poisoning deaths in 2020 as the rate per 100,000 residents. For counties with under 10 deaths, the rate should be interpreted with caution due to the low count. As deaths are only one indicator of the opioid epidemic, this book also contains data on the prevalence of opioid prescriptions. Counties with high death rates are colored in green, low in tan.

Source: NC DHHS.




| County | Rate | County | Rate | County | Rate | County | Rate | County | Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Alamance | 22.2 | Chowan | 36.2 | Guilford | 25.2 | Mitchell | 26.9 | Rutherford | 25.3 |
| Alexander | 18.7 | Clay | 8.7 | Halifax | 38.4 | Montgomery | 25.7 | Sampson | 14.2 |
| Alleghany | | Cleveland | 12.1 | Harnett | 33.6 | Moore | 22.3 | Scotland | 31.8 |
| Anson | 33.2 | Columbus | 34.7 | Haywood | 19.1 | Nash | 24.2 | Stanly | 38 |
| Ashe | 7.4 | Craven | 45.4 | Henderson | 23.6 | New Hanover | 36.8 | Stokes | 54.7 |
| Avery | 5.7 | Cumberland | 42.5 | Hertford | 21.6 | Northampton | 36.7 | Surry | 20.9 |
| Beaufort | 27.6 | Currituck | 44.7 | Hoke | 26.9 | Onslow | 24 | Swain | 49.4 |
| Bertie | 16 | Dare | 37.3 | Hyde | 20.6 | Orange | 12.7 | Transylvania | 17.4 |
| Bladen | 57.7 | Davidson | 49 | Iredell | 17.2 | Pamlico | 78.6 | Tyrrell | 53 |
| Brunswick | 30.2 | Davie | 27.7 | Jackson | 47.7 | Pasquotank | 49.5 | Union | 15.9 |
| Buncombe | 35.3 | Duplin | 17 | Johnston | 18 | Pender | 21.6 | Vance | 38 |
| Burke | 35.4 | Durham | 20.5 | Jones | | Perquimans | 65.9 | Wake | 15.4 |
| Cabarrus | 27.5 | Edgecombe | 31.5 | Lee | 43.3 | Person | 25 | Warren | 41 |
| Caldwell | 29.2 | Forsyth | 27.9 | Lenoir | 30.5 | Pitt | 31.2 | Washington | 8.7 |
| Camden | 27.3 | Franklin | 19.5 | Lincoln | 19.3 | Polk | 19 | Watauga | 14.2 |
| Carteret | 46 | Gaston | 21.6 | Macon | 30.6 | Randolph | 50.5 | Wayne | 18.6 |
| Caswell | 31.2 | Gates | 8.7 | Madison | 36.8 | Richmond | 49.6 | Wilkes | 27.9 |
| Catawba | 24.3 | Graham | 70.8 | Martin | 27.1 | Robeson | 56.9 | Wilson | 23.2 |
| Chatham | 19.8 | Granville | 16.5 | McDowell | 13.1 | Rockingham | 53.7 | Yadkin | 42.5 |
| Cherokee | 27.5 | Greene | 14.3 | Mecklenburg | 15.6 | Rowan | 43.5 | Yancey | 27.6 |

**Opiate Deaths per 100k**

5 — 79

**Counties with small sample sizes (fewer than 10 deaths)**

## North Carolina Association of County Commissioners

Map Scale 1:3,750,000.

# Opioid Deaths


This table shows opiate poisoning deaths in 2020 as 1) the rate per 100,000 residents, which allows for easier comparison across counties, and 2) the total number of deaths. For counties with under 10 deaths, the rate should be interpreted with caution due to the low count. As deaths are only one indicator of the opioid epidemic, this book also contains data on the prevalence of opioid prescriptions. Source: NC DHHS.

| | Per 100,000 | Rank | Absolute | Rank |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Alamance | 22.2 | 65 | 38 | 24 |
| Alexander | 18.7 | 77 | 7 | 75 |
| Alleghany | 0 | N/A | 0 | N/A |
| Anson | 33.2 | 35 | 8 | 70 |
| Ashe | 7.4 | 97 | 2 | 92 |
| Avery | 5.7 | 98 | 1 | 94 |
| Beaufort | 27.6 | 48 | 13 | 57 |
| Bertie | 16.0 | 84 | 3 | 89 |
| Bladen | 57.7 | 4 | 19 | 39 |
| Brunswick | 30.2 | 42 | 45 | 20 |
| Buncombe | 35.3 | 32 | 93 | 6 |
| Burke | 35.4 | 31 | 32 | 25 |
| Cabarrus | 27.5 | 49 | 61 | 13 |
| Caldwell | 29.2 | 43 | 24 | 31 |
| Camden | 27.3 | 51 | 3 | 89 |
| Carteret | 46.0 | 15 | 32 | 25 |
| Caswell | 31.2 | 38 | 7 | 75 |
| Catawba | 24.3 | 59 | 39 | 21 |
| Chatham | 19.8 | 72 | 15 | 51 |
| Cherokee | 27.5 | 50 | 8 | 70 |
| Chowan | 36.2 | 30 | 5 | 84 |
| Clay | 8.7 | 96 | 1 | 94 |
| Cleveland | 12.1 | 93 | 12 | 59 |
| Columbus | 34.7 | 33 | 19 | 39 |
| Craven | 45.4 | 16 | 46 | 18 |
| Cumberland | 42.5 | 21 | 143 | 3 |
| Currituck | 44.7 | 17 | 13 | 57 |
| Dare | 37.3 | 26 | 14 | 54 |
| Davidson | 49.0 | 13 | 83 | 8 |
| Davie | 27.7 | 46 | 12 | 59 |
| Duplin | 17.0 | 82 | 10 | 64 |
| Durham | 20.5 | 71 | 67 | 11 |
| Edgecombe | 31.5 | 37 | 16 | 49 |
| Forsyth | 27.9 | 45 | 107 | 5 |
| Franklin | 19.5 | 73 | 14 | 54 |
| Gaston | 21.6 | 68 | 49 | 15 |
| Gates | 8.7 | 94 | 1 | 94 |
| Graham | 70.8 | 2 | 6 | 80 |
| Granville | 16.5 | 83 | 10 | 64 |
| Greene | 14.3 | 88 | 3 | 89 |
| Guilford | 25.2 | 57 | 136 | 4 |
| Halifax | 38.4 | 23 | 19 | 39 |
| Harnett | 33.6 | 34 | 46 | 18 |
| Haywood | 19.1 | 75 | 12 | 59 |
| Henderson | 23.6 | 62 | 28 | 28 |
| Hertford | 21.6 | 67 | 5 | 84 |
| Hoke | 26.9 | 54 | 15 | 51 |
| Hyde | 20.6 | 70 | 1 | 94 |
| Iredell | 17.2 | 81 | 32 | 25 |
| Jackson | 47.7 | 14 | 21 | 37 |
| Johnston | 18.0 | 79 | 39 | 21 |
| Jones | 0 | N/A | 0 | N/A |
| Lee | 43.3 | 19 | 27 | 29 |
| Lenoir | 30.5 | 41 | 17 | 45 |
| Lincoln | 19.3 | 74 | 17 | 45 |
| Macon | 30.6 | 40 | 11 | 62 |
| Madison | 36.8 | 27 | 8 | 70 |
| Martin | 27.1 | 52 | 6 | 80 |
| McDowell | 13.1 | 91 | 6 | 80 |
| Mecklenburg | 15.6 | 86 | 176 | 1 |
| Mitchell | 26.9 | 53 | 4 | 87 |
| Montgomery | 25.7 | 55 | 7 | 75 |
| Moore | 22.3 | 64 | 23 | 33 |
| Nash | 24.2 | 60 | 23 | 33 |
| New Hanover | 36.8 | 28 | 87 | 7 |
| Northampton | 36.7 | 29 | 7 | 75 |
| Onslow | 24.0 | 61 | 49 | 15 |
| Orange | 12.7 | 92 | 19 | 39 |
| Pamlico | 78.6 | 1 | 10 | 64 |
| Pasquotank | 49.5 | 11 | 20 | 38 |
| Pender | 21.6 | 66 | 14 | 54 |
| Perquimans | 65.9 | 3 | 9 | 68 |
| Person | 25.0 | 58 | 10 | 64 |
| Pitt | 31.2 | 39 | 57 | 14 |
| Polk | 19.0 | 76 | 4 | 87 |
| Randolph | 50.5 | 9 | 73 | 10 |
| Richmond | 49.6 | 10 | 22 | 36 |
| Robeson | 56.9 | 5 | 74 | 9 |
| Rockingham | 53.7 | 7 | 49 | 15 |
| Rowan | 43.5 | 18 | 62 | 12 |
| Rutherford | 25.3 | 56 | 17 | 45 |
| Sampson | 14.2 | 89 | 9 | 68 |
| Scotland | 31.8 | 36 | 11 | 62 |
| Stanly | 38.0 | 25 | 24 | 31 |
| Stokes | 54.7 | 6 | 25 | 30 |
| Surry | 20.9 | 69 | 15 | 51 |
| Swain | 49.4 | 12 | 7 | 75 |
| Transylvania | 17.4 | 80 | 6 | 80 |
| Tyrrell | 53.0 | 8 | 2 | 92 |
| Union | 15.9 | 85 | 39 | 21 |
| Vance | 38.0 | 24 | 17 | 45 |
| Wake | 15.4 | 87 | 174 | 2 |
| Warren | 41.0 | 22 | 8 | 70 |
| Washington | 8.7 | 95 | 1 | 94 |
| Watauga | 14.2 | 90 | 8 | 70 |
| Wayne | 18.6 | 78 | 23 | 33 |
| Wilkes | 27.9 | 44 | 19 | 39 |
| Wilson | 23.2 | 63 | 19 | 39 |
| Yadkin | 42.5 | 20 | 16 | 49 |
| Yancey | 27.6 | 47 | 5 | 84 |
| | | | | |
| **Statewide** | **26** | | **2,771** | |

North Carolina Association of County Commissioners

# Opioid Prescriptions

This map shows the percentage of each county's residents who were prescribed opioids in 2020. Data is limited to prescriptions that were actually dispensed (i.e., picked up from a pharmacy). Counties with higher prescription rates are shown in green, with lower rates in tan. Data source: North Carolina Division of Mental Health Controlled Substance Reporting System.




8% 23%

Table ranked from highest rate to lowest.

| Rank | County | Rank | County | Rank | County | Rank | County | Rank | County |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Swain | 21 | Avery | 40 | Sampson | 60 | Pasquotank | 80 | Harnett |
| 2 | Jones | 21 | Stokes | 40 | Yadkin | 60 | Wilson | 82 | Hyde |
| 3 | Cleveland | 23 | Ashe | 43 | Alexander | 63 | Pamlico | 83 | Granville |
| 4 | Clay | 23 | Graham | 44 | Burke | 64 | Wayne | 84 | Johnston |
| 5 | Columbus | 23 | McDowell | 44 | Vance | 65 | Cabarrus | 85 | Camden |
| 5 | Robeson | 26 | Catawba | 46 | Iredell | 65 | Henderson | 85 | Wake |
| 7 | Martin | 26 | Craven | 47 | Davie | 65 | Madison | 87 | Mecklenburg |
| 8 | Alleghany | 26 | Lenoir | 47 | Stanly | 68 | Cumberland | 87 | Onslow |
| 8 | Caldwell | 26 | Surry | 47 | Yancey | 69 | Guilford | 89 | Polk |
| 8 | Cherokee | 30 | Rutherford | 50 | Macon | 69 | New Hanover | 90 | Greene |
| 11 | Scotland | 31 | Halifax | 50 | Northampton | 71 | Forsyth | 91 | Jackson |
| 12 | Brunswick | 32 | Gaston | 52 | Rowan | 72 | Randolph | 92 | Warren |
| 13 | Bertie | 32 | Mitchell | 53 | Nash | 73 | Davidson | 93 | Hoke |
| 14 | Richmond | 34 | Anson | 54 | Dare | 73 | Franklin | 94 | Currituck |
| 14 | Rockingham | 35 | Bladen | 55 | Pender | 75 | Duplin | 94 | Durham |
| 16 | Chowan | 35 | Edgecombe | 56 | Person | 75 | Pitt | 96 | Watauga |
| 17 | Beaufort | 35 | Perquimans | 57 | Transylvania | 75 | Tyrrell | 97 | Orange |
| 17 | Lee | 35 | Wilkes | 58 | Alamance | 78 | Union | 98 | Chatham |
| 17 | Lincoln | 39 | Carteret | 58 | Montgomery | 79 | Hertford | 99 | Caswell |
| 17 | Washington | 40 | Haywood | 60 | Moore | 80 | Buncombe | 100 | Gates |

**North Carolina Association of County Commissioners**

Map Scale 1:3,750,000.

# Opioid Prescriptions

This table shows opiate prescriptions in 2020 as 1) the percentage of each county's residents who were prescribed opioids, and 2) the absolute number of residents prescribed opioids. Data only include prescriptions actually dispensed (i.e., filled). Source: North Carolina Controlled Substance Reporting System.

| | % | Rank | # | Rank | | % | Rank | # | Rank | | % | Rank | # | Rank |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Alamance | 15% | 58 | 24,723 | 17 | Graham | 17% | 23 | 1,463 | 96 | Polk | 11% | 89 | 2,310 | 87 |
| Alexander | 16% | 43 | 6,068 | 61 | Granville | 12% | 83 | 7,251 | 55 | Randolph | 14% | 72 | 19,880 | 22 |
| Alleghany | 19% | 8 | 2,102 | 91 | Greene | 11% | 90 | 2,325 | 86 | Richmond | 18% | 14 | 8,177 | 51 |
| Anson | 17% | 34 | 4,074 | 74 | Guilford | 14% | 69 | 74,965 | 3 | Robeson | 19% | 5 | 25,241 | 15 |
| Ashe | 17% | 23 | 4,700 | 72 | Halifax | 17% | 31 | 8,514 | 49 | Rockingham | 18% | 14 | 16,549 | 28 |
| Avery | 17% | 21 | 3,049 | 79 | Harnett | 12% | 80 | 16,619 | 27 | Rowan | 15% | 52 | 21,822 | 21 |
| Beaufort | 18% | 17 | 8,270 | 50 | Haywood | 16% | 40 | 10,137 | 43 | Rutherford | 17% | 30 | 11,440 | 38 |
| Bertie | 18% | 13 | 3,460 | 76 | Henderson | 14% | 65 | 16,697 | 26 | Sampson | 16% | 40 | 10,338 | 42 |
| Bladen | 17% | 35 | 5,418 | 67 | Hertford | 12% | 79 | 2,906 | 81 | Scotland | 19% | 11 | 6,537 | 58 |
| Brunswick | 18% | 12 | 26,296 | 14 | Hoke | 11% | 93 | 5,789 | 63 | Stanly | 16% | 47 | 10,007 | 44 |
| Buncombe | 12% | 80 | 31,831 | 9 | Hyde | 12% | 82 | 597 | 99 | Stokes | 17% | 21 | 7,935 | 52 |
| Burke | 16% | 44 | 14,525 | 32 | Iredell | 16% | 46 | 29,102 | 12 | Surry | 17% | 26 | 12,338 | 35 |
| Cabarrus | 14% | 65 | 30,701 | 10 | Jackson | 11% | 91 | 4,800 | 71 | Swain | 23% | 1 | 3,266 | 77 |
| Caldwell | 19% | 8 | 15,562 | 29 | Johnston | 12% | 84 | 24,751 | 16 | Transylvania | 15% | 57 | 5,066 | 70 |
| Camden | 12% | 85 | 1,258 | 97 | Jones | 21% | 2 | 1,967 | 93 | Tyrrell | 13% | 75 | 525 | 100 |
| Carteret | 17% | 39 | 11,472 | 37 | Lee | 18% | 17 | 10,877 | 40 | Union | 13% | 78 | 30,280 | 11 |
| Caswell | 8% | 99 | 1,853 | 94 | Lenoir | 17% | 26 | 9,643 | 45 | Vance | 16% | 44 | 7,161 | 56 |
| Catawba | 17% | 26 | 27,507 | 13 | Lincoln | 18% | 17 | 15,173 | 30 | Wake | 12% | 85 | 128,677 | 1 |
| Chatham | 9% | 98 | 6,448 | 59 | Macon | 16% | 50 | 5,585 | 66 | Warren | 11% | 92 | 2,129 | 90 |
| Cherokee | 19% | 8 | 5,397 | 68 | Madison | 14% | 65 | 3,089 | 78 | Washington | 18% | 17 | 2,041 | 92 |
| Chowan | 18% | 16 | 2,465 | 85 | Martin | 19% | 7 | 4,299 | 73 | Watauga | 9% | 96 | 5,156 | 69 |
| Clay | 20% | 4 | 2,197 | 89 | McDowell | 17% | 23 | 7,921 | 53 | Wayne | 14% | 64 | 17,640 | 24 |
| Cleveland | 20% | 3 | 19,840 | 23 | Mecklenburg | 11% | 87 | 124,847 | 2 | Wilkes | 17% | 35 | 11,380 | 39 |
| Columbus | 19% | 5 | 10,726 | 41 | Mitchell | 17% | 32 | 2,527 | 84 | Wilson | 15% | 60 | 11,829 | 36 |
| Craven | 17% | 26 | 17,555 | 25 | Montgomery | 15% | 58 | 3,969 | 75 | Yadkin | 16% | 40 | 6,158 | 60 |
| Cumberland | 14% | 68 | 47,383 | 5 | Moore | 15% | 60 | 14,642 | 31 | Yancey | 16% | 47 | 2,873 | 82 |
| Currituck | 10% | 94 | 2,738 | 83 | Nash | 15% | 53 | 14,297 | 33 | | | | | |
| Dare | 15% | 54 | 5,605 | 65 | New Hanover | 14% | 69 | 32,819 | 7 | **Statewide** | **14%** | | **1,428,500** | |
| Davidson | 13% | 73 | 22,244 | 19 | Northampton | 16% | 50 | 3,049 | 79 | | | | | |
| Davie | 16% | 47 | 6,804 | 57 | Onslow | 11% | 87 | 22,134 | 20 | | | | | |
| Duplin | 13% | 75 | 7,671 | 54 | Orange | 9% | 97 | 13,439 | 34 | | | | | |
| Durham | 10% | 94 | 31,879 | 8 | Pamlico | 14% | 63 | 1,829 | 95 | | | | | |
| Edgecombe | 17% | 35 | 8,557 | 48 | Pasquotank | 15% | 60 | 5,785 | 64 | | | | | |
| Forsyth | 14% | 71 | 52,985 | 4 | Pender | 15% | 55 | 9,452 | 46 | | | | | |
| Franklin | 13% | 73 | 9,262 | 47 | Perquimans | 17% | 35 | 2,235 | 88 | | | | | |
| Gaston | 17% | 32 | 37,928 | 6 | Person | 15% | 56 | 5,873 | 62 | | | | | |
| Gates | 8% | 100 | 921 | 98 | Pitt | 13% | 75 | 23,627 | 18 | | | | | |

North Carolina Association of County Commissioners

# NC Pre-K Enrollment

This table shows the percentage of eligible 4 year-olds enrolled in the public NC Pre-K program. The State's Early Childhood Action Plan aims for 75% enrollment by 2030. Rates are calculated by dividing the number of children enrolled by the estimated number of income eligible 4 year-olds. Because some counties enroll more children than the estimated eligible population, some rates exceed 100%. High participation rates are shown in green, low in tan.

Source: myFutureNC.





Table ranked from highest enrollment rate to lowest.

| Rank | County | Rank | County | Rank | County | Rank | County | Rank | County |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Camden | 21 | Davie | 41 | Lincoln | 61 | Martin | 81 | Craven |
| 2 | Gates | 22 | Wilkes | 42 | Washington | 61 | Richmond | 82 | Randolph |
| 3 | Ashe | 23 | Pender | 43 | Nash | 63 | Vance | 83 | Buncombe |
| 4 | Pamlico | 24 | Bertie | 44 | Pasquotank | 64 | Person | 84 | Rockingham |
| 5 | Jones | 25 | Orange | 45 | Guilford | 65 | Cherokee | 85 | Iredell |
| 6 | Carteret | 26 | Caswell | 46 | Lee | 66 | Tyrrell | 86 | Cabarrus |
| 7 | Hertford | 27 | Northampton | 47 | Gaston | 67 | Mitchell | 86 | Harnett |
| 8 | Chatham | 28 | Avery | 48 | Sampson | 68 | Madison | 86 | Lenoir |
| 9 | Montgomery | 29 | Columbus | 49 | New Hanover | 69 | Pitt | 89 | Wake |
| 10 | Chowan | 30 | Warren | 50 | Hoke | 70 | Haywood | 90 | Rowan |
| 11 | Polk | 31 | Dare | 51 | Greene | 71 | Brunswick | 91 | Davidson |
| 12 | Stokes | 32 | Swain | 52 | Duplin | 71 | Cumberland | 92 | Onslow |
| 13 | Anson | 33 | Granville | 53 | Burke | 73 | Henderson | 93 | Alexander |
| 14 | Clay | 34 | Graham | 54 | Surry | 74 | Union | 94 | Durham |
| 15 | Bladen | 35 | Watauga | 55 | Macon | 75 | Beaufort | 95 | Forsyth |
| 16 | Scotland | 36 | Stanly | 56 | Halifax | 76 | Alamance | 96 | Mecklenburg |
| 17 | McDowell | 37 | Perquimans | 57 | Rutherford | 76 | Catawba | 97 | Johnston |
| 18 | Transylvania | 38 | Yadkin | 58 | Edgecombe | 78 | Currituck | 98 | Wilson |
| 19 | Alleghany | 39 | Cleveland | 59 | Wayne | 79 | Franklin | 99 | Jackson |
| 20 | Hyde | 40 | Robeson | 60 | Caldwell | 80 | Yancey | 100 | Moore |

**North Carolina Association of County Commissioners**

Map Scale 1:3,750,000.

# NC Pre-K Enrollment

This table shows the percentage of eligible 4 year-olds enrolled in the public NC Pre-K program. The State's Early Childhood Action Plan aims for 75% enrollment by 2030. Rates are calculated by dividing the number of children enrolled by the estimated number of income eligible 4 year-olds. Because some counties enroll more children than the estimated eligible population, some rates exceed 100%. Source: myFutureNC.

| | 2020-21 | | 2019-20 | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | % | Rank | % | Rank |
| Alamance | 46.4% | 76 | 47.8% | 74 |
| Alexander | 34.3% | 93 | 34.2% | 93 |
| Alleghany | 95.9% | 19 | 97.3% | 21 |
| Anson | 101.3% | 13 | 105.6% | 14 |
| Ashe | 126.7% | 3 | 126.4% | 6 |
| Avery | 85.4% | 28 | 138.9% | 3 |
| Beaufort | 46.6% | 75 | 49.8% | 69 |
| Bertie | 89.1% | 24 | 131.6% | 5 |
| Bladen | 98.6% | 15 | 93.9% | 26 |
| Brunswick | 49.5% | 71 | 46.7% | 76 |
| Buncombe | 41.0% | 83 | 41.5% | 84 |
| Burke | 63.9% | 53 | 64.7% | 52 |
| Cabarrus | 37.7% | 86 | 45.9% | 77 |
| Caldwell | 59.2% | 60 | 59.2% | 61 |
| Camden | 174.2% | 1 | 187.9% | 1 |
| Carteret | 114.5% | 6 | 110.5% | 10 |
| Caswell | 88.2% | 26 | 86.5% | 33 |
| Catawba | 46.4% | 76 | 45.7% | 79 |
| Chatham | 107.6% | 8 | 109.7% | 12 |
| Cherokee | 57.0% | 65 | 88.7% | 31 |
| Chowan | 105.9% | 10 | 103.4% | 15 |
| Clay | 100.0% | 14 | 96.2% | 24 |
| Cleveland | 74.3% | 39 | 74.3% | 45 |
| Columbus | 83.7% | 29 | 84.8% | 34 |
| Craven | 43.1% | 81 | 44.0% | 81 |
| Cumberland | 49.5% | 71 | 54.2% | 65 |
| Currituck | 45.9% | 78 | 45.9% | 77 |
| Dare | 80.7% | 31 | 80.2% | 37 |
| Davidson | 34.9% | 91 | 38.0% | 90 |
| Davie | 94.0% | 21 | 101.6% | 18 |
| Duplin | 64.1% | 52 | 61.7% | 56 |
| Durham | 31.8% | 94 | 31.3% | 95 |
| Edgecombe | 59.6% | 58 | 83.1% | 35 |
| Forsyth | 30.7% | 95 | 30.6% | 96 |
| Franklin | 45.2% | 79 | 45.6% | 80 |
| Gaston | 66.7% | 47 | 69.3% | 49 |
| Gates | 141.4% | 2 | 153.6% | 2 |
| Graham | 77.8% | 34 | 121.3% | 7 |
| Granville | 77.9% | 33 | 79.4% | 39 |
| Greene | 64.2% | 51 | 49.7% | 70 |
| Guilford | 69.7% | 45 | 70.5% | 46 |
| Halifax | 61.0% | 56 | 61.0% | 57 |
| Harnett | 37.7% | 86 | 37.6% | 91 |
| Haywood | 49.7% | 70 | 52.2% | 67 |
| Henderson | 49.0% | 73 | 48.3% | 73 |
| Hertford | 109.5% | 7 | 110.2% | 11 |
| Hoke | 65.0% | 50 | 76.8% | 40 |
| Hyde | 95.5% | 20 | 100.0% | 20 |
| Iredell | 38.9% | 85 | 40.5% | 87 |
| Jackson | 24.6% | 99 | 14.1% | 100 |
| Johnston | 29.6% | 97 | 30.6% | 96 |
| Jones | 116.4% | 5 | 113.0% | 9 |
| Lee | 69.3% | 46 | 70.1% | 47 |
| Lenoir | 37.7% | 86 | 41.6% | 83 |
| Lincoln | 72.7% | 41 | 76.2% | 41 |
| Macon | 61.7% | 55 | 54.6% | 64 |
| Madison | 51.6% | 68 | 51.6% | 68 |
| Martin | 59.1% | 61 | 89.4% | 30 |
| McDowell | 96.6% | 17 | 96.6% | 23 |
| Mecklenburg | 29.7% | 96 | 30.1% | 98 |
| Mitchell | 53.1% | 67 | 53.1% | 66 |
| Montgomery | 107.0% | 9 | 106.3% | 13 |
| Moore | 14.4% | 100 | 15.2% | 99 |
| Nash | 70.4% | 43 | 49.3% | 71 |
| New Hanover | 65.6% | 49 | 65.1% | 51 |
| Northampton | 86.8% | 27 | 95.5% | 25 |
| Onslow | 34.5% | 92 | 33.0% | 94 |
| Orange | 88.9% | 25 | 87.2% | 32 |
| Pamlico | 123.4% | 4 | 131.8% | 4 |
| Pasquotank | 70.0% | 44 | 65.9% | 50 |
| Pender | 90.1% | 23 | 96.9% | 22 |
| Perquimans | 75.0% | 37 | 75.0% | 43 |
| Person | 58.3% | 64 | 56.1% | 63 |
| Pitt | 50.3% | 69 | 49.2% | 72 |
| Polk | 103.4% | 11 | 103.3% | 16 |
| Randolph | 42.8% | 82 | 41.4% | 85 |
| Richmond | 59.1% | 61 | 60.0% | 58 |
| Robeson | 73.3% | 40 | 74.7% | 44 |
| Rockingham | 40.5% | 84 | 41.2% | 86 |
| Rowan | 37.0% | 90 | 38.1% | 89 |
| Rutherford | 60.1% | 57 | 59.8% | 59 |
| Sampson | 66.0% | 48 | 69.5% | 48 |
| Scotland | 96.8% | 16 | 100.3% | 19 |
| Stanly | 76.2% | 36 | 80.3% | 36 |
| Stokes | 103.0% | 12 | 101.8% | 17 |
| Surry | 62.9% | 54 | 62.1% | 54 |
| Swain | 78.7% | 32 | 63.9% | 53 |
| Transylvania | 96.3% | 18 | 92.3% | 27 |
| Tyrrell | 54.5% | 66 | 62.1% | 54 |
| Union | 47.3% | 74 | 47.6% | 75 |
| Vance | 58.8% | 63 | 56.4% | 62 |
| Wake | 37.2% | 89 | 40.5% | 87 |
| Warren | 82.8% | 30 | 92.2% | 28 |
| Washington | 72.0% | 42 | 115.6% | 8 |
| Watauga | 76.4% | 35 | 75.4% | 42 |
| Wayne | 59.4% | 59 | 59.4% | 60 |
| Wilkes | 90.9% | 22 | 91.4% | 29 |
| Wilson | 26.6% | 98 | 34.9% | 92 |
| Yadkin | 74.5% | 38 | 79.8% | 38 |
| Yancey | 44.9% | 80 | 42.7% | 82 |
| **Statewide** | **51.2%** | | **52.6%** | |

North Carolina Association of County Commissioners

# Charter School Enrollment

This table shows the percentage of students in each Local Education Authority (LEA) who were enrolled in public charter schools in FY 2020-21. Counties with higher enrollment are shown in green, lower in tan; counties with no enrollment are uncolored.
Source: Calculated by NCACC using data from the North Carolina Department of Public Education.





Table names Local Education Agencies and their corresponding ID numbers.

| ID | District | ID | District | ID | District | ID | District | ID | District |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 010 | Alamance-Burlington | 200 | Cherokee | 400 | Greene | 600 | Mecklenburg | 810 | Rutherford |
| 020 | Alexander | 210 | Chowan | 410 | Guilford | 610 | Mitchell | 820 | Sampson |
| 030 | Alleghany | 220 | Clay | 420 | Halifax | 620 | Montgomery | 821 | Clinton |
| 040 | Anson | 230 | Cleveland | 421 | Roanoke Rapids | 630 | Moore | 830 | Scotland |
| 050 | Ashe | 240 | Columbus | 422 | Weldon | 640 | Nash-Rocky Mount | 840 | Stanly |
| 060 | Avery | 241 | Whiteville | 430 | Harnett | 650 | New Hanover | 850 | Stokes |
| 070 | Beaufort | 250 | Craven | 440 | Haywood | 660 | Northampton | 860 | Surry |
| 080 | Bertie | 260 | Cumberland | 450 | Henderson | 670 | Onslow | 861 | Elkin |
| 090 | Bladen | 270 | Currituck | 460 | Hertford | 680 | Orange | 862 | Mount Airy |
| 100 | Brunswick | 280 | Dare | 470 | Hoke | 681 | Chapel Hill-Carrboro | 870 | Swain |
| 110 | Buncombe | 290 | Davidson | 480 | Hyde | 690 | Pamlico | 880 | Transylvania |
| 111 | Asheville | 291 | Lexington | 490 | Iredell-Statesville | 700 | Pasquotank | 890 | Tyrrell |
| 120 | Burke | 292 | Thomasville | 491 | Mooresville | 710 | Pender | 900 | Union |
| 130 | Cabarrus | 300 | Davie | 500 | Jackson | 720 | Perquimans | 910 | Vance |
| 132 | Kannapolis | 310 | Duplin | 510 | Johnston | 730 | Person | 920 | Wake |
| 140 | Caldwell | 320 | Durham | 520 | Jones | 740 | Pitt | 930 | Warren |
| 150 | Camden | 330 | Edgecombe | 530 | Lee | 750 | Polk | 940 | Washington |
| 160 | Carteret | 340 | Forsyth | 540 | Lenoir | 760 | Randolph | 950 | Watauga |
| 170 | Caswell | 350 | Franklin | 550 | Lincoln | 761 | Asheboro | 960 | Wayne |
| 180 | Catawba | 360 | Gaston | 560 | Macon | 770 | Richmond | 970 | Wilkes |
| 181 | Hickory | 370 | Gates | 570 | Madison | 780 | Robeson | 980 | Wilson |
| 182 | Newton-Conover | 380 | Graham | 580 | Martin | 790 | Rockingham | 990 | Yadkin |
| 190 | Chatham | 390 | Granville | 590 | McDowell | 800 | Rowan | 995 | Yancey |

25.1% - 50.5%
15.1% - 25.0%
10.1% - 15.0%
5.1% - 10.0%
0.6% - 5.0%
No Enrollment

**North Carolina Association of County Commissioners**

Map Scale 1:3,750,000.

# Charter School Enrollment


This table shows the percentage of students in each Local Education Authority (LEA) who were enrolled in public charter schools in FY 2020-21.
Source: Calucated by NCACC using data from the North Carolina Department of Public Education.

| LEA | Code & Name | FY20-21 % | FY20-21 Rank | FY19-20 % | FY19-20 Rank |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 010 | Alamance-Burl. | 8% | 34 | 7% | 34 |
| 020 | Alexander Co. | - | N/A | - | N/A |
| 030 | Alleghany Co. | - | N/A | - | N/A |
| 040 | Anson Co. | - | N/A | - | N/A |
| 050 | Ashe Co. | - | N/A | - | N/A |
| 060 | Avery Co. | 5% | 46 | 7% | 36 |
| 070 | Beaufort Co. | 7% | 38 | 6% | 42 |
| 080 | Bertie Co. | 5% | 47 | 3% | 49 |
| 090 | Bladen Co. | 16% | 12 | 14% | 13 |
| 100 | Brunswick Co. | 11% | 22 | 10% | 25 |
| 110 | Buncombe Co. | 12% | 20 | 10% | 20 |
| 111 | Asheville City | - | N/A | - | N/A |
| 120 | Burke Co. | 3% | 52 | 3% | 53 |
| 130 | Cabarrus Co. | 7% | 37 | 7% | 35 |
| 132 | Kannapolis City | - | N/A | - | N/A |
| 140 | Caldwell Co. | - | N/A | - | N/A |
| 150 | Camden Co. | - | N/A | - | N/A |
| 160 | Carteret Co. | 2% | 56 | 3% | 55 |
| 170 | Caswell Co. | - | N/A | - | N/A |
| 180 | Catawba Co. | - | N/A | - | N/A |
| 181 | Hickory City | - | N/A | - | N/A |
| 182 | Newton-Conov. | - | N/A | - | N/A |
| 190 | Chatham Co. | 14% | 17 | 13% | 17 |
| 200 | Cherokee Co. | 6% | 43 | 6% | 43 |
| 210 | Edenton-Chow. | - | N/A | - | N/A |
| 220 | Clay Co. | - | N/A | - | N/A |
| 230 | Cleveland Co. | 7% | 36 | 6% | 38 |
| 240 | Columbus Co. | 16% | 13 | 14% | 14 |
| 241 | Whiteville City | - | N/A | - | N/A |
| 250 | Craven Co. | - | N/A | - | N/A |
| 260 | Cumberland Co. | 3% | 53 | 3% | 54 |
| 270 | Currituck Co. | 1% | 61 | 1% | 61 |
| 280 | Dare Co. | - | N/A | - | N/A |
| 290 | Davidson Co. | 2% | 55 | 2% | 56 |
| 291 | Lexington City | - | N/A | - | N/A |
| 292 | Thomasville City | - | N/A | - | N/A |
| 300 | Davie Co. | - | N/A | - | N/A |
| 310 | Duplin Co. | - | N/A | - | N/A |
| 320 | Durham Co. | 19% | 8 | 18% | 9 |
| 330 | Edgecombe Co. | 15% | 14 | 15% | 12 |
| 340 | Forsyth Co. | 6% | 42 | 5% | 44 |
| 350 | Franklin | 10% | 27 | 8% | 30 |
| 360 | Gaston Co. | 10% | 25 | 9% | 28 |
| 370 | Gates Co. | - | N/A | - | N/A |
| 380 | Graham Co. | - | N/A | - | N/A |
| 390 | Granville Co. | 21% | 5 | 18% | 8 |
| 400 | Greene Co. | - | N/A | - | N/A |
| 410 | Guilford Co. | 11% | 23 | 10% | 24 |
| 420 | Halifax Co. | 24% | 4 | 19% | 6 |
| 421 | Roanoke Rapids | - | N/A | - | N/A |
| 422 | Weldon City | - | N/A | - | N/A |
| 430 | Harnett Co. | 1% | 59 | 1% | 60 |
| 440 | Haywood Co. | 5% | 44 | 4% | 45 |
| 450 | Henderson Co. | 4% | 50 | 4% | 48 |
| 460 | Hertford Co. | - | N/A | - | N/A |
| 470 | Hoke Co. | - | N/A | - | N/A |
| 480 | Hyde Co. | - | N/A | - | N/A |
| 490 | Iredell-Statesvl. | 18% | 9 | 19% | 7 |
| 491 | Mooresville City | - | N/A | - | N/A |
| 500 | Jackson Co. | 6% | 41 | 6% | 40 |
| 510 | Johnston Co. | 4% | 48 | 4% | 46 |
| 520 | Jones Co. | - | N/A | - | N/A |
| 530 | Lee Co. | 4% | 49 | 3% | 52 |
| 540 | Lenoir Co. | 2% | 57 | 2% | 57 |
| 550 | Lincoln Co. | 17% | 10 | 16% | 10 |
| 560 | Macon Co. | - | N/A | - | N/A |
| 570 | Madison Co. | - | N/A | - | N/A |
| 580 | Martin Co. | 14% | 15 | 13% | 15 |
| 590 | McDowell Co. | - | N/A | - | N/A |
| 600 | Charlotte-Meck. | 12% | 19 | 11% | 19 |
| 610 | Mitchell Co. | - | N/A | - | N/A |
| 620 | Montgomery Co. | - | N/A | - | N/A |
| 630 | Moore Co. | 10% | 28 | 8% | 32 |
| 640 | Nash-Rocky Mt. | 7% | 39 | 6% | 37 |
| 650 | New Hanover | 7% | 35 | 6% | 39 |
| 660 | Northampton Co. | 51% | 1 | 48% | 1 |
| 670 | Onslow Co. | 1% | 62 | 1% | 62 |
| 680 | Orange Co. | 14% | 16 | 13% | 16 |
| 681 | Chapel Hill-Carr. | - | N/A | - | N/A |
| 690 | Pamlico Co. | 30% | 3 | 30% | 2 |
| 700 | Pasquotank Co. | 13% | 18 | 10% | 22 |
| 710 | Pender Co. | - | N/A | - | N/A |
| 720 | Perquimans | - | N/A | - | N/A |
| 730 | Person Co. | 20% | 6 | 20% | 4 |
| 740 | Pitt Co. | 3% | 54 | 3% | 50 |
| 750 | Polk Co. | - | N/A | - | N/A |
| 760 | Randolph Co. | 10% | 24 | 10% | 23 |
| 761 | Asheboro City | - | N/A | - | N/A |
| 770 | Richmond Co. | - | N/A | - | N/A |
| 780 | Robeson Co. | 2% | 58 | 2% | 59 |
| 790 | Rockingham Co. | 5% | 45 | 4% | 47 |
| 800 | Rowan-Salisbury | 1% | 63 | 1% | 63 |
| 810 | Rutherford Co. | 20% | 7 | 20% | 5 |
| 820 | Sampson Co. | - | N/A | - | N/A |
| 821 | Clinton City | - | N/A | - | N/A |
| 830 | Scotland Co. | - | N/A | - | N/A |
| 840 | Stanly Co. | 9% | 30 | 9% | 26 |
| 850 | Stokes Co. | - | N/A | - | N/A |
| 860 | Surry Co. | 10% | 26 | 10% | 21 |
| 861 | Elkin City | - | N/A | - | N/A |
| 862 | Mount Airy City | - | N/A | - | N/A |
| 870 | Swain Co. | 9% | 29 | 9% | 27 |
| 880 | Transylvania Co. | 11% | 21 | 11% | 18 |
| 890 | Tyrrell Co. | - | N/A | - | N/A |
| 900 | Union Co. | 8% | 33 | 7% | 33 |
| 910 | Vance Co. | 31% | 2 | 30% | 3 |
| 920 | Wake Co. | 9% | 31 | 8% | 29 |
| 930 | Warren Co. | 8% | 32 | 8% | 31 |
| 940 | Washington Co. | - | N/A | - | N/A |
| 950 | Watauga Co. | 4% | 51 | 3% | 51 |
| 960 | Wayne Co. | 6% | 40 | 6% | 41 |
| 970 | Wilkes Co. | 1% | 60 | 2% | 58 |
| 980 | Wilson Co. | 16% | 11 | 15% | 11 |
| 990 | Yadkin Co. | - | N/A | - | N/A |
| 995 | Yancey Co. | - | N/A | - | N/A |
| | **Weighted Average** | **8%** | | **7%** | |

North Carolina Association of County Commissioners

# Local Education Funding Per Student

This map shows the amount of local funding that each county provided for public school expenses, on a per student basis, for FY 2020-21. Although most public school funding comes from the State, counties must fund most capital expenses and at least some operating expenses of their local education authorities (LEAs). Higher per-student funding is shown in green, lower in tan. Source: North Carolina Department of Public Instruction, School Report Cards.




$4,200 - $6,850
$2,500 - $4,200
$1,750 - $2,500
$1,200 - $1,750
$348 - $1,200

Table names Local Education Agencies and their corresponding ID numbers.

| ID | District | ID | District | ID | District | ID | District | ID | District |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 010 | Alamance-Burlington | 200 | Cherokee | 400 | Greene | 600 | Mecklenburg | 810 | Rutherford |
| 020 | Alexander | 210 | Chowan | 410 | Guilford | 610 | Mitchell | 820 | Sampson |
| 030 | Alleghany | 220 | Clay | 420 | Halifax | 620 | Montgomery | 821 | Clinton |
| 040 | Anson | 230 | Cleveland | 421 | Roanoke Rapids | 630 | Moore | 830 | Scotland |
| 050 | Ashe | 240 | Columbus | 422 | Weldon | 640 | Nash-Rocky Mount | 840 | Stanly |
| 060 | Avery | 241 | Whiteville | 430 | Harnett | 650 | New Hanover | 850 | Stokes |
| 070 | Beaufort | 250 | Craven | 440 | Haywood | 660 | Northampton | 860 | Surry |
| 080 | Bertie | 260 | Cumberland | 450 | Henderson | 670 | Onslow | 861 | Elkin |
| 090 | Bladen | 270 | Currituck | 460 | Hertford | 680 | Orange | 862 | Mount Airy |
| 100 | Brunswick | 280 | Dare | 470 | Hoke | 681 | Chapel Hill-Carrboro | 870 | Swain |
| 110 | Buncombe | 290 | Davidson | 480 | Hyde | 690 | Pamlico | 880 | Transylvania |
| 111 | Asheville | 291 | Lexington | 490 | Iredell-Statesville | 700 | Pasquotank | 890 | Tyrrell |
| 120 | Burke | 292 | Thomasville | 491 | Mooresville | 710 | Pender | 900 | Union |
| 130 | Cabarrus | 300 | Davie | 500 | Jackson | 720 | Perquimans | 910 | Vance |
| 132 | Kannapolis | 310 | Duplin | 510 | Johnston | 730 | Person | 920 | Wake |
| 140 | Caldwell | 320 | Durham | 520 | Jones | 740 | Pitt | 930 | Warren |
| 150 | Camden | 330 | Edgecombe | 530 | Lee | 750 | Polk | 940 | Washington |
| 160 | Carteret | 340 | Forsyth | 540 | Lenoir | 760 | Randolph | 950 | Watauga |
| 170 | Caswell | 350 | Franklin | 550 | Lincoln | 761 | Asheboro | 960 | Wayne |
| 180 | Catawba | 360 | Gaston | 560 | Macon | 770 | Richmond | 970 | Wilkes |
| 181 | Hickory | 370 | Gates | 570 | Madison | 780 | Robeson | 980 | Wilson |
| 182 | Newton-Conover | 380 | Graham | 580 | Martin | 790 | Rockingham | 990 | Yadkin |
| 190 | Chatham | 390 | Granville | 590 | McDowell | 800 | Rowan | 995 | Yancey |


North Carolina Association of County Commissioners

Map Scale 1:3,750,000.
NCACC County Map Book, 2022. | 30

# Local Education Funding Per Student

This table shows local current expense per student in each of the State's 115 LEAs for FY 2020-21 and FY 2019-20. It also ranks the LEAs by funding; a rank of 1 indicates the highest local current expense. "Local current expense" represents funding from counties out of local dollars. Source: North Carolina Department of Public Instruction, School Report Cards.

| | | FY20-21 | | FY19-20 | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| LEA | Code & Name | $ | Rank | $ | Rank |
| 010 | Alamance-Burl. | 1,936 | 50 | 2,031 | 51 |
| 020 | Alexander Co. | 1,690 | 69 | 1,593 | 90 |
| 030 | Alleghany Co. | 2,012 | 43 | 2,414 | 28 |
| 040 | Anson Co. | 1,903 | 54 | 1,637 | 83 |
| 050 | Ashe Co. | 1,921 | 51 | 1,981 | 55 |
| 060 | Avery Co. | 2,509 | 25 | 2,241 | 38 |
| 070 | Beaufort Co. | 2,481 | 26 | 2,429 | 26 |
| 080 | Bertie Co. | 1,416 | 93 | 1,673 | 79 |
| 090 | Bladen Co. | 1,320 | 98 | 1,571 | 92 |
| 100 | Brunswick Co. | 2,940 | 13 | 2,704 | 19 |
| 110 | Buncombe Co. | 2,892 | 15 | 2,992 | 13 |
| 111 | Asheville City | 6,063 | 2 | 5,913 | 2 |
| 120 | Burke Co. | 1,349 | 95 | 1,506 | 95 |
| 130 | Cabarrus Co. | 2,408 | 28 | 2,220 | 39 |
| 132 | Kannapolis City | 2,032 | 40 | 2,364 | 33 |
| 140 | Caldwell Co. | 1,188 | 103 | 1,597 | 88 |
| 150 | Camden Co. | 1,467 | 90 | 1,586 | 91 |
| 160 | Carteret Co. | 2,984 | 12 | 3,052 | 11 |
| 170 | Caswell Co. | 1,315 | 99 | 1,422 | 99 |
| 180 | Catawba Co. | 1,669 | 73 | 1,889 | 64 |
| 181 | Hickory City | 1,869 | 56 | 1,819 | 67 |
| 182 | Newton-Conov. | 2,044 | 39 | 2,141 | 44 |
| 190 | Chatham Co. | 3,553 | 6 | 3,479 | 7 |
| 200 | Cherokee Co. | 2,738 | 19 | 2,695 | 20 |
| 210 | Edenton-Chow. | 1,951 | 49 | 2,179 | 41 |
| 220 | Clay Co. | 1,565 | 81 | 1,641 | 82 |
| 230 | Cleveland Co. | 2,233 | 33 | 1,801 | 70 |
| 240 | Columbus Co. | 1,051 | 108 | 981 | 114 |
| 241 | Whiteville City | 1,009 | 109 | 1,087 | 111 |
| 250 | Craven Co. | 1,769 | 62 | 1,895 | 63 |
| 260 | Cumberland Co. | 1,602 | 78 | 1,805 | 69 |
| 270 | Currituck Co. | 2,667 | 21 | 2,777 | 18 |
| 280 | Dare Co. | 4,255 | 4 | 4,509 | 3 |
| 290 | Davidson Co. | 1,135 | 106 | 1,213 | 106 |
| 291 | Lexington City | 2,015 | 42 | 1,881 | 65 |
| 292 | Thomasville City | 966 | 111 | 1,636 | 84 |
| 300 | Davie Co. | 1,916 | 52 | 1,980 | 56 |
| 310 | Duplin Co. | 1,220 | 101 | 1,126 | 110 |
| 320 | Durham Co. | 4,032 | 5 | 3,821 | 5 |
| 330 | Edgecombe Co. | 917 | 112 | 1,389 | 102 |
| 340 | Forsyth Co. | 2,713 | 20 | 2,367 | 32 |
| 350 | Franklin | 2,021 | 41 | 2,117 | 45 |
| 360 | Gaston Co. | 1,686 | 71 | 1,931 | 59 |
| 370 | Gates Co. | 2,365 | 29 | 2,066 | 50 |
| 380 | Graham Co. | 2,003 | 44 | 2,341 | 34 |
| 390 | Granville Co. | 1,850 | 57 | 2,108 | 46 |
| 400 | Greene Co. | 1,065 | 107 | 991 | 113 |
| 410 | Guilford Co. | 3,188 | 11 | 2,803 | 17 |
| 420 | Halifax Co. | 1,189 | 102 | 2,582 | 23 |
| 421 | Roanoke Rapids | 1,634 | 74 | 1,721 | 76 |
| 422 | Weldon City | 3,209 | 10 | 2,641 | 22 |
| 430 | Harnett Co. | 1,470 | 89 | 1,399 | 101 |
| 440 | Haywood Co. | 2,357 | 30 | 2,420 | 27 |
| 450 | Henderson Co. | 2,074 | 38 | 2,284 | 35 |
| 460 | Hertford Co. | 1,564 | 82 | 1,656 | 81 |
| 470 | Hoke Co. | 982 | 110 | 1,000 | 112 |
| 480 | Hyde Co. | 2,779 | 18 | 2,968 | 14 |
| 490 | Iredell-Statesvl. | 1,888 | 55 | 1,930 | 60 |
| 491 | Mooresville City | 3,513 | 7 | 3,122 | 10 |
| 500 | Jackson Co. | 2,425 | 27 | 2,429 | 25 |
| 510 | Johnston Co. | 1,553 | 83 | 1,743 | 75 |
| 520 | Jones Co. | 1,600 | 79 | 1,403 | 100 |
| 530 | Lee Co. | 1,632 | 75 | 1,941 | 58 |
| 540 | Lenoir Co. | 1,771 | 61 | 1,610 | 86 |
| 550 | Lincoln Co. | 1,487 | 88 | 1,673 | 78 |
| 560 | Macon Co. | 1,995 | 46 | 2,071 | 49 |
| 570 | Madison Co. | 1,718 | 67 | 1,849 | 66 |
| 580 | Martin Co. | 1,731 | 65 | 2,402 | 29 |
| 590 | McDowell Co. | 1,551 | 84 | 1,806 | 68 |
| 600 | Charlotte-Meck. | 2,891 | 16 | 2,829 | 16 |
| 610 | Mitchell Co. | 1,321 | 97 | 1,353 | 104 |
| 620 | Montgomery Co. | 2,166 | 36 | 2,269 | 37 |
| 630 | Moore Co. | 2,200 | 35 | 2,523 | 24 |
| 640 | Nash-Rocky Mt. | 1,685 | 72 | 1,499 | 96 |
| 650 | New Hanover | 3,344 | 9 | 3,050 | 12 |
| 660 | Northampton Co. | 2,599 | 22 | 2,150 | 43 |
| 670 | Onslow Co. | 2,256 | 32 | 2,155 | 42 |
| 680 | Orange Co. | 4,613 | 3 | 4,446 | 4 |
| 681 | Chapel Hill-Carr. | 6,850 | 1 | 6,332 | 1 |
| 690 | Pamlico Co. | 2,576 | 24 | 3,170 | 8 |
| 700 | Pasquotank Co. | 1,692 | 68 | 2,019 | 52 |
| 710 | Pender Co. | 1,619 | 76 | 1,993 | 54 |
| 720 | Perquimans | 1,739 | 63 | 1,917 | 61 |
| 730 | Person Co. | 1,963 | 48 | 1,899 | 62 |
| 740 | Pitt Co. | 1,813 | 58 | 1,769 | 72 |
| 750 | Polk Co. | 2,590 | 23 | 2,672 | 21 |
| 760 | Randolph Co. | 1,518 | 86 | 1,559 | 94 |
| 761 | Asheboro City | 1,688 | 70 | 2,075 | 48 |
| 770 | Richmond Co. | 1,188 | 104 | 1,367 | 103 |
| 780 | Robeson Co. | 348 | 115 | 691 | 115 |
| 790 | Rockingham Co. | 1,733 | 64 | 1,593 | 89 |
| 800 | Rowan-Salisbury | 2,207 | 34 | 2,269 | 36 |
| 810 | Rutherford Co. | 1,791 | 59 | 1,604 | 87 |
| 820 | Sampson Co. | 764 | 113 | 1,175 | 108 |
| 821 | Clinton City | 1,460 | 91 | 1,464 | 98 |
| 830 | Scotland Co. | 1,782 | 60 | 2,376 | 30 |
| 840 | Stanly Co. | 1,363 | 94 | 1,634 | 85 |
| 850 | Stokes Co. | 1,995 | 45 | 2,216 | 40 |
| 860 | Surry Co. | 1,145 | 105 | 1,780 | 71 |
| 861 | Elkin City | 2,152 | 37 | 1,955 | 57 |
| 862 | Mount Airy City | 1,915 | 53 | 2,080 | 47 |
| 870 | Swain Co. | 1,522 | 85 | 1,670 | 80 |
| 880 | Transylvania Co. | 2,929 | 14 | 3,648 | 6 |
| 890 | Tyrrell Co. | 1,445 | 92 | 1,494 | 97 |
| 900 | Union Co. | 2,282 | 31 | 2,368 | 31 |
| 910 | Vance Co. | 1,336 | 96 | 1,195 | 107 |
| 920 | Wake Co. | 2,781 | 17 | 2,859 | 15 |
| 930 | Warren Co. | 1,979 | 47 | 2,007 | 53 |
| 940 | Washington Co. | 1,565 | 80 | 1,300 | 105 |
| 950 | Watauga Co. | 3,434 | 8 | 3,168 | 9 |
| 960 | Wayne Co. | 675 | 114 | 1,167 | 109 |
| 970 | Wilkes Co. | 1,606 | 77 | 1,698 | 77 |
| 980 | Wilson Co. | 1,497 | 87 | 1,757 | 73 |
| 990 | Yadkin Co. | 1,257 | 100 | 1,564 | 93 |
| 995 | Yancey Co. | 1,723 | 66 | 1,744 | 74 |
| | **Weighted Average** | **$2,328** | | **$2,311** | |

North Carolina Association of County Commissioners

# Teacher Salary Supplements

This map shows the average locally-funded teacher salary supplement for teachers in each LEA (school district). Although teacher salaries are State funded, most LEAs provide additional funding on top of the State salary.
Counties with high supplements are shown in green, low in tan.
Data source: North Carolina Department of Public Instruction, School Report Cards.





Table names Local Education Agencies and their corresponding ID numbers.

| ID | District | ID | District | ID | District | ID | District | ID | District |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 010 | Alamance-Burlington | 200 | Cherokee | 400 | Greene | 600 | Charlotte-Mecklenbur | 810 | Rutherford |
| 020 | Alexander | 210 | Edenton-Chowan | 410 | Guilford | 610 | Mitchell | 820 | Sampson |
| 030 | Alleghany | 220 | Clay | 420 | Halifax | 620 | Montgomery | 821 | Clinton |
| 040 | Anson | 230 | Cleveland | 421 | Roanoke Rapids | 630 | Moore | 830 | Scotland |
| 050 | Ashe | 240 | Columbus | 422 | Weldon | 640 | Nash-Rocky Mount | 840 | Stanly |
| 060 | Avery | 241 | Whiteville | 430 | Harnett | 650 | New Hanover | 850 | Stokes |
| 070 | Beaufort | 250 | Craven | 440 | Haywood | 660 | Northampton | 860 | Surry |
| 080 | Bertie | 260 | Cumberland | 450 | Henderson | 670 | Onslow | 861 | Elkin |
| 090 | Bladen | 270 | Currituck | 460 | Hertford | 680 | Orange | 862 | Mount Airy |
| 100 | Brunswick | 280 | Dare | 470 | Hoke | 681 | Chapel Hill-Carrboro | 870 | Swain |
| 110 | Buncombe | 290 | Davidson | 480 | Hyde | 690 | Pamlico | 880 | Transylvania |
| 111 | Asheville | 291 | Lexington | 490 | Iredell-Statesville | 700 | Pasquotank | 890 | Tyrrell |
| 120 | Burke | 292 | Thomasville | 491 | Mooresville | 710 | Pender | 900 | Union |
| 130 | Cabarrus | 300 | Davie | 500 | Jackson | 720 | Perquimans | 910 | Vance |
| 132 | Kannapolis | 310 | Duplin | 510 | Johnston | 730 | Person | 920 | Wake |
| 140 | Caldwell | 320 | Durham | 520 | Jones | 740 | Pitt | 930 | Warren |
| 150 | Camden | 330 | Edgecombe | 530 | Lee | 750 | Polk | 940 | Washington |
| 160 | Carteret | 340 | Forsyth | 540 | Lenoir | 760 | Randolph | 950 | Watauga |
| 170 | Caswell | 350 | Franklin | 550 | Lincoln | 761 | Asheboro | 960 | Wayne |
| 180 | Catawba | 360 | Gaston | 560 | Macon | 770 | Richmond | 970 | Wilkes |
| 181 | Hickory | 370 | Gates | 570 | Madison | 780 | Robeson | 980 | Wilson |
| 182 | Newton-Conover | 380 | Graham | 580 | Martin | 790 | Rockingham | 990 | Yadkin |
| 190 | Chatham | 390 | Granville | 590 | McDowell | 800 | Rowan-Salisbury | 995 | Yancey |

- $6,000 - $8,678
- $4,000 - $6,000
- $2,500 - $4,000
- $1,500 - $2,500
- $1 - $1,500
- No Supplement

**North Carolina Association of County Commissioners**

Map Scale 1:3,750,000.
NCACC County Map Book, 2022. | 32

# Teacher Salary Supplements

This table shows the average locally-funded teacher salary supplement for teachers in each LEA. Although teacher salaries are State funded, most LEAs provide additional funding on top of the State salary. Source: North Carolina Department of Public Instruction, School Report Cards.

| LEA | Code & Name | FY21-22 $ | FY21-22 Rank | FY20-21 $ | FY20-21 Rank |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 010 | Alamance-Burl. | 5,367 | 13 | 5,142 | 10 |
| 020 | Alexander Co. | 2,931 | 55 | 2,935 | 53 |
| 030 | Alleghany Co. | 500 | 109 | 500 | 108 |
| 040 | Anson Co. | 1,154 | 99 | 1,122 | 97 |
| 050 | Ashe Co. | 600 | 108 | 600 | 107 |
| 060 | Avery Co. | 1,473 | 92 | 1,476 | 90 |
| 070 | Beaufort Co. | 1,655 | 85 | 1,660 | 85 |
| 080 | Bertie Co. | 1,441 | 93 | 1,837 | 82 |
| 090 | Bladen Co. | 1,835 | 82 | 1,558 | 87 |
| 100 | Brunswick Co. | 4,336 | 24 | 3,866 | 32 |
| 110 | Buncombe Co. | 8,183 | 5 | 7,831 | 4 |
| 111 | Asheville City | 5,406 | 12 | 5,134 | 11 |
| 120 | Burke Co. | 2,031 | 79 | 2,125 | 75 |
| 130 | Cabarrus Co. | 4,700 | 16 | 4,240 | 25 |
| 132 | Kannapolis City | 3,011 | 53 | 2,925 | 54 |
| 140 | Caldwell Co. | 1,742 | 84 | 1,753 | 83 |
| 150 | Camden Co. | 1,515 | 89 | 1,744 | 84 |
| 160 | Carteret Co. | 3,774 | 37 | 2,852 | 58 |
| 170 | Caswell Co. | - | N/A | - | N/A |
| 180 | Catawba Co. | 3,788 | 35 | 3,728 | 35 |
| 181 | Hickory City | 3,883 | 32 | 3,955 | 30 |
| 182 | Newton-Conov. | 3,776 | 36 | 3,409 | 40 |
| 190 | Chatham Co. | 6,493 | 8 | 6,413 | 7 |
| 200 | Cherokee Co. | 1,402 | 94 | - | N/A |
| 210 | Edenton-Chow. | 1,476 | 91 | 1,453 | 92 |
| 220 | Clay Co. | - | N/A | 2,145 | 74 |
| 230 | Cleveland Co. | 1,933 | 81 | 2,116 | 76 |
| 240 | Columbus Co. | 3,619 | 40 | 2,358 | 67 |
| 241 | Whiteville City | 2,410 | 70 | 2,078 | 77 |
| 250 | Craven Co. | 4,508 | 19 | 4,578 | 16 |
| 260 | Cumberland Co. | 3,563 | 41 | 3,523 | 38 |
| 270 | Currituck Co. | 4,475 | 21 | 4,404 | 20 |
| 280 | Dare Co. | 4,100 | 29 | 4,165 | 28 |
| 290 | Davidson Co. | 2,926 | 56 | 2,966 | 52 |
| 291 | Lexington City | 3,812 | 34 | 3,897 | 31 |
| 292 | Thomasville City | 3,221 | 46 | 3,389 | 42 |
| 300 | Davie Co. | 2,620 | 64 | 2,627 | 61 |
| 310 | Duplin Co. | 3,207 | 47 | 3,242 | 46 |
| 320 | Durham Co. | 7,543 | 6 | 7,375 | 5 |
| 330 | Edgecombe Co. | 3,138 | 50 | 3,214 | 48 |
| 340 | Forsyth Co. | 5,868 | 10 | 5,614 | 8 |
| 350 | Franklin | 4,313 | 25 | 4,309 | 21 |
| 360 | Gaston Co. | 3,199 | 48 | 3,246 | 45 |
| 370 | Gates Co. | 1,100 | 100 | 1,100 | 99 |
| 380 | Graham Co. | - | N/A | - | N/A |
| 390 | Granville Co. | 4,537 | 18 | 4,578 | 16 |
| 400 | Greene Co. | 1,000 | 103 | 1,000 | 101 |
| 410 | Guilford Co. | 6,288 | 9 | 4,927 | 12 |
| 420 | Halifax Co. | 2,253 | 77 | 2,377 | 66 |
| 421 | Roanoke Rapids | 2,406 | 71 | 2,490 | 65 |
| 422 | Weldon City | 4,200 | 27 | - | N/A |
| 430 | Harnett Co. | 3,169 | 49 | 3,211 | 49 |
| 440 | Haywood Co. | 2,686 | 63 | 2,714 | 60 |
| 450 | Henderson Co. | 4,129 | 28 | 4,057 | 29 |
| 460 | Hertford Co. | 1,397 | 95 | 1,413 | 93 |
| 470 | Hoke Co. | 2,555 | 66 | 2,618 | 62 |
| 480 | Hyde Co. | 775 | 106 | 723 | 105 |
| 490 | Iredell-Statesvl. | 3,010 | 54 | 3,055 | 50 |
| 491 | Mooresville City | 3,050 | 51 | 3,620 | 37 |
| 500 | Jackson Co. | 2,153 | 78 | 916 | 104 |
| 510 | Johnston Co. | 5,494 | 11 | 5,563 | 9 |
| 520 | Jones Co. | 2,500 | 67 | 2,500 | 63 |
| 530 | Lee Co. | 4,582 | 17 | 4,626 | 15 |
| 540 | Lenoir Co. | 2,000 | 80 | 2,000 | 79 |
| 550 | Lincoln Co. | 4,237 | 26 | 4,258 | 23 |
| 560 | Macon Co. | 985 | 105 | 963 | 103 |
| 570 | Madison Co. | 1,000 | 103 | 1,000 | 101 |
| 580 | Martin Co. | 1,200 | 98 | 1,200 | 95 |
| 590 | McDowell Co. | 1,485 | 90 | 1,457 | 91 |
| 600 | Charlotte-Meck. | 8,678 | 1 | 8,818 | 2 |
| 610 | Mitchell Co. | 108 | 111 | 108 | 110 |
| 620 | Montgomery Co. | 2,824 | 59 | 2,043 | 78 |
| 630 | Moore Co. | 3,743 | 39 | 3,806 | 34 |
| 640 | Nash-Rocky Mt. | 4,795 | 14 | 4,858 | 13 |
| 650 | New Hanover | 8,663 | 3 | 4,183 | 27 |
| 660 | Northampton Co. | 1,268 | 97 | 1,181 | 96 |
| 670 | Onslow Co. | 4,374 | 23 | 4,503 | 18 |
| 680 | Orange Co. | 6,558 | 7 | 6,649 | 6 |
| 681 | Chapel Hill-Carr. | 8,558 | 4 | 8,441 | 3 |
| 690 | Pamlico Co. | 3,444 | 42 | 3,401 | 41 |
| 700 | Pasquotank Co. | 1,801 | 83 | 1,885 | 81 |
| 710 | Pender Co. | 4,494 | 20 | 4,264 | 22 |
| 720 | Perquimans | 1,533 | 88 | 1,524 | 88 |
| 730 | Person Co. | 4,790 | 15 | 4,815 | 14 |
| 740 | Pitt Co. | 3,048 | 52 | 2,901 | 55 |
| 750 | Polk Co. | 2,257 | 76 | 2,274 | 71 |
| 760 | Randolph Co. | 3,377 | 43 | 3,318 | 43 |
| 761 | Asheboro City | 3,260 | 44 | 3,445 | 39 |
| 770 | Richmond Co. | 1,622 | 86 | 1,497 | 89 |
| 780 | Robeson Co. | 2,811 | 60 | 2,858 | 57 |
| 790 | Rockingham Co. | 2,701 | 61 | 2,231 | 73 |
| 800 | Rowan-Salisbury | 2,888 | 58 | 3,007 | 51 |
| 810 | Rutherford Co. | 1,100 | 100 | 1,103 | 98 |
| 820 | Sampson Co. | 3,227 | 45 | 3,227 | 47 |
| 821 | Clinton City | 4,054 | 30 | 4,257 | 24 |
| 830 | Scotland Co. | 2,436 | 69 | 2,252 | 72 |
| 840 | Stanly Co. | 2,309 | 74 | 2,315 | 69 |
| 850 | Stokes Co. | 2,384 | 72 | 1,940 | 80 |
| 860 | Surry Co. | 1,279 | 96 | 1,281 | 94 |
| 861 | Elkin City | 2,578 | 65 | 3,316 | 44 |
| 862 | Mount Airy City | 1,562 | 87 | 1,561 | 86 |
| 870 | Swain Co. | - | N/A | - | N/A |
| 880 | Transylvania Co. | 3,757 | 38 | 4,205 | 26 |
| 890 | Tyrrell Co. | 1,100 | 100 | 1,100 | 99 |
| 900 | Union Co. | 4,405 | 22 | 4,459 | 19 |
| 910 | Vance Co. | 2,500 | 67 | 2,500 | 63 |
| 920 | Wake Co. | 8,670 | 2 | 8,873 | 1 |
| 930 | Warren Co. | 2,900 | 57 | 2,900 | 56 |
| 940 | Washington Co. | 612 | 107 | 672 | 106 |
| 950 | Watauga Co. | 2,355 | 73 | 2,355 | 68 |
| 960 | Wayne Co. | 3,886 | 31 | 3,625 | 36 |
| 970 | Wilkes Co. | 2,300 | 75 | 2,311 | 70 |
| 980 | Wilson Co. | 3,860 | 33 | 3,829 | 33 |
| 990 | Yadkin Co. | 2,687 | 62 | 2,742 | 59 |
| 995 | Yancey Co. | 300 | 110 | 300 | 109 |
| | **Weighted Average** | **$5,123** | | **$4,942** | |

# Opportunity Youth

This map shows the percentage of each county's residents aged 16-24 who were neither in school nor working full or part time, measured over the period 2015-2019. This metric replaces the "Disconnected Youth" metric used in prior versions of this publication. Counties with higher Opportunity Youth rates are shown in green, with lower rates in tan.
Data source: Carolina Demography with myFutureNC.





Table ranked from highest opportunity youth rate to lowest.

| Rank | County | Rank | County | Rank | County | Rank | County | Rank | County |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Hertford | 21 | Stokes | 41 | Brunswick | 60 | Randolph | 81 | Henderson |
| 2 | Northampton | 22 | Washington | 42 | Cleveland | 62 | Cabarrus | 81 | Mecklenburg |
| 3 | Halifax | 23 | Richmond | 43 | Davie | 62 | Johnston | 83 | Transylvania |
| 4 | Bladen | 24 | Edgecombe | 44 | Moore | 64 | Camden | 84 | Guilford |
| 5 | Burke | 24 | Hoke | 45 | Hyde | 64 | Rowan | 84 | Lee |
| 6 | Columbus | 24 | Scotland | 46 | Yadkin | 66 | Carteret | 86 | Chatham |
| 6 | Robeson | 27 | Lenoir | 47 | Harnett | 67 | Iredell | 87 | Pitt |
| 8 | Wilson | 28 | Jones | 48 | Gaston | 68 | Gates | 88 | Jackson |
| 9 | Greene | 29 | Martin | 49 | Madison | 68 | Pasquotank | 89 | Durham |
| 9 | Warren | 30 | Alexander | 49 | Rutherford | 70 | Currituck | 89 | Union |
| 11 | Swain | 31 | Caldwell | 51 | Pamlico | 70 | Perquimans | 91 | Macon |
| 12 | Vance | 31 | Surry | 51 | Polk | 72 | Chowan | 92 | Cherokee |
| 13 | Rockingham | 33 | Lincoln | 51 | Sampson | 73 | Pender | 93 | Clay |
| 14 | Bertie | 33 | Nash | 54 | Beaufort | 73 | Stanly | 94 | Wake |
| 15 | Granville | 35 | Alleghany | 54 | Haywood | 75 | Craven | 95 | Avery |
| 16 | Caswell | 35 | Tyrrell | 54 | Wayne | 76 | Forsyth | 96 | Watauga |
| 16 | McDowell | 37 | Anson | 57 | Catawba | 77 | Buncombe | 97 | Ashe |
| 18 | Montgomery | 37 | Davidson | 58 | Duplin | 78 | New Hanover | 98 | Mitchell |
| 19 | Person | 37 | Wilkes | 59 | Cumberland | 79 | Onslow | 98 | Yancey |
| 20 | Franklin | 40 | Graham | 60 | Dare | 80 | Alamance | 100 | Orange |

**North Carolina Association of County Commissioners**

Map Scale 1:3,750,000.

# Opportunity Youth

This table shows the percentage of each county's residents aged 16-24 who were neither in school nor working full or part time, measured over the period from 2015-2019. This figure includes incarcerated youth who are not enrolled in school.

Background on This Measure

This "Opportunity Youth" measure replaces the "Disconnected Youth" measure used in prior versions of this publication. "Disconnected Youth" was limited to youth in the 16-19 age range, while "Opportunity Youth" extends to age 24.

Replacing the "Disconnected Youth" measure with “Opportunity Youth” brings the NCACC Map Book in line with standards used by many researchers in the field of youth outcomes. “Opportunity Youth” is used by the Interagency Working Group on Youth Programs, which is composed of representatives from 22 federal agencies that support programs and services focusing on youth. It is also used by myFutureNC and Carolina Demography in their research on youth educational outcomes. Data Source: Carolina Demography and myFutureNC.

| | % | Rank | | % | Rank | | % | Rank | | % | Rank |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Alamance | 10.1% | 80 | Davidson | 15.5% | 37 | Madison | 13.7% | 49 | Stokes | 17.5% | 21 |
| Alexander | 16.3% | 30 | Davie | 15.0% | 43 | Martin | 16.7% | 29 | Surry | 16.2% | 31 |
| Alleghany | 15.9% | 35 | Duplin | 13.2% | 58 | McDowell | 18.1% | 16 | Swain | 20.3% | 11 |
| Anson | 15.5% | 37 | Durham | 7.8% | 89 | Mecklenburg | 10.0% | 81 | Transylvania | 9.9% | 83 |
| Ashe | 5.6% | 97 | Edgecombe | 17.0% | 24 | Mitchell | 5.5% | 98 | Tyrrell | 15.9% | 35 |
| Avery | 5.9% | 95 | Forsyth | 11.8% | 76 | Montgomery | 18.0% | 18 | Union | 7.8% | 89 |
| Beaufort | 13.5% | 54 | Franklin | 17.6% | 20 | Moore | 14.8% | 44 | Vance | 19.8% | 12 |
| Bertie | 18.5% | 14 | Gaston | 14.0% | 48 | Nash | 16.1% | 33 | Wake | 6.9% | 94 |
| Bladen | 22.4% | 4 | Gates | 12.5% | 68 | New Hanover | 10.8% | 78 | Warren | 20.5% | 9 |
| Brunswick | 15.2% | 41 | Graham | 15.4% | 40 | Northampton | 26.4% | 2 | Washington | 17.2% | 22 |
| Buncombe | 11.5% | 77 | Granville | 18.3% | 15 | Onslow | 10.6% | 79 | Watauga | 5.7% | 96 |
| Burke | 22.2% | 5 | Greene | 20.5% | 9 | Orange | 4.2% | 100 | Wayne | 13.5% | 54 |
| Cabarrus | 12.9% | 62 | Guilford | 9.8% | 84 | Pamlico | 13.6% | 51 | Wilkes | 15.5% | 37 |
| Caldwell | 16.2% | 31 | Halifax | 25.4% | 3 | Pasquotank | 12.5% | 68 | Wilson | 21.1% | 8 |
| Camden | 12.8% | 64 | Harnett | 14.4% | 47 | Pender | 12.1% | 73 | Yadkin | 14.6% | 46 |
| Carteret | 12.7% | 66 | Haywood | 13.5% | 54 | Perquimans | 12.4% | 70 | Yancey | 5.5% | 98 |
| Caswell | 18.1% | 16 | Henderson | 10.0% | 81 | Person | 17.8% | 19 | | | |
| Catawba | 13.3% | 57 | Hertford | 26.6% | 1 | Pitt | 9.0% | 87 | **Statewide** | **11.0%** | |
| Chatham | 9.6% | 86 | Hoke | 17.0% | 24 | Polk | 13.6% | 51 | | | |
| Cherokee | 7.3% | 92 | Hyde | 14.7% | 45 | Randolph | 13.0% | 60 | | | |
| Chowan | 12.3% | 72 | Iredell | 12.6% | 67 | Richmond | 17.1% | 23 | | | |
| Clay | 7.0% | 93 | Jackson | 8.9% | 88 | Robeson | 22.0% | 6 | | | |
| Cleveland | 15.1% | 42 | Johnston | 12.9% | 62 | Rockingham | 18.9% | 13 | | | |
| Columbus | 22.0% | 6 | Jones | 16.8% | 28 | Rowan | 12.8% | 64 | | | |
| Craven | 11.9% | 75 | Lee | 9.8% | 84 | Rutherford | 13.7% | 49 | | | |
| Cumberland | 13.1% | 59 | Lenoir | 16.9% | 27 | Sampson | 13.6% | 51 | | | |
| Currituck | 12.4% | 70 | Lincoln | 16.1% | 33 | Scotland | 17.0% | 24 | | | |
| Dare | 13.0% | 60 | Macon | 7.5% | 91 | Stanly | 12.1% | 73 | | | |

North Carolina Association of County Commissioners

# Educational Attainment

This map shows the percentage of adults ages 25 to 44 with a postsecondary degree or credential as of 2018.
North Carolina's goal is to achieve 2 million postsecondary degrees or certificates by 2030.
Counties with high proportions of degree and certificate holders are shown in green, with low proportions in tan.
Data source: Goals and data were developed by myFutureNC, a statewide nonprofit organization focused on educational attainment.





Table ranked from highest proportion of attainment to lowest.

| Rank | County | Rank | County | Rank | County | Rank | County | Rank | County |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Orange | 21 | Camden | 41 | Lee | 61 | Stanly | 81 | Washington |
| 2 | Wake | 22 | Polk | 42 | Lincoln | 62 | Rowan | 82 | Burke |
| 3 | Durham | 23 | Dare | 43 | Granville | 63 | Cleveland | 83 | Vance |
| 4 | Mecklenburg | 24 | Pender | 44 | Currituck | 64 | Gates | 84 | Richmond |
| 5 | Watauga | 25 | Cherokee | 45 | Surry | 65 | Randolph | 85 | Warren |
| 6 | New Hanover | 26 | Cumberland | 46 | Hoke | 66 | Graham | 86 | Sampson |
| 7 | Buncombe | 27 | Johnston | 47 | Davidson | 67 | Rockingham | 87 | Scotland |
| 8 | Moore | 28 | Craven | 48 | Ashe | 68 | Chowan | 88 | Avery |
| 9 | Pitt | 29 | Harnett | 49 | Transylvania | 69 | Caldwell | 89 | Halifax |
| 10 | Chatham | 30 | Brunswick | 50 | Clay | 70 | Stokes | 90 | Robeson |
| 11 | Cabarrus | 31 | Gaston | 51 | Davie | 71 | Swain | 91 | Montgomery |
| 12 | Union | 32 | Perquimans | 52 | Beaufort | 72 | Northampton | 92 | Duplin |
| 13 | Guilford | 33 | Nash | 53 | Yancey | 73 | Lenoir | 93 | Bertie |
| 14 | Forsyth | 34 | Onslow | 54 | Pasquotank | 74 | Bladen | 94 | Columbus |
| 15 | Jackson | 35 | Macon | 55 | Mitchell | 75 | Yadkin | 95 | Anson |
| 16 | Haywood | 36 | Alamance | 56 | Rutherford | 76 | Pamlico | 96 | Greene |
| 17 | Carteret | 37 | Franklin | 57 | McDowell | 77 | Alexander | 97 | Jones |
| 18 | Madison | 38 | Wayne | 58 | Person | 78 | Hertford | 98 | Alleghany |
| 19 | Iredell | 39 | Catawba | 59 | Wilkes | 79 | Caswell | 99 | Tyrrell |
| 20 | Henderson | 40 | Martin | 60 | Wilson | 80 | Edgecombe | 100 | Hyde |

**North Carolina Association of County Commissioners**

Map Scale 1:3,750,000.

# Educational Attainment

This table shows the percentage of adults ages 25 to 44 with a postsecondary degree or credential as of 2018, along with a 2030 goal percentage for each county and each county's rank among the 100 counties. A rank of 1 indicates the highest percentage with a degree or credential. Goals and data were developed by myFutureNC, a statewide nonprofit organization focused on educational attainment.

| | 2018 Est. | | 2030 Goal | | | 2018 Est. | | 2030 Goal | | | 2018 Est. | | 2030 Goal | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | % | Rank | % | Rank | | % | Rank | % | Rank | | % | Rank | % | Rank |
| Alamance | 43% | 36 | 54% | 56 | Graham | 37% | 66 | 60% | 31 | Polk | 47% | 22 | 69% | 15 |
| Alexander | 33% | 77 | 48% | 77 | Granville | 42% | 43 | 62% | 25 | Randolph | 37% | 65 | 55% | 54 |
| Alleghany | 27% | 98 | 38% | 99 | Greene | 27% | 96 | 38% | 97 | Richmond | 32% | 84 | 42% | 90 |
| Anson | 28% | 95 | 46% | 81 | Guilford | 54% | 13 | 66% | 20 | Robeson | 31% | 90 | 46% | 82 |
| Ashe | 41% | 48 | 62% | 28 | Halifax | 31% | 89 | 42% | 89 | Rockingham | 36% | 67 | 53% | 61 |
| Avery | 31% | 88 | 41% | 92 | Harnett | 44% | 29 | 59% | 37 | Rowan | 38% | 62 | 53% | 60 |
| Beaufort | 40% | 52 | 53% | 59 | Haywood | 50% | 16 | 70% | 11 | Rutherford | 40% | 56 | 55% | 53 |
| Bertie | 29% | 93 | 47% | 79 | Henderson | 48% | 20 | 62% | 29 | Sampson | 31% | 86 | 45% | 83 |
| Bladen | 35% | 74 | 51% | 69 | Hertford | 33% | 78 | 44% | 86 | Scotland | 31% | 87 | 42% | 91 |
| Brunswick | 43% | 30 | 62% | 27 | Hoke | 41% | 46 | 57% | 46 | Stanly | 39% | 61 | 52% | 63 |
| Buncombe | 58% | 7 | 74% | 7 | Hyde | 20% | 100 | 32% | 100 | Stokes | 36% | 70 | 52% | 62 |
| Burke | 33% | 82 | 43% | 88 | Iredell | 49% | 19 | 67% | 19 | Surry | 42% | 45 | 59% | 33 |
| Cabarrus | 55% | 11 | 73% | 8 | Jackson | 52% | 15 | 69% | 13 | Swain | 36% | 71 | 45% | 84 |
| Caldwell | 36% | 69 | 50% | 73 | Johnston | 44% | 27 | 56% | 51 | Transylvania | 41% | 49 | 50% | 74 |
| Camden | 48% | 21 | 55% | 52 | Jones | 27% | 97 | 38% | 98 | Tyrrell | 25% | 99 | 38% | 96 |
| Carteret | 50% | 17 | 68% | 18 | Lee | 42% | 41 | 58% | 38 | Union | 54% | 12 | 70% | 12 |
| Caswell | 33% | 79 | 54% | 58 | Lenoir | 35% | 73 | 48% | 78 | Vance | 32% | 83 | 51% | 68 |
| Catawba | 42% | 39 | 56% | 48 | Lincoln | 42% | 42 | 60% | 32 | Wake | 72% | 2 | 82% | 1 |
| Chatham | 56% | 10 | 71% | 9 | Macon | 43% | 35 | 58% | 40 | Warren | 32% | 85 | 50% | 72 |
| Cherokee | 46% | 25 | 68% | 16 | Madison | 50% | 18 | 71% | 10 | Washington | 33% | 81 | 43% | 87 |
| Chowan | 36% | 68 | 54% | 57 | Martin | 42% | 40 | 62% | 26 | Watauga | 62% | 5 | 79% | 3 |
| Clay | 41% | 50 | 50% | 75 | McDowell | 39% | 57 | 50% | 71 | Wayne | 42% | 38 | 59% | 35 |
| Cleveland | 38% | 63 | 52% | 66 | Mecklenburg | 64% | 4 | 74% | 6 | Wilkes | 39% | 59 | 54% | 55 |
| Columbus | 29% | 94 | 40% | 95 | Mitchell | 40% | 55 | 57% | 47 | Wilson | 39% | 60 | 51% | 67 |
| Craven | 44% | 28 | 58% | 42 | Montgomery | 30% | 91 | 40% | 93 | Yadkin | 34% | 75 | 47% | 80 |
| Cumberland | 46% | 26 | 59% | 36 | Moore | 57% | 8 | 77% | 4 | Yancey | 40% | 53 | 58% | 43 |
| Currituck | 42% | 44 | 64% | 23 | Nash | 43% | 33 | 58% | 41 | | | | | |
| Dare | 47% | 23 | 57% | 45 | New Hanover | 61% | 6 | 69% | 14 | **Statewide** | **52%** | | **65%** | |
| Davidson | 41% | 47 | 58% | 44 | Northampton | 36% | 72 | 56% | 49 | | | | | |
| Davie | 41% | 51 | 52% | 65 | Onslow | 43% | 34 | 59% | 34 | | | | | |
| Duplin | 29% | 92 | 40% | 94 | Orange | 74% | 1 | 80% | 2 | | | | | |
| Durham | 65% | 3 | 75% | 5 | Pamlico | 34% | 76 | 44% | 85 | | | | | |
| Edgecombe | 33% | 80 | 48% | 76 | Pasquotank | 40% | 54 | 52% | 64 | | | | | |
| Forsyth | 52% | 14 | 63% | 24 | Pender | 47% | 24 | 65% | 21 | | | | | |
| Franklin | 43% | 37 | 61% | 30 | Perquimans | 43% | 32 | 65% | 22 | | | | | |
| Gaston | 43% | 31 | 58% | 39 | Person | 39% | 58 | 56% | 50 | | | | | |
| Gates | 37% | 64 | 51% | 70 | Pitt | 56% | 9 | 68% | 17 | | | | | |

North Carolina Association of County Commissioners

# Computing Device Access

This map shows the percentage of households in each county with at least one computing device, including a desktop or laptop computer, a smartphone, or a tablet. Data are estimates for 2020 . Counties where a greater percentage of residents possess a device are shown in green, counties with lesser percentages in tan. Data source: US Census Bureau, American Community Survey.





Table ranked from highest access rate to lowest.

| Rank | County | Rank | County | Rank | County | Rank | County | Rank | County |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Wake | 21 | Watauga | 41 | Rowan | 61 | Alexander | 81 | Wilson |
| 2 | Dare | 22 | Henderson | 42 | Buncombe | 62 | Clay | 82 | Montgomery |
| 3 | Mecklenburg | 23 | Davie | 43 | Wayne | 63 | Gates | 83 | Yancey |
| 4 | Onslow | 24 | Chatham | 44 | Pasquotank | 64 | Lenoir | 84 | Alleghany |
| 5 | Orange | 25 | Pitt | 45 | Hoke | 65 | Martin | 85 | Hertford |
| 6 | Union | 26 | Craven | 46 | Nash | 66 | Anson | 86 | Tyrrell |
| 7 | Cabarrus | 27 | Alamance | 47 | McDowell | 67 | Macon | 87 | Columbus |
| 8 | New Hanover | 28 | Transylvania | 48 | Avery | 68 | Vance | 88 | Caswell |
| 9 | Currituck | 29 | Guilford | 49 | Cherokee | 69 | Rutherford | 89 | Madison |
| 10 | Durham | 30 | Moore | 50 | Hyde | 70 | Caldwell | 90 | Swain |
| 11 | Brunswick | 31 | Catawba | 51 | Haywood | 71 | Burke | 91 | Rockingham |
| 12 | Camden | 32 | Davidson | 52 | Yadkin | 72 | Richmond | 92 | Jones |
| 13 | Carteret | 33 | Polk | 53 | Franklin | 73 | Bladen | 93 | Halifax |
| 14 | Forsyth | 34 | Gaston | 54 | Wilkes | 74 | Ashe | 94 | Warren |
| 15 | Johnston | 35 | Lee | 55 | Pamlico | 75 | Stokes | 95 | Scotland |
| 16 | Pender | 36 | Person | 56 | Perquimans | 76 | Duplin | 96 | Edgecombe |
| 17 | Iredell | 37 | Jackson | 57 | Greene | 77 | Surry | 97 | Bertie |
| 18 | Harnett | 38 | Lincoln | 58 | Sampson | 78 | Cleveland | 98 | Graham |
| 19 | Granville | 39 | Stanly | 59 | Chowan | 79 | Mitchell | 99 | Northampton |
| 20 | Cumberland | 40 | Randolph | 60 | Beaufort | 80 | Robeson | 100 | Washington |

**North Carolina Association of County Commissioners**

Map Scale 1:3,750,000.

# Computing Device Access


This table shows the percentage of households in each county with at least one computing device in 2020 and in 2019 and each county's rank among the 100 counties; a rank of 1 indicates the highest amount of residents with a computing device. A computing device in this data includes a desktop or laptop computer, a smartphone, or a tablet. Source: US Census Bureau American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates.

| | 2020 | | 2019 | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | % | Rank | % | Rank |
| Alamance | 90.3% | 27 | 88.9% | 26 |
| Alexander | 85.4% | 61 | 82.0% | 70 |
| Alleghany | 82.3% | 84 | 82.2% | 68 |
| Anson | 84.8% | 66 | 81.7% | 72 |
| Ashe | 84.1% | 74 | 80.5% | 79 |
| Avery | 86.9% | 48 | 82.5% | 65 |
| Beaufort | 85.8% | 60 | 83.3% | 62 |
| Bertie | 78.7% | 97 | 75.2% | 97 |
| Bladen | 84.3% | 73 | 80.4% | 80 |
| Brunswick | 93.9% | 11 | 92.4% | 11 |
| Buncombe | 88.3% | 42 | 88.1% | 30 |
| Burke | 84.5% | 71 | 81.9% | 71 |
| Cabarrus | 94.5% | 7 | 93.6% | 7 |
| Caldwell | 84.6% | 70 | 83.9% | 56 |
| Camden | 93.4% | 12 | 92.1% | 12 |
| Carteret | 92.8% | 13 | 91.1% | 14 |
| Caswell | 81.8% | 88 | 78.7% | 88 |
| Catawba | 89.8% | 31 | 87.7% | 34 |
| Chatham | 90.9% | 24 | 89.2% | 23 |
| Cherokee | 86.8% | 49 | 84.6% | 51 |
| Chowan | 86.0% | 59 | 83.1% | 64 |
| Clay | 85.4% | 62 | 86.5% | 46 |
| Cleveland | 83.3% | 78 | 80.3% | 81 |
| Columbus | 81.9% | 87 | 78.5% | 89 |
| Craven | 90.3% | 26 | 90.6% | 17 |
| Cumberland | 91.3% | 20 | 90.5% | 18 |
| Currituck | 94.3% | 9 | 93.0% | 8 |
| Dare | 96.0% | 2 | 95.8% | 2 |
| Davidson | 89.7% | 32 | 86.9% | 41 |
| Davie | 91.2% | 23 | 89.1% | 25 |
| Duplin | 83.7% | 76 | 81.2% | 76 |
| Durham | 94.0% | 10 | 92.7% | 9 |
| Edgecombe | 79.5% | 96 | 77.0% | 94 |
| Forsyth | 92.4% | 14 | 90.2% | 20 |
| Franklin | 86.4% | 53 | 85.5% | 47 |
| Gaston | 89.5% | 34 | 87.5% | 35 |
| Gates | 85.3% | 63 | 83.6% | 58 |
| Graham | 78.1% | 98 | 68.5% | 100 |
| Granville | 91.4% | 19 | 88.8% | 28 |
| Greene | 86.2% | 57 | 84.2% | 53 |
| Guilford | 90.1% | 29 | 88.1% | 31 |
| Halifax | 80.4% | 93 | 78.5% | 90 |
| Harnett | 91.7% | 18 | 91.4% | 13 |
| Haywood | 86.6% | 51 | 84.7% | 50 |
| Henderson | 91.2% | 22 | 88.8% | 27 |
| Hertford | 82.0% | 85 | 79.4% | 84 |
| Hoke | 87.8% | 45 | 87.2% | 39 |
| Hyde | 86.7% | 50 | 79.0% | 87 |
| Iredell | 91.8% | 17 | 90.3% | 19 |
| Jackson | 89.2% | 37 | 86.7% | 43 |
| Johnston | 92.4% | 15 | 91.0% | 15 |
| Jones | 80.4% | 92 | 78.0% | 91 |
| Lee | 89.4% | 35 | 87.4% | 36 |
| Lenoir | 85.2% | 64 | 84.1% | 55 |
| Lincoln | 89.1% | 38 | 88.7% | 29 |
| Macon | 84.8% | 67 | 85.3% | 49 |
| Madison | 81.8% | 89 | 82.3% | 67 |
| Martin | 84.9% | 65 | 83.6% | 57 |
| McDowell | 87.4% | 47 | 84.1% | 54 |
| Mecklenburg | 95.3% | 3 | 94.4% | 3 |
| Mitchell | 83.0% | 79 | 79.3% | 85 |
| Montgomery | 82.6% | 82 | 79.8% | 82 |
| Moore | 90.1% | 30 | 87.3% | 37 |
| Nash | 87.7% | 46 | 85.4% | 48 |
| New Hanover | 94.3% | 8 | 92.7% | 10 |
| Northampton | 77.0% | 99 | 74.2% | 98 |
| Onslow | 95.1% | 4 | 94.2% | 6 |
| Orange | 95.0% | 5 | 94.3% | 5 |
| Pamlico | 86.3% | 55 | 84.5% | 52 |
| Pasquotank | 87.9% | 44 | 87.1% | 40 |
| Pender | 92.0% | 16 | 90.1% | 21 |
| Perquimans | 86.2% | 56 | 83.5% | 59 |
| Person | 89.2% | 36 | 86.6% | 44 |
| Pitt | 90.5% | 25 | 89.1% | 24 |
| Polk | 89.7% | 33 | 87.7% | 33 |
| Randolph | 88.6% | 40 | 86.5% | 45 |
| Richmond | 84.5% | 72 | 81.3% | 75 |
| Robeson | 82.8% | 80 | 77.2% | 93 |
| Rockingham | 81.6% | 91 | 79.7% | 83 |
| Rowan | 88.5% | 41 | 87.3% | 38 |
| Rutherford | 84.7% | 69 | 81.4% | 74 |
| Sampson | 86.0% | 58 | 81.7% | 73 |
| Scotland | 80.0% | 95 | 77.4% | 92 |
| Stanly | 89.0% | 39 | 87.9% | 32 |
| Stokes | 84.1% | 75 | 82.4% | 66 |
| Surry | 83.5% | 77 | 80.5% | 77 |
| Swain | 81.7% | 90 | 75.6% | 96 |
| Transylvania | 90.2% | 28 | 89.7% | 22 |
| Tyrrell | 82.0% | 86 | 80.5% | 78 |
| Union | 94.7% | 6 | 94.4% | 4 |
| Vance | 84.8% | 68 | 82.2% | 69 |
| Wake | 96.7% | 1 | 96.1% | 1 |
| Warren | 80.3% | 94 | 76.9% | 95 |
| Washington | 72.8% | 100 | 72.3% | 99 |
| Watauga | 91.2% | 21 | 90.7% | 16 |
| Wayne | 88.1% | 43 | 86.7% | 42 |
| Wilkes | 86.3% | 54 | 83.5% | 60 |
| Wilson | 82.8% | 81 | 83.2% | 63 |
| Yadkin | 86.5% | 52 | 83.4% | 61 |
| Yancey | 82.4% | 83 | 79.1% | 86 |
| **Statewide** | **90.7%** | | **89.1%** | |

North Carolina Association of County Commissioners

# Internet Access

This map shows the percentage of households in each county that had an internet subscription via broadband or satellite internet in 2020. Counties with the highest rates of internet access are shown in green, counties with lower rates in tan.
Data source: US Census Bureau, American Community Survey.




43% 91%

Table ranked from highest access rate to lowest.

| Rank | County | Rank | County | Rank | County | Rank | County | Rank | County |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Dare | 21 | Cumberland | 41 | Ashe | 61 | Yadkin | 81 | Chowan |
| 2 | Wake | 22 | Craven | 42 | Johnston | 62 | Richmond | 82 | Madison |
| 3 | Union | 23 | Currituck | 43 | Pamlico | 63 | Avery | 83 | Jones |
| 4 | Mecklenburg | 24 | Transylvania | 44 | Polk | 64 | Vance | 84 | Duplin |
| 5 | Cabarrus | 25 | Gaston | 45 | Randolph | 65 | Pitt | 85 | Martin |
| 6 | Onslow | 26 | Chatham | 46 | Stanly | 66 | Mitchell | 86 | Montgomery |
| 7 | Orange | 27 | Guilford | 47 | Buncombe | 67 | Stokes | 87 | Swain |
| 8 | Camden | 28 | Catawba | 48 | Cherokee | 68 | Hertford | 88 | Tyrrell |
| 9 | Carteret | 29 | Alamance | 49 | Nash | 69 | Sampson | 89 | Edgecombe |
| 10 | Davie | 30 | Davidson | 50 | Yancey | 70 | Rockingham | 90 | Warren |
| 11 | Durham | 31 | Harnett | 51 | Alexander | 71 | Surry | 91 | Caswell |
| 12 | New Hanover | 32 | Rowan | 52 | Graham | 72 | McDowell | 92 | Greene |
| 13 | Moore | 33 | Forsyth | 53 | Macon | 73 | Perquimans | 93 | Scotland |
| 14 | Iredell | 34 | Person | 54 | Caldwell | 74 | Gates | 94 | Columbus |
| 15 | Watauga | 35 | Pender | 55 | Anson | 75 | Bladen | 95 | Bertie |
| 16 | Henderson | 36 | Lee | 56 | Clay | 76 | Cleveland | 96 | Robeson |
| 17 | Hoke | 37 | Pasquotank | 57 | Alleghany | 77 | Jackson | 97 | Halifax |
| 18 | Lincoln | 38 | Wilkes | 58 | Wilson | 78 | Haywood | 98 | Hyde |
| 19 | Brunswick | 39 | Franklin | 59 | Beaufort | 79 | Lenoir | 99 | Washington |
| 20 | Granville | 40 | Wayne | 60 | Burke | 80 | Rutherford | 100 | Northampton |


North Carolina Association of County Commissioners

Map Scale 1:3,750,000.
NCACC County Map Book, 2022. | 40

# Internet Access

This table shows the percentage of households in each county that had an internet subscription via broadband or satellite internet in 2020 and in 2019 and each county's rank among the 100 counties; a rank of 1 indicates the highest rate of internet subscriptions. Source: US Census Bureau American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates.

| | 2020 | | 2019 | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | % | Rank | % | Rank |
| Alamance | 74.9% | 29 | 71.0% | 39 |
| Alexander | 68.8% | 51 | 63.3% | 60 |
| Alleghany | 67.6% | 57 | 66.9% | 51 |
| Anson | 67.7% | 55 | 62.8% | 65 |
| Ashe | 72.6% | 41 | 65.9% | 52 |
| Avery | 66.0% | 63 | 64.1% | 57 |
| Beaufort | 67.0% | 59 | 62.6% | 66 |
| Bertie | 53.1% | 95 | 48.7% | 97 |
| Bladen | 62.6% | 75 | 57.6% | 81 |
| Brunswick | 78.4% | 19 | 76.0% | 20 |
| Buncombe | 71.1% | 47 | 72.2% | 32 |
| Burke | 66.4% | 60 | 65.0% | 55 |
| Cabarrus | 83.8% | 5 | 84.3% | 3 |
| Caldwell | 67.9% | 54 | 68.2% | 45 |
| Camden | 82.6% | 8 | 79.2% | 11 |
| Carteret | 82.2% | 9 | 79.5% | 10 |
| Caswell | 57.5% | 91 | 53.4% | 88 |
| Catawba | 75.1% | 28 | 72.4% | 30 |
| Chatham | 75.8% | 26 | 72.6% | 28 |
| Cherokee | 69.9% | 48 | 67.5% | 48 |
| Chowan | 60.7% | 81 | 62.4% | 68 |
| Clay | 67.7% | 56 | 68.4% | 44 |
| Cleveland | 62.4% | 76 | 59.1% | 79 |
| Columbus | 53.9% | 94 | 51.6% | 92 |
| Craven | 77.4% | 22 | 75.7% | 21 |
| Cumberland | 77.7% | 21 | 76.6% | 18 |
| Currituck | 77.3% | 23 | 75.3% | 22 |
| Dare | 90.6% | 1 | 89.3% | 1 |
| Davidson | 74.4% | 30 | 71.3% | 37 |
| Davie | 81.6% | 10 | 77.0% | 13 |
| Duplin | 60.0% | 84 | 55.1% | 86 |
| Durham | 81.4% | 11 | 80.2% | 8 |
| Edgecombe | 57.8% | 89 | 55.0% | 87 |
| Forsyth | 74.2% | 33 | 71.9% | 34 |
| Franklin | 73.1% | 39 | 72.2% | 31 |
| Gaston | 76.3% | 25 | 74.2% | 26 |
| Gates | 62.8% | 74 | 64.0% | 58 |
| Graham | 68.0% | 52 | 56.0% | 85 |
| Granville | 78.1% | 20 | 74.5% | 24 |
| Greene | 55.5% | 92 | 52.9% | 89 |
| Guilford | 75.5% | 27 | 70.9% | 40 |
| Halifax | 51.2% | 97 | 49.6% | 96 |
| Harnett | 74.3% | 31 | 74.0% | 27 |
| Haywood | 61.3% | 78 | 60.8% | 71 |
| Henderson | 78.6% | 16 | 74.5% | 23 |
| Hertford | 63.9% | 68 | 57.4% | 82 |
| Hoke | 78.5% | 17 | 76.8% | 15 |
| Hyde | 50.5% | 98 | 43.6% | 99 |
| Iredell | 79.5% | 14 | 76.3% | 19 |
| Jackson | 61.8% | 77 | 61.9% | 69 |
| Johnston | 72.1% | 42 | 71.4% | 36 |
| Jones | 60.0% | 83 | 52.5% | 90 |
| Lee | 73.6% | 36 | 70.3% | 41 |
| Lenoir | 61.0% | 79 | 58.2% | 80 |
| Lincoln | 78.4% | 18 | 76.6% | 17 |
| Macon | 68.0% | 53 | 68.9% | 43 |
| Madison | 60.1% | 82 | 60.4% | 74 |
| Martin | 59.4% | 85 | 59.5% | 78 |
| McDowell | 63.0% | 72 | 62.8% | 64 |
| Mecklenburg | 84.1% | 4 | 81.9% | 7 |
| Mitchell | 64.7% | 66 | 62.8% | 62 |
| Montgomery | 59.1% | 86 | 59.5% | 77 |
| Moore | 81.1% | 13 | 76.9% | 14 |
| Nash | 69.3% | 49 | 68.0% | 46 |
| New Hanover | 81.2% | 12 | 79.8% | 9 |
| Northampton | 43.1% | 100 | 40.8% | 100 |
| Onslow | 83.6% | 6 | 82.0% | 6 |
| Orange | 83.2% | 7 | 82.5% | 5 |
| Pamlico | 71.9% | 43 | 65.3% | 54 |
| Pasquotank | 73.4% | 37 | 71.1% | 38 |
| Pender | 74.1% | 35 | 72.1% | 33 |
| Perquimans | 62.9% | 73 | 60.6% | 73 |
| Person | 74.1% | 34 | 74.2% | 25 |
| Pitt | 65.6% | 65 | 64.7% | 56 |
| Polk | 71.7% | 44 | 67.1% | 49 |
| Randolph | 71.4% | 45 | 67.8% | 47 |
| Richmond | 66.2% | 62 | 62.5% | 67 |
| Robeson | 51.6% | 96 | 48.6% | 98 |
| Rockingham | 63.2% | 70 | 60.0% | 76 |
| Rowan | 74.3% | 32 | 72.6% | 29 |
| Rutherford | 60.7% | 80 | 56.8% | 83 |
| Sampson | 63.6% | 69 | 56.1% | 84 |
| Scotland | 55.1% | 93 | 49.6% | 95 |
| Stanly | 71.3% | 46 | 71.8% | 35 |
| Stokes | 64.4% | 67 | 61.7% | 70 |
| Surry | 63.1% | 71 | 60.7% | 72 |
| Swain | 58.9% | 87 | 51.0% | 93 |
| Transylvania | 76.9% | 24 | 76.7% | 16 |
| Tyrrell | 57.9% | 88 | 60.1% | 75 |
| Union | 85.8% | 3 | 84.0% | 4 |
| Vance | 65.9% | 64 | 63.0% | 61 |
| Wake | 90.1% | 2 | 88.4% | 2 |
| Warren | 57.6% | 90 | 52.3% | 91 |
| Washington | 48.0% | 99 | 49.8% | 94 |
| Watauga | 79.1% | 15 | 77.7% | 12 |
| Wayne | 72.8% | 40 | 67.1% | 50 |
| Wilkes | 73.2% | 38 | 69.5% | 42 |
| Wilson | 67.3% | 58 | 65.9% | 53 |
| Yadkin | 66.2% | 61 | 63.8% | 59 |
| Yancey | 68.9% | 50 | 62.8% | 63 |
| **Statewide** | **75.9%** | | **73.7%** | |

North Carolina Association of County Commissioners

# Children in Poverty

This map shows the percentage of each county's children under age 18 living in poverty in 2020. Counties with higher child poverty rates are shown in green, counties with lower rates in tan.
Data source: US Census Bureau, Small Area Income and Poverty Estimates Program.





Table ranked from highest poverty to lowest.

| Rank | County | Rank | County | Rank | County | Rank | County | Rank | County |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Scotland | 21 | Chowan | 41 | Ashe | 61 | Person | 81 | Durham |
| 2 | Edgecombe | 22 | Sampson | 42 | Lee | 62 | Harnett | 82 | Gaston |
| 3 | Robeson | 23 | Hertford | 43 | Nash | 63 | Guilford | 83 | Carteret |
| 4 | Washington | 24 | Hyde | 44 | Yancey | 64 | Stanly | 84 | Onslow |
| 5 | Bladen | 25 | Duplin | 45 | Rowan | 65 | Caldwell | 85 | Granville |
| 6 | Richmond | 26 | Pamlico | 46 | Rockingham | 66 | Stokes | 86 | Currituck |
| 7 | Warren | 27 | Columbus | 47 | Cleveland | 67 | Craven | 87 | New Hanover |
| 8 | Wilson | 28 | Lenoir | 48 | Pitt | 68 | Forsyth | 88 | Johnston |
| 9 | Martin | 29 | Perquimans | 49 | Hoke | 69 | Polk | 89 | Iredell |
| 10 | Northampton | 30 | Rutherford | 50 | Swain | 70 | Macon | 90 | Lincoln |
| 11 | Bertie | 31 | Cumberland | 51 | Haywood | 71 | Alamance | 91 | Chatham |
| 12 | Halifax | 32 | Cherokee | 52 | Transylvania | 72 | Davidson | 92 | Dare |
| 13 | Alleghany | 33 | Clay | 53 | Mitchell | 73 | Buncombe | 93 | Davie |
| 14 | Burke | 34 | Caswell | 54 | Wilkes | 74 | Catawba | 94 | Watauga |
| 15 | Vance | 35 | Avery | 55 | Madison | 75 | Henderson | 95 | Moore |
| 16 | Greene | 36 | Montgomery | 56 | Jackson | 76 | Yadkin | 96 | Cabarrus |
| 17 | Tyrrell | 37 | Graham | 57 | Surry | 77 | Alexander | 97 | Orange |
| 18 | Jones | 38 | Pasquotank | 58 | Randolph | 78 | Pender | 98 | Camden |
| 19 | Anson | 39 | Wayne | 59 | Gates | 79 | Franklin | 99 | Union |
| 20 | Beaufort | 40 | McDowell | 60 | Brunswick | 80 | Mecklenburg | 100 | Wake |

**North Carolina Association of County Commissioners**

Map Scale 1:3,750,000.
NCACC County Map Book, 2022. | 42

# Children in Poverty

This table shows the percentage of each county's children under age 18 living in poverty in 2020 and in 2019 and each county's rank among the 100 counties. A rank of 1 indicates the highest level of child poverty, a measure which is defined by the Census Bureau based on income and family size and composition. Source: US Census Bureau, Small Area Income and Poverty Estimates Program.

| | 2020 | | 2019 | | | 2020 | | 2019 | | | 2020 | | 2019 | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | % | Rank | % | Rank | | % | Rank | % | Rank | | % | Rank | % | Rank |
| Alamance | 18.3% | 71 | 21.8% | 58 | Graham | 23.8% | 37 | 23.2% | 46 | Polk | 18.7% | 69 | 20.7% | 63 |
| Alexander | 16.8% | 77 | 16.7% | 83 | Granville | 15.4% | 85 | 22.5% | 53 | Randolph | 19.7% | 58 | 18.9% | 71 |
| Alleghany | 30.7% | 13 | 26.3% | 34 | Greene | 29.7% | 16 | 30.4% | 20 | Richmond | 34.2% | 6 | 42.7% | 3 |
| Anson | 29.3% | 19 | 31.1% | 16 | Guilford | 19.3% | 63 | 23.1% | 47 | Robeson | 39.2% | 3 | 48.1% | 1 |
| Ashe | 22.4% | 41 | 22.6% | 51 | Halifax | 31.0% | 12 | 37.5% | 4 | Rockingham | 22.0% | 46 | 25.5% | 37 |
| Avery | 23.8% | 35 | 24.4% | 41 | Harnett | 19.5% | 62 | 20.6% | 65 | Rowan | 22.2% | 45 | 19.8% | 68 |
| Beaufort | 29.0% | 20 | 29.5% | 23 | Haywood | 20.8% | 51 | 16.7% | 84 | Rutherford | 25.5% | 30 | 28.1% | 30 |
| Bertie | 31.5% | 11 | 32.4% | 13 | Henderson | 17.0% | 75 | 18.1% | 73 | Sampson | 28.2% | 22 | 26.1% | 35 |
| Bladen | 35.5% | 5 | 29.9% | 22 | Hertford | 28.0% | 23 | 28.3% | 28 | Scotland | 45.6% | 1 | 46.3% | 2 |
| Brunswick | 19.6% | 60 | 21.0% | 62 | Hoke | 21.3% | 49 | 22.2% | 54 | Stanly | 19.1% | 64 | 16.7% | 85 |
| Buncombe | 17.4% | 73 | 17.3% | 77 | Hyde | 28.0% | 24 | 28.1% | 29 | Stokes | 19.0% | 66 | 17.0% | 80 |
| Burke | 30.1% | 14 | 30.5% | 18 | Iredell | 13.6% | 89 | 11.5% | 96 | Surry | 20.0% | 57 | 22.6% | 50 |
| Cabarrus | 10.5% | 96 | 10.6% | 97 | Jackson | 20.0% | 56 | 24.8% | 40 | Swain | 20.9% | 50 | 27.4% | 31 |
| Caldwell | 19.1% | 65 | 17.8% | 76 | Johnston | 13.9% | 88 | 18.1% | 74 | Transylvania | 20.6% | 52 | 20.3% | 66 |
| Camden | 8.6% | 98 | 9.4% | 99 | Jones | 29.3% | 18 | 31.8% | 15 | Tyrrell | 29.4% | 17 | 34.9% | 8 |
| Carteret | 16.0% | 83 | 17.0% | 81 | Lee | 22.4% | 42 | 21.1% | 59 | Union | 8.4% | 99 | 8.8% | 100 |
| Caswell | 24.0% | 34 | 25.1% | 39 | Lenoir | 26.1% | 28 | 37.1% | 6 | Vance | 30.0% | 15 | 31.0% | 17 |
| Catawba | 17.2% | 74 | 18.6% | 72 | Lincoln | 13.4% | 90 | 13.0% | 94 | Wake | 8.3% | 100 | 9.4% | 98 |
| Chatham | 13.3% | 91 | 12.4% | 95 | Macon | 18.5% | 70 | 22.9% | 48 | Warren | 33.3% | 7 | 32.7% | 11 |
| Cherokee | 24.9% | 32 | 29.3% | 24 | Madison | 20.0% | 55 | 19.7% | 70 | Washington | 37.8% | 4 | 36.4% | 7 |
| Chowan | 28.9% | 21 | 28.5% | 26 | Martin | 32.3% | 9 | 33.5% | 9 | Watauga | 12.9% | 94 | 14.3% | 89 |
| Clay | 24.1% | 33 | 25.5% | 38 | McDowell | 22.8% | 40 | 19.8% | 69 | Wayne | 23.2% | 39 | 30.2% | 21 |
| Cleveland | 21.7% | 47 | 30.5% | 19 | Mecklenburg | 16.3% | 80 | 13.8% | 91 | Wilkes | 20.1% | 54 | 21.1% | 61 |
| Columbus | 26.6% | 27 | 32.5% | 12 | Mitchell | 20.4% | 53 | 22.1% | 55 | Wilson | 32.8% | 8 | 32.2% | 14 |
| Craven | 19.0% | 67 | 20.0% | 67 | Montgomery | 23.8% | 36 | 24.2% | 42 | Yadkin | 16.8% | 76 | 23.8% | 45 |
| Cumberland | 25.3% | 31 | 25.7% | 36 | Moore | 12.6% | 95 | 17.1% | 79 | Yancey | 22.2% | 44 | 22.0% | 57 |
| Currituck | 14.8% | 86 | 13.7% | 92 | Nash | 22.3% | 43 | 22.1% | 56 | | | | | |
| Dare | 13.2% | 92 | 13.8% | 90 | New Hanover | 14.3% | 87 | 16.2% | 87 | **Statewide** | **17.9%** | | **19.3%** | |
| Davidson | 17.5% | 72 | 22.6% | 49 | Northampton | 31.8% | 10 | 37.2% | 5 | | | | | |
| Davie | 13.0% | 93 | 15.1% | 88 | Onslow | 15.9% | 84 | 17.9% | 75 | | | | | |
| Duplin | 26.9% | 25 | 28.9% | 25 | Orange | 8.7% | 97 | 13.3% | 93 | | | | | |
| Durham | 16.2% | 81 | 20.6% | 64 | Pamlico | 26.8% | 26 | 28.4% | 27 | | | | | |
| Edgecombe | 45.4% | 2 | 33.3% | 10 | Pasquotank | 23.5% | 38 | 24.1% | 43 | | | | | |
| Forsyth | 18.8% | 68 | 22.5% | 52 | Pender | 16.5% | 78 | 17.1% | 78 | | | | | |
| Franklin | 16.4% | 79 | 17.0% | 82 | Perquimans | 26.0% | 29 | 26.3% | 33 | | | | | |
| Gaston | 16.1% | 82 | 16.5% | 86 | Person | 19.5% | 61 | 26.8% | 32 | | | | | |
| Gates | 19.7% | 59 | 21.1% | 60 | Pitt | 21.5% | 48 | 24.1% | 44 | | | | | |

North Carolina Association of County Commissioners

# Per Capita Income

This map shows each county's average annual income in 2020 on a per-person basis.
A person's income is counted in the county where they live, even if they work somewhere else.
Counties with higher per capita income levels are shown in green, counties with lower levels in tan.
Data Source: US Bureau of Economic Analysis.





Table ranked from highest per capita income to lowest.

| Rank | County | Rank | County | Rank | County | Rank | County | Rank | County |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Orange | 21 | Camden | 41 | Davidson | 61 | Yancey | 81 | Jackson |
| 2 | Chatham | 22 | Polk | 42 | Jones | 62 | Bertie | 82 | Martin |
| 3 | Wake | 23 | Henderson | 43 | Onslow | 63 | Alexander | 83 | Harnett |
| 4 | Mecklenburg | 24 | Brunswick | 44 | Wilson | 64 | Watauga | 84 | Sampson |
| 5 | Dare | 25 | Beaufort | 45 | Cumberland | 65 | Washington | 85 | Madison |
| 6 | Union | 26 | Pamlico | 46 | Wayne | 66 | Halifax | 86 | Graham |
| 7 | Iredell | 27 | Nash | 47 | Hyde | 67 | Ashe | 87 | Duplin |
| 8 | Moore | 28 | Chowan | 48 | Granville | 68 | Wilkes | 88 | McDowell |
| 9 | Durham | 29 | Pitt | 49 | Stanly | 69 | Franklin | 89 | Vance |
| 10 | Buncombe | 30 | Lee | 50 | Pasquotank | 70 | Mitchell | 90 | Tyrrell |
| 11 | Carteret | 31 | Transylvania | 51 | Surry | 71 | Avery | 91 | Clay |
| 12 | Davie | 32 | Macon | 52 | Cleveland | 72 | Edgecombe | 92 | Columbus |
| 13 | Forsyth | 33 | Perquimans | 53 | Person | 73 | Montgomery | 93 | Rutherford |
| 14 | New Hanover | 34 | Lenoir | 54 | Gates | 74 | Caswell | 94 | Cherokee |
| 15 | Lincoln | 35 | Swain | 55 | Pender | 75 | Bladen | 95 | Scotland |
| 16 | Guilford | 36 | Gaston | 56 | Yadkin | 76 | Burke | 96 | Hertford |
| 17 | Cabarrus | 37 | Johnston | 57 | Randolph | 77 | Caldwell | 97 | Hoke |
| 18 | Catawba | 38 | Alamance | 58 | Stokes | 78 | Anson | 98 | Greene |
| 19 | Currituck | 39 | Haywood | 59 | Rockingham | 79 | Richmond | 99 | Warren |
| 20 | Craven | 40 | Rowan | 60 | Alleghany | 80 | Northampton | 100 | Robeson |

$33,062 — $67,089

**North Carolina Association of County Commissioners**

Map Scale 1:3,750,000.
NCACC County Map Book, 2022. | 44

# Per Capita Income

This table shows each county's average annual income on a per-person basis in 2020 and in 2019 and each county's rank among the 100 counties; a rank of 1 indicates the highest per capita income. A person's income is counted in the county where they live, even if they work somewhere else. Source: US Bureau of Economic Analysis.

| | 2020 | | 2019 | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | $ | Rank | $ | Rank |
| Alamance | 43,973 | 38 | 41,256 | 37 |
| Alexander | 40,176 | 63 | 37,758 | 63 |
| Alleghany | 40,599 | 60 | 37,987 | 62 |
| Anson | 38,785 | 78 | 37,741 | 64 |
| Ashe | 39,612 | 67 | 36,643 | 73 |
| Avery | 39,437 | 71 | 36,896 | 69 |
| Beaufort | 46,363 | 25 | 43,878 | 25 |
| Bertie | 40,351 | 62 | 37,557 | 65 |
| Bladen | 39,264 | 75 | 35,601 | 83 |
| Brunswick | 46,829 | 24 | 45,128 | 24 |
| Buncombe | 53,309 | 10 | 50,804 | 10 |
| Burke | 38,946 | 76 | 36,022 | 78 |
| Cabarrus | 49,679 | 17 | 46,826 | 18 |
| Caldwell | 38,894 | 77 | 36,141 | 75 |
| Camden | 48,642 | 21 | 45,839 | 21 |
| Carteret | 53,276 | 11 | 50,531 | 11 |
| Caswell | 39,265 | 74 | 36,032 | 77 |
| Catawba | 49,193 | 18 | 46,184 | 20 |
| Chatham | 66,766 | 2 | 64,978 | 2 |
| Cherokee | 36,620 | 94 | 34,072 | 91 |
| Chowan | 45,388 | 28 | 42,376 | 31 |
| Clay | 37,625 | 91 | 34,901 | 90 |
| Cleveland | 41,616 | 52 | 38,859 | 57 |
| Columbus | 37,112 | 92 | 33,376 | 95 |
| Craven | 48,832 | 20 | 45,308 | 23 |
| Cumberland | 42,992 | 45 | 39,799 | 48 |
| Currituck | 49,152 | 19 | 46,911 | 17 |
| Dare | 59,240 | 5 | 56,573 | 5 |
| Davidson | 43,348 | 41 | 40,647 | 43 |
| Davie | 52,153 | 12 | 49,964 | 12 |
| Duplin | 37,872 | 87 | 35,354 | 86 |
| Durham | 54,056 | 9 | 51,380 | 9 |
| Edgecombe | 39,394 | 72 | 36,237 | 74 |
| Forsyth | 51,323 | 13 | 48,756 | 13 |
| Franklin | 39,490 | 69 | 36,826 | 71 |
| Gaston | 44,103 | 36 | 41,330 | 35 |
| Gates | 41,571 | 54 | 38,915 | 56 |
| Graham | 37,943 | 86 | 35,055 | 88 |
| Granville | 42,807 | 48 | 40,359 | 44 |
| Greene | 34,811 | 98 | 32,088 | 98 |
| Guilford | 49,856 | 16 | 47,013 | 16 |
| Halifax | 39,680 | 66 | 37,146 | 67 |
| Harnett | 38,280 | 83 | 35,873 | 80 |
| Haywood | 43,754 | 39 | 41,523 | 33 |
| Henderson | 47,385 | 23 | 45,680 | 22 |
| Hertford | 36,216 | 96 | 32,717 | 97 |
| Hoke | 35,411 | 97 | 32,845 | 96 |
| Hyde | 42,832 | 47 | 39,776 | 49 |
| Iredell | 56,201 | 7 | 53,465 | 7 |
| Jackson | 38,341 | 81 | 35,663 | 82 |
| Johnston | 44,087 | 37 | 41,318 | 36 |
| Jones | 43,254 | 42 | 41,434 | 34 |
| Lee | 44,870 | 30 | 42,390 | 30 |
| Lenoir | 44,361 | 34 | 40,990 | 39 |
| Lincoln | 50,216 | 15 | 47,322 | 15 |
| Macon | 44,564 | 32 | 41,654 | 32 |
| Madison | 38,148 | 85 | 35,888 | 79 |
| Martin | 38,301 | 82 | 35,403 | 85 |
| McDowell | 37,858 | 88 | 35,086 | 87 |
| Mecklenburg | 65,244 | 4 | 62,846 | 3 |
| Mitchell | 39,476 | 70 | 37,046 | 68 |
| Montgomery | 39,353 | 73 | 36,850 | 70 |
| Moore | 54,514 | 8 | 52,441 | 8 |
| Nash | 45,890 | 27 | 43,315 | 26 |
| New Hanover | 50,780 | 14 | 48,558 | 14 |
| Northampton | 38,402 | 80 | 36,080 | 76 |
| Onslow | 43,122 | 43 | 40,671 | 42 |
| Orange | 67,089 | 1 | 65,530 | 1 |
| Pamlico | 45,994 | 26 | 42,848 | 29 |
| Pasquotank | 42,529 | 50 | 39,521 | 51 |
| Pender | 41,292 | 55 | 39,418 | 52 |
| Perquimans | 44,402 | 33 | 41,234 | 38 |
| Person | 41,581 | 53 | 39,038 | 53 |
| Pitt | 45,169 | 29 | 42,882 | 28 |
| Polk | 48,125 | 22 | 46,661 | 19 |
| Randolph | 41,231 | 57 | 38,935 | 55 |
| Richmond | 38,554 | 79 | 35,434 | 84 |
| Robeson | 33,062 | 100 | 30,552 | 99 |
| Rockingham | 40,672 | 59 | 38,057 | 60 |
| Rowan | 43,724 | 40 | 40,714 | 41 |
| Rutherford | 36,714 | 93 | 34,065 | 92 |
| Sampson | 38,165 | 84 | 35,805 | 81 |
| Scotland | 36,247 | 95 | 33,895 | 93 |
| Stanly | 42,613 | 49 | 39,931 | 47 |
| Stokes | 41,186 | 58 | 38,775 | 58 |
| Surry | 42,133 | 51 | 39,696 | 50 |
| Swain | 44,213 | 35 | 40,824 | 40 |
| Transylvania | 44,747 | 31 | 43,065 | 27 |
| Tyrrell | 37,638 | 90 | 33,699 | 94 |
| Union | 56,820 | 6 | 54,534 | 6 |
| Vance | 37,774 | 89 | 35,002 | 89 |
| Wake | 65,450 | 3 | 62,657 | 4 |
| Warren | 33,779 | 99 | 30,317 | 100 |
| Washington | 39,912 | 65 | 36,780 | 72 |
| Watauga | 40,157 | 64 | 38,652 | 59 |
| Wayne | 42,882 | 46 | 40,116 | 46 |
| Wilkes | 39,518 | 68 | 37,309 | 66 |
| Wilson | 43,111 | 44 | 40,260 | 45 |
| Yadkin | 41,250 | 56 | 39,012 | 54 |
| Yancey | 40,422 | 61 | 38,011 | 61 |
| **Statewide** | **$50,305** | | **$47,660** | |

North Carolina Association of County Commissioners

# Residents Working in County

This map shows the percentage of each county's working residents over age 16 who worked in their home county in 2020.
Counties with high resident workforce rates are shown in green, counties with lower rates in tan.
Data source: US Census Bureau, American Community Survey.





Table ranked from highest to lowest.

| Rank | County | Rank | County | Rank | County | Rank | County | Rank | County |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Buncombe | 21 | Cherokee | 41 | Rutherford | 61 | Orange | 81 | Davie |
| 2 | New Hanover | 21 | Graham | 42 | Henderson | 62 | Caldwell | 82 | Alexander |
| 3 | Dare | 23 | Alleghany | 43 | Nash | 63 | Randolph | 82 | Granville |
| 4 | Onslow | 23 | Transylvania | 44 | Cleveland | 63 | Washington | 84 | Chatham |
| 5 | Cumberland | 25 | Carteret | 45 | Burke | 65 | Rockingham | 85 | Lincoln |
| 6 | Watauga | 26 | Wilson | 46 | Alamance | 66 | Duplin | 86 | Warren |
| 7 | Mecklenburg | 27 | Beaufort | 47 | Montgomery | 67 | Chowan | 87 | Pender |
| 8 | Pitt | 28 | McDowell | 48 | Brunswick | 68 | Gaston | 88 | Northampton |
| 9 | Wake | 29 | Lenoir | 49 | Stanly | 69 | Polk | 89 | Madison |
| 10 | Guilford | 30 | Robeson | 50 | Lee | 70 | Pamlico | 90 | Perquimans |
| 11 | Macon | 31 | Hertford | 51 | Vance | 71 | Person | 91 | Harnett |
| 12 | Craven | 32 | Swain | 52 | Mitchell | 72 | Union | 92 | Greene |
| 13 | Jackson | 33 | Iredell | 53 | Yancey | 73 | Anson | 93 | Currituck |
| 14 | Catawba | 34 | Moore | 54 | Scotland | 74 | Martin | 94 | Franklin |
| 15 | Wayne | 35 | Haywood | 54 | Tyrrell | 75 | Bertie | 95 | Hoke |
| 16 | Forsyth | 36 | Richmond | 56 | Bladen | 76 | Edgecombe | 96 | Stokes |
| 17 | Avery | 37 | Durham | 57 | Rowan | 77 | Cabarrus | 97 | Gates |
| 18 | Hyde | 38 | Surry | 57 | Sampson | 78 | Johnston | 98 | Jones |
| 19 | Ashe | 39 | Halifax | 59 | Columbus | 79 | Yadkin | 99 | Caswell |
| 20 | Wilkes | 40 | Pasquotank | 60 | Clay | 80 | Davidson | 100 | Camden |

**Workforce**

- 80% - 91%
- 65% - 80%
- 50% - 65%
- 35% - 50%
- 22% - 35%

**North Carolina Association of County Commissioners**

Map Scale 1:3,750,000.

# Residents Working in County

This table shows the percentage of each county's working residents over age 16 who worked in their home county in 2020 and in 2019 and each county's rank among the 100 counties; a rank of 1 indicates the highest rate of residents who work in their home county. Source: US Census Bureau, American Community Survey.

| | 2020 | | 2019 | | | 2020 | | 2019 | | | 2020 | | 2019 | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | % | Rank | % | Rank | | % | Rank | % | Rank | | % | Rank | % | Rank |
| Alamance | 65.0% | 46 | 65.5% | 43 | Graham | 74.1% | 21 | 67.5% | 37 | Polk | 54.6% | 69 | 51.9% | 74 |
| Alexander | 44.7% | 82 | 42.8% | 86 | Granville | 44.7% | 82 | 44.5% | 83 | Randolph | 58.6% | 63 | 57.1% | 65 |
| Alleghany | 73.9% | 23 | 75.4% | 17 | Greene | 34.4% | 92 | 36.0% | 92 | Richmond | 68.0% | 36 | 68.8% | 35 |
| Anson | 53.0% | 73 | 53.1% | 69 | Guilford | 82.9% | 10 | 82.9% | 10 | Robeson | 71.3% | 30 | 72.0% | 26 |
| Ashe | 75.3% | 19 | 75.2% | 18 | Halifax | 67.1% | 39 | 66.5% | 39 | Rockingham | 57.7% | 65 | 58.5% | 62 |
| Avery | 76.8% | 17 | 73.3% | 23 | Harnett | 35.3% | 91 | 36.6% | 90 | Rowan | 60.6% | 57 | 59.5% | 59 |
| Beaufort | 72.8% | 27 | 72.9% | 24 | Haywood | 68.2% | 35 | 69.0% | 32 | Rutherford | 66.7% | 41 | 64.8% | 44 |
| Bertie | 52.4% | 75 | 50.7% | 76 | Henderson | 66.2% | 42 | 65.8% | 41 | Sampson | 60.6% | 57 | 61.3% | 55 |
| Bladen | 61.0% | 56 | 61.3% | 55 | Hertford | 70.4% | 31 | 71.4% | 29 | Scotland | 61.2% | 54 | 62.2% | 53 |
| Brunswick | 63.7% | 48 | 65.9% | 40 | Hoke | 30.1% | 95 | 30.8% | 96 | Stanly | 62.2% | 49 | 63.1% | 51 |
| Buncombe | 91.1% | 1 | 90.7% | 1 | Hyde | 76.3% | 18 | 71.3% | 30 | Stokes | 30.0% | 96 | 31.8% | 95 |
| Burke | 65.2% | 45 | 63.4% | 50 | Iredell | 69.3% | 33 | 68.9% | 33 | Surry | 67.5% | 38 | 68.9% | 33 |
| Cabarrus | 49.1% | 77 | 49.1% | 77 | Jackson | 78.6% | 13 | 77.9% | 13 | Swain | 69.4% | 32 | 68.7% | 36 |
| Caldwell | 59.3% | 62 | 58.9% | 60 | Johnston | 47.5% | 78 | 47.7% | 78 | Transylvania | 73.9% | 23 | 71.2% | 31 |
| Camden | 22.1% | 100 | 15.4% | 100 | Jones | 24.0% | 98 | 25.7% | 98 | Tyrrell | 61.2% | 54 | 57.9% | 63 |
| Carteret | 73.6% | 25 | 73.8% | 21 | Lee | 62.0% | 50 | 63.6% | 47 | Union | 53.1% | 72 | 50.9% | 75 |
| Caswell | 23.9% | 99 | 24.0% | 99 | Lenoir | 71.4% | 29 | 71.9% | 27 | Vance | 61.8% | 51 | 61.9% | 54 |
| Catawba | 77.9% | 14 | 76.9% | 15 | Lincoln | 44.0% | 85 | 42.2% | 87 | Wake | 83.6% | 9 | 83.0% | 9 |
| Chatham | 44.4% | 84 | 38.6% | 89 | Macon | 82.7% | 11 | 82.2% | 11 | Warren | 43.6% | 86 | 44.7% | 81 |
| Cherokee | 74.1% | 21 | 73.8% | 21 | Madison | 40.9% | 89 | 43.4% | 85 | Washington | 58.6% | 63 | 60.2% | 58 |
| Chowan | 56.8% | 67 | 56.9% | 66 | Martin | 52.8% | 74 | 53.8% | 68 | Watauga | 88.3% | 6 | 87.5% | 6 |
| Clay | 60.3% | 60 | 52.9% | 71 | McDowell | 72.0% | 28 | 72.3% | 25 | Wayne | 77.4% | 15 | 76.5% | 16 |
| Cleveland | 65.3% | 44 | 63.6% | 47 | Mecklenburg | 87.4% | 7 | 86.9% | 7 | Wilkes | 75.0% | 20 | 74.4% | 19 |
| Columbus | 60.5% | 59 | 64.0% | 46 | Mitchell | 61.6% | 52 | 64.7% | 45 | Wilson | 73.4% | 26 | 74.0% | 20 |
| Craven | 80.9% | 12 | 80.9% | 12 | Montgomery | 64.2% | 47 | 62.4% | 52 | Yadkin | 47.4% | 79 | 46.4% | 80 |
| Cumberland | 88.7% | 5 | 88.6% | 5 | Moore | 68.9% | 34 | 71.5% | 28 | Yancey | 61.4% | 53 | 60.8% | 57 |
| Currituck | 31.7% | 93 | 34.6% | 93 | Nash | 65.4% | 43 | 63.6% | 47 | | | | | |
| Dare | 89.7% | 3 | 90.0% | 4 | New Hanover | 90.8% | 2 | 90.6% | 2 | **Statewide** | **71.4%** | | **71.1%** | |
| Davidson | 47.2% | 80 | 46.9% | 79 | Northampton | 41.5% | 88 | 44.0% | 84 | | | | | |
| Davie | 46.3% | 81 | 44.7% | 81 | Onslow | 89.1% | 4 | 90.2% | 3 | | | | | |
| Duplin | 56.9% | 66 | 58.6% | 61 | Orange | 60.2% | 61 | 57.5% | 64 | | | | | |
| Durham | 67.6% | 37 | 67.1% | 38 | Pamlico | 54.3% | 70 | 52.4% | 72 | | | | | |
| Edgecombe | 52.0% | 76 | 53.1% | 69 | Pasquotank | 66.8% | 40 | 65.8% | 41 | | | | | |
| Forsyth | 77.0% | 16 | 77.5% | 14 | Pender | 42.2% | 87 | 40.2% | 88 | | | | | |
| Franklin | 31.5% | 94 | 32.3% | 94 | Perquimans | 37.7% | 90 | 36.4% | 91 | | | | | |
| Gaston | 55.0% | 68 | 54.8% | 67 | Person | 53.8% | 71 | 52.3% | 73 | | | | | |
| Gates | 26.3% | 97 | 26.8% | 97 | Pitt | 86.4% | 8 | 84.6% | 8 | | | | | |




North Carolina Association of County Commissioners

# Solo Commuters

This map shows the percentage of each county's workforce that drove alone to work in 2020. Counties with higher rates of solo commuting are shown in green, counties with lower rates in tan.
Data source: US Census Bureau, American Community Survey.





Table ranked from most commuters to least.

| Rank | County | Rank | County | Rank | County | Rank | County | Rank | County |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Stokes | 21 | Jones | 41 | Bladen | 60 | Rutherford | 81 | Craven |
| 2 | Gates | 21 | Northampton | 41 | Lee | 60 | Wayne | 81 | New Hanover |
| 2 | Richmond | 21 | Rockingham | 43 | Davie | 63 | Vance | 81 | Polk |
| 4 | Montgomery | 24 | Greene | 44 | Camden | 64 | Pender | 84 | Tyrrell |
| 5 | Robeson | 25 | Catawba | 44 | Yancey | 65 | Forsyth | 85 | Union |
| 6 | Alamance | 25 | Graham | 46 | Anson | 65 | Transylvania | 86 | Cumberland |
| 6 | Beaufort | 27 | Yadkin | 46 | Johnston | 67 | Alleghany | 87 | Ashe |
| 8 | Caswell | 28 | Carteret | 48 | Hyde | 67 | Hertford | 88 | Washington |
| 9 | Columbus | 29 | Stanly | 48 | Martin | 69 | Duplin | 89 | Cherokee |
| 10 | Burke | 30 | Granville | 48 | Person | 69 | Pasquotank | 90 | Avery |
| 10 | Perquimans | 31 | Cleveland | 51 | Warren | 71 | Madison | 90 | Buncombe |
| 12 | Pamlico | 31 | Macon | 52 | Halifax | 72 | Henderson | 92 | Dare |
| 13 | Swain | 33 | Franklin | 53 | Davidson | 72 | Moore | 93 | Chatham |
| 14 | Nash | 34 | Randolph | 53 | Wilkes | 74 | Guilford | 93 | Wake |
| 15 | Harnett | 35 | Sampson | 55 | Mitchell | 74 | Iredell | 95 | Clay |
| 16 | Chowan | 35 | Scotland | 55 | Wilson | 76 | Bertie | 95 | Durham |
| 17 | Gaston | 37 | Alexander | 57 | Haywood | 77 | Brunswick | 97 | Watauga |
| 17 | Lincoln | 37 | Caldwell | 58 | Hoke | 77 | Cabarrus | 98 | Mecklenburg |
| 17 | McDowell | 37 | Currituck | 59 | Lenoir | 79 | Jackson | 99 | Onslow |
| 17 | Surry | 40 | Rowan | 60 | Edgecombe | 80 | Pitt | 100 | Orange |

**North Carolina Association of County Commissioners**

Map Scale 1:3,750,000.
NCACC County Map Book, 2022. | 48

# Solo Commuters

This table shows the percentage of each county's workforce that drove alone to work in 2020 and in 2019 and each county's rank among the 100 counties; a rank of 1 indicates the highest rate of driving alone to work. Source: US Census Bureau, American Community Survey.

| | 2020 | | 2019 | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | % | Rank | % | Rank |
| Alamance | 86.2% | 6 | 85.8% | 15 |
| Alexander | 83.1% | 37 | 84.4% | 24 |
| Alleghany | 80.8% | 67 | 81.6% | 63 |
| Anson | 82.5% | 46 | 84.1% | 27 |
| Ashe | 78.5% | 87 | 75.5% | 97 |
| Avery | 77.5% | 90 | 77.5% | 94 |
| Beaufort | 86.2% | 6 | 86.9% | 4 |
| Bertie | 80.2% | 76 | 80.8% | 74 |
| Bladen | 82.9% | 41 | 87.3% | 3 |
| Brunswick | 79.9% | 77 | 79.7% | 85 |
| Buncombe | 77.5% | 90 | 77.9% | 91 |
| Burke | 85.7% | 10 | 86.0% | 12 |
| Cabarrus | 79.9% | 77 | 81.7% | 61 |
| Caldwell | 83.1% | 37 | 83.4% | 37 |
| Camden | 82.7% | 44 | 86.3% | 11 |
| Carteret | 84.2% | 28 | 82.2% | 55 |
| Caswell | 86.1% | 8 | 85.9% | 13 |
| Catawba | 84.4% | 25 | 85.2% | 19 |
| Chatham | 75.7% | 93 | 80.0% | 82 |
| Cherokee | 77.7% | 89 | 78.9% | 90 |
| Chowan | 84.8% | 16 | 80.3% | 80 |
| Clay | 75.4% | 95 | 81.0% | 73 |
| Cleveland | 83.8% | 31 | 84.4% | 24 |
| Columbus | 86.0% | 9 | 85.7% | 16 |
| Craven | 79.4% | 81 | 80.5% | 77 |
| Cumberland | 78.6% | 86 | 79.2% | 86 |
| Currituck | 83.1% | 37 | 83.3% | 41 |
| Dare | 77.3% | 92 | 77.1% | 95 |
| Davidson | 82.1% | 53 | 83.9% | 33 |
| Davie | 82.8% | 43 | 83.4% | 37 |
| Duplin | 80.7% | 69 | 80.4% | 79 |
| Durham | 75.4% | 95 | 77.7% | 92 |
| Edgecombe | 81.4% | 60 | 81.6% | 63 |
| Forsyth | 80.9% | 65 | 82.9% | 46 |
| Franklin | 83.7% | 33 | 83.8% | 34 |
| Gaston | 84.7% | 17 | 85.3% | 18 |
| Gates | 88.6% | 2 | 88.0% | 2 |
| Graham | 84.4% | 25 | 82.5% | 51 |
| Granville | 83.9% | 30 | 84.0% | 31 |
| Greene | 84.5% | 24 | 81.7% | 61 |
| Guilford | 80.3% | 74 | 82.0% | 57 |
| Halifax | 82.2% | 52 | 83.8% | 34 |
| Harnett | 84.9% | 15 | 86.5% | 9 |
| Haywood | 81.9% | 57 | 82.4% | 53 |
| Henderson | 80.5% | 72 | 80.7% | 75 |
| Hertford | 80.8% | 67 | 82.8% | 48 |
| Hoke | 81.8% | 58 | 82.9% | 46 |
| Hyde | 82.4% | 48 | 79.2% | 86 |
| Iredell | 80.3% | 74 | 83.2% | 42 |
| Jackson | 79.8% | 79 | 80.2% | 81 |
| Johnston | 82.5% | 46 | 83.0% | 43 |
| Jones | 84.6% | 21 | 84.2% | 26 |
| Lee | 82.9% | 41 | 82.0% | 57 |
| Lenoir | 81.5% | 59 | 80.7% | 75 |
| Lincoln | 84.7% | 17 | 86.7% | 7 |
| Macon | 83.8% | 31 | 82.1% | 56 |
| Madison | 80.6% | 71 | 79.0% | 88 |
| Martin | 82.4% | 48 | 85.7% | 16 |
| McDowell | 84.7% | 17 | 84.1% | 27 |
| Mecklenburg | 73.3% | 98 | 77.0% | 96 |
| Mitchell | 82.0% | 55 | 83.0% | 43 |
| Montgomery | 87.4% | 4 | 85.9% | 13 |
| Moore | 80.5% | 72 | 81.6% | 63 |
| Nash | 85.0% | 14 | 84.8% | 20 |
| New Hanover | 79.4% | 81 | 81.3% | 69 |
| Northampton | 84.6% | 21 | 82.8% | 48 |
| Onslow | 72.2% | 99 | 71.6% | 99 |
| Orange | 64.8% | 100 | 67.6% | 100 |
| Pamlico | 85.4% | 12 | 81.3% | 69 |
| Pasquotank | 80.7% | 69 | 82.0% | 57 |
| Pender | 81.0% | 64 | 81.2% | 71 |
| Perquimans | 85.7% | 10 | 81.6% | 63 |
| Person | 82.4% | 48 | 84.6% | 22 |
| Pitt | 79.6% | 80 | 82.5% | 51 |
| Polk | 79.4% | 81 | 80.0% | 82 |
| Randolph | 83.5% | 34 | 84.1% | 27 |
| Richmond | 88.6% | 2 | 86.8% | 6 |
| Robeson | 86.4% | 5 | 86.9% | 4 |
| Rockingham | 84.6% | 21 | 84.5% | 23 |
| Rowan | 83.0% | 40 | 83.0% | 43 |
| Rutherford | 81.4% | 60 | 82.4% | 53 |
| Sampson | 83.4% | 35 | 84.0% | 31 |
| Scotland | 83.4% | 35 | 86.6% | 8 |
| Stanly | 84.1% | 29 | 83.5% | 36 |
| Stokes | 88.7% | 1 | 88.5% | 1 |
| Surry | 84.7% | 17 | 86.4% | 10 |
| Swain | 85.2% | 13 | 83.4% | 37 |
| Transylvania | 80.9% | 65 | 81.6% | 63 |
| Tyrrell | 79.3% | 84 | 80.0% | 82 |
| Union | 78.7% | 85 | 81.8% | 60 |
| Vance | 81.2% | 63 | 81.2% | 71 |
| Wake | 75.7% | 93 | 79.0% | 88 |
| Warren | 82.3% | 51 | 80.5% | 77 |
| Washington | 78.3% | 88 | 77.6% | 93 |
| Watauga | 74.8% | 97 | 74.6% | 98 |
| Wayne | 81.4% | 60 | 81.6% | 63 |
| Wilkes | 82.1% | 53 | 84.7% | 21 |
| Wilson | 82.0% | 55 | 83.4% | 37 |
| Yadkin | 84.3% | 27 | 82.8% | 48 |
| Yancey | 82.7% | 44 | 84.1% | 27 |
| **Statewide** | **71.4%** | | **71.0%** | |

North Carolina Association of County Commissioners

# Unemployment Rate

This map shows the percentage of each county's labor force that was unemployed in May 2022. Counties with higher rates of unemployment are shown in green, counties with lower rates in tan. Data source: Local Area Unemployment Statistics program, a cooperative effort between the NC Department of Commerce and the US Bureau of Labor Statistics.





Table ranked from highest unemployment to lowest.

| Rank | County | Rank | County | Rank | County | Rank | County | Rank | County |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Scotland | 20 | Columbus | 40 | Beaufort | 60 | Randolph | 73 | Stanly |
| 2 | Edgecombe | 20 | Northampton | 40 | Cherokee | 60 | Yancey | 73 | Swain |
| 3 | Vance | 23 | Bladen | 40 | Gaston | 63 | Burke | 73 | Transylvania |
| 3 | Warren | 24 | Martin | 40 | Wilkes | 63 | Camden | 73 | Watauga |
| 5 | Robeson | 25 | Perquimans | 45 | Alamance | 63 | Catawba | 73 | Yadkin |
| 6 | Halifax | 26 | Guilford | 45 | Forsyth | 63 | Davidson | 86 | Alexander |
| 7 | Wilson | 26 | Lee | 45 | Lenoir | 63 | Gates | 86 | Ashe |
| 8 | Richmond | 26 | Onslow | 45 | Moore | 63 | Iredell | 86 | Currituck |
| 9 | Cumberland | 26 | Pasquotank | 45 | Pamlico | 63 | Macon | 86 | Greene |
| 10 | Graham | 30 | Harnett | 45 | Person | 63 | Madison | 86 | Haywood |
| 10 | Nash | 30 | Mitchell | 45 | Polk | 63 | McDowell | 86 | Stokes |
| 10 | Washington | 30 | Pitt | 52 | Caldwell | 63 | Surry | 92 | Durham |
| 13 | Hertford | 30 | Rockingham | 52 | Craven | 73 | Avery | 92 | Granville |
| 13 | Hyde | 34 | Clay | 52 | Duplin | 73 | Cabarrus | 92 | Henderson |
| 13 | Rutherford | 34 | Cleveland | 52 | Franklin | 73 | Carteret | 92 | Lincoln |
| 16 | Bertie | 34 | Wayne | 52 | Jackson | 73 | Davie | 92 | Union |
| 17 | Hoke | 37 | Caswell | 52 | Montgomery | 73 | Johnston | 97 | Buncombe |
| 17 | Tyrrell | 37 | Chowan | 52 | Rowan | 73 | Jones | 97 | Wake |
| 19 | Anson | 37 | Dare | 52 | Sampson | 73 | New Hanover | 99 | Chatham |
| 20 | Brunswick | 40 | Alleghany | 60 | Mecklenburg | 73 | Pender | 99 | Orange |

**North Carolina Association of County Commissioners**

Map Scale 1:3,750,000.

# Unemployment Rate

This table shows the percentage of each county's labor force that was unemployed in May 2022 and May 2021 and each county's rank among the 100 counties; a rank of 1 indicates the highest unemployment rate. Source: Local Area Unemployment Statistics program, a cooperative effort between the NC Department of Commerce and the US Bureau of Labor Statistics.

| | May 2022 | | May 2021 | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | % | Rank | % | Rank |
| Alamance | 3.7% | 45 | 5.1% | 49 |
| Alexander | 3.2% | 86 | 4.7% | 69 |
| Alleghany | 3.8% | 40 | 4.9% | 61 |
| Anson | 4.7% | 19 | 6.3% | 21 |
| Ashe | 3.2% | 86 | 4.2% | 95 |
| Avery | 3.3% | 73 | 4.3% | 93 |
| Beaufort | 3.8% | 40 | 5.1% | 54 |
| Bertie | 5.0% | 16 | 6.6% | 16 |
| Bladen | 4.5% | 23 | 6.1% | 22 |
| Brunswick | 4.6% | 20 | 6.4% | 20 |
| Buncombe | 3.0% | 97 | 4.6% | 75 |
| Burke | 3.4% | 63 | 4.9% | 59 |
| Cabarrus | 3.3% | 73 | 4.7% | 66 |
| Caldwell | 3.6% | 52 | 5.4% | 37 |
| Camden | 3.4% | 63 | 4.2% | 96 |
| Carteret | 3.3% | 73 | 4.3% | 92 |
| Caswell | 3.9% | 37 | 5.8% | 27 |
| Catawba | 3.4% | 63 | 5.1% | 51 |
| Chatham | 2.9% | 99 | 3.9% | 99 |
| Cherokee | 3.8% | 40 | 5.5% | 36 |
| Chowan | 3.9% | 37 | 5.4% | 39 |
| Clay | 4.0% | 34 | 5.3% | 43 |
| Cleveland | 4.0% | 34 | 5.7% | 29 |
| Columbus | 4.6% | 20 | 6.5% | 19 |
| Craven | 3.6% | 52 | 5.1% | 50 |
| Cumberland | 5.3% | 9 | 7.3% | 9 |
| Currituck | 3.2% | 86 | 4.4% | 88 |
| Dare | 3.9% | 37 | 5.6% | 32 |
| Davidson | 3.4% | 63 | 4.9% | 62 |
| Davie | 3.3% | 73 | 4.6% | 71 |
| Duplin | 3.6% | 52 | 4.5% | 80 |
| Durham | 3.1% | 92 | 4.4% | 86 |
| Edgecombe | 7.2% | 2 | 8.9% | 2 |
| Forsyth | 3.7% | 45 | 5.3% | 45 |
| Franklin | 3.6% | 52 | 5.0% | 56 |
| Gaston | 3.8% | 40 | 5.6% | 33 |
| Gates | 3.4% | 63 | 5.0% | 55 |
| Graham | 5.2% | 10 | 6.8% | 14 |
| Granville | 3.1% | 92 | 4.3% | 94 |
| Greene | 3.2% | 86 | 4.4% | 90 |
| Guilford | 4.2% | 26 | 6.0% | 23 |
| Halifax | 5.8% | 6 | 7.9% | 6 |
| Harnett | 4.1% | 30 | 5.7% | 31 |
| Haywood | 3.2% | 86 | 4.6% | 76 |
| Henderson | 3.1% | 92 | 4.5% | 84 |
| Hertford | 5.1% | 13 | 7.4% | 8 |
| Hoke | 4.9% | 17 | 7.0% | 12 |
| Hyde | 5.1% | 13 | 5.7% | 28 |
| Iredell | 3.4% | 63 | 5.0% | 57 |
| Jackson | 3.6% | 52 | 4.8% | 65 |
| Johnston | 3.3% | 73 | 4.5% | 82 |
| Jones | 3.3% | 73 | 4.7% | 67 |
| Lee | 4.2% | 26 | 5.7% | 30 |
| Lenoir | 3.7% | 45 | 5.2% | 47 |
| Lincoln | 3.1% | 92 | 4.4% | 89 |
| Macon | 3.4% | 63 | 4.5% | 83 |
| Madison | 3.4% | 63 | 4.6% | 78 |
| Martin | 4.4% | 24 | 5.8% | 25 |
| McDowell | 3.4% | 63 | 4.9% | 60 |
| Mecklenburg | 3.5% | 60 | 5.3% | 46 |
| Mitchell | 4.1% | 30 | 5.3% | 44 |
| Montgomery | 3.6% | 52 | 5.1% | 53 |
| Moore | 3.7% | 45 | 5.0% | 58 |
| Nash | 5.2% | 10 | 6.9% | 13 |
| New Hanover | 3.3% | 73 | 4.6% | 70 |
| Northampton | 4.6% | 20 | 6.5% | 17 |
| Onslow | 4.2% | 26 | 5.5% | 34 |
| Orange | 2.9% | 99 | 3.7% | 100 |
| Pamlico | 3.7% | 45 | 4.6% | 73 |
| Pasquotank | 4.2% | 26 | 5.8% | 26 |
| Pender | 3.3% | 73 | 4.6% | 79 |
| Perquimans | 4.3% | 25 | 5.5% | 35 |
| Person | 3.7% | 45 | 5.3% | 41 |
| Pitt | 4.1% | 30 | 5.4% | 38 |
| Polk | 3.7% | 45 | 4.8% | 64 |
| Randolph | 3.5% | 60 | 5.1% | 48 |
| Richmond | 5.5% | 8 | 7.6% | 7 |
| Robeson | 5.9% | 5 | 8.0% | 5 |
| Rockingham | 4.1% | 30 | 6.0% | 24 |
| Rowan | 3.6% | 52 | 5.3% | 40 |
| Rutherford | 5.1% | 13 | 6.8% | 15 |
| Sampson | 3.6% | 52 | 4.6% | 74 |
| Scotland | 7.3% | 1 | 9.7% | 1 |
| Stanly | 3.3% | 73 | 4.6% | 72 |
| Stokes | 3.2% | 86 | 4.6% | 77 |
| Surry | 3.4% | 63 | 4.7% | 68 |
| Swain | 3.3% | 73 | 4.9% | 63 |
| Transylvania | 3.3% | 73 | 4.4% | 85 |
| Tyrrell | 4.9% | 17 | 6.5% | 18 |
| Union | 3.1% | 92 | 4.2% | 97 |
| Vance | 6.2% | 3 | 8.2% | 4 |
| Wake | 3.0% | 97 | 4.3% | 91 |
| Warren | 6.2% | 3 | 8.3% | 3 |
| Washington | 5.2% | 10 | 7.2% | 11 |
| Watauga | 3.3% | 73 | 4.1% | 98 |
| Wayne | 4.0% | 34 | 5.3% | 42 |
| Wilkes | 3.8% | 40 | 5.1% | 52 |
| Wilson | 5.6% | 7 | 7.2% | 10 |
| Yadkin | 3.3% | 73 | 4.5% | 81 |
| Yancey | 3.5% | 60 | 4.4% | 87 |
| **Statewide** | **3.5%** | | **5.2%** | |

North Carolina Association of County Commissioners

# Average Weekly Wage

This map shows the average weekly wage in 2021 for each county's employed residents, based on the annual employment and total annual wages. This measure excludes a few categories of workers, including members of the armed forces, self-employed workers, and private household workers. Counties with higher average weekly wages are shown in green, counties with lower wages in tan.
Data Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics, Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages.





Table ranked from highest weekly wage to lowest.

| Rank | County | Rank | County | Rank | County | Rank | County | Rank | County |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Durham | 21 | Moore | 41 | Wayne | 61 | Stanly | 81 | Jones |
| 2 | Mecklenburg | 22 | Cabarrus | 42 | Swain | 62 | Duplin | 82 | Greene |
| 3 | Wake | 23 | Lee | 43 | Edgecombe | 63 | Graham | 83 | Currituck |
| 4 | Orange | 24 | Davidson | 44 | Sampson | 64 | Rockingham | 84 | Alexander |
| 5 | Iredell | 25 | Henderson | 45 | Washington | 65 | Rutherford | 85 | Halifax |
| 6 | Forsyth | 26 | Montgomery | 46 | Scotland | 66 | McDowell | 86 | Yancey |
| 7 | New Hanover | 27 | Nash | 47 | Burke | 67 | Robeson | 87 | Madison |
| 8 | Guilford | 28 | Gaston | 48 | Surry | 68 | Harnett | 88 | Perquimans |
| 9 | Pitt | 29 | Johnston | 49 | Northampton | 69 | Dare | 89 | Ashe |
| 10 | Rowan | 30 | Caldwell | 50 | Randolph | 70 | Macon | 90 | Clay |
| 11 | Union | 31 | Davie | 51 | Wilkes | 71 | Bladen | 91 | Cherokee |
| 12 | Buncombe | 32 | Brunswick | 52 | Chowan | 72 | Onslow | 92 | Avery |
| 13 | Catawba | 33 | Cleveland | 53 | Haywood | 73 | Carteret | 93 | Bertie |
| 14 | Granville | 34 | Hertford | 54 | Watauga | 74 | Gates | 94 | Hyde |
| 15 | Craven | 35 | Camden | 55 | Beaufort | 75 | Anson | 95 | Alleghany |
| 16 | Alamance | 36 | Person | 56 | Caswell | 76 | Pender | 96 | Warren |
| 17 | Wilson | 37 | Lenoir | 57 | Vance | 77 | Columbus | 97 | Tyrrell |
| 18 | Cumberland | 38 | Chatham | 58 | Transylvania | 78 | Hoke | 98 | Stokes |
| 19 | Franklin | 39 | Jackson | 59 | Yadkin | 79 | Richmond | 99 | Martin |
| 20 | Lincoln | 40 | Pasquotank | 60 | Mitchell | 80 | Polk | 100 | Pamlico |

**Weekly Wage**

- $1200 - $1600
- $910 - $1100
- $800 - $900
- $760 - $790
- $640 - $750

**North Carolina Association of County Commissioners**

Map Scale 1:3,750,000.

# Average Weekly Wage


This table shows the average weekly wage for employed residents in 2021 and in 2020 and each county's rank among the 100 counties (1 is highest). This measure excludes a few categories of workers, including members of the armed forces, self-employed workers, and private household workers. Source: US Bureau of Labor Statistics.

| | 2021 | | 2020 | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | $ | Rank | $ | Rank |
| Alamance | 938 | 16 | 884 | 20 |
| Alexander | 761 | 84 | 720 | 88 |
| Alleghany | 716 | 95 | 678 | 95 |
| Anson | 778 | 75 | 739 | 73 |
| Ashe | 753 | 89 | 737 | 76 |
| Avery | 740 | 92 | 711 | 89 |
| Beaufort | 806 | 55 | 782 | 47 |
| Bertie | 740 | 92 | 700 | 91 |
| Bladen | 781 | 71 | 775 | 50 |
| Brunswick | 873 | 32 | 825 | 31 |
| Buncombe | 971 | 12 | 928 | 12 |
| Burke | 820 | 47 | 774 | 51 |
| Cabarrus | 914 | 22 | 888 | 19 |
| Caldwell | 881 | 30 | 821 | 32 |
| Camden | 864 | 35 | 791 | 43 |
| Carteret | 779 | 73 | 738 | 74 |
| Caswell | 803 | 56 | 762 | 59 |
| Catawba | 964 | 13 | 897 | 17 |
| Chatham | 852 | 38 | 807 | 36 |
| Cherokee | 741 | 91 | 702 | 90 |
| Chowan | 811 | 52 | 762 | 59 |
| Clay | 752 | 90 | 678 | 95 |
| Cleveland | 869 | 33 | 836 | 29 |
| Columbus | 773 | 77 | 738 | 74 |
| Craven | 945 | 15 | 917 | 14 |
| Cumberland | 936 | 18 | 894 | 18 |
| Currituck | 762 | 83 | 734 | 81 |
| Dare | 783 | 69 | 735 | 78 |
| Davidson | 907 | 24 | 840 | 27 |
| Davie | 874 | 31 | 801 | 38 |
| Duplin | 792 | 62 | 754 | 64 |
| Durham | 1,599 | 1 | 1,534 | 1 |
| Edgecombe | 830 | 43 | 770 | 54 |
| Forsyth | 1,139 | 6 | 1,069 | 5 |
| Franklin | 932 | 19 | 910 | 16 |
| Gaston | 892 | 28 | 846 | 24 |
| Gates | 779 | 73 | 784 | 46 |
| Graham | 791 | 63 | 752 | 66 |
| Granville | 958 | 14 | 937 | 10 |
| Greene | 764 | 82 | 741 | 72 |
| Guilford | 1,069 | 8 | 1,017 | 7 |
| Halifax | 759 | 85 | 723 | 86 |
| Harnett | 786 | 68 | 751 | 67 |
| Haywood | 809 | 53 | 768 | 56 |
| Henderson | 903 | 25 | 833 | 30 |
| Hertford | 865 | 34 | 801 | 38 |
| Hoke | 772 | 78 | 731 | 83 |
| Hyde | 737 | 94 | 694 | 92 |
| Iredell | 1,157 | 5 | 1,053 | 6 |
| Jackson | 847 | 39 | 816 | 33 |
| Johnston | 890 | 29 | 852 | 23 |
| Jones | 768 | 81 | 721 | 87 |
| Lee | 910 | 23 | 845 | 26 |
| Lenoir | 854 | 37 | 812 | 34 |
| Lincoln | 923 | 20 | 857 | 22 |
| Macon | 782 | 70 | 727 | 85 |
| Madison | 756 | 87 | 734 | 81 |
| Martin | 680 | 99 | 657 | 100 |
| McDowell | 788 | 66 | 763 | 58 |
| Mecklenburg | 1,483 | 2 | 1,418 | 2 |
| Mitchell | 794 | 60 | 782 | 47 |
| Montgomery | 901 | 26 | 791 | 43 |
| Moore | 921 | 21 | 865 | 21 |
| Nash | 894 | 27 | 839 | 28 |
| New Hanover | 1,071 | 7 | 998 | 8 |
| Northampton | 816 | 49 | 792 | 42 |
| Onslow | 781 | 71 | 754 | 64 |
| Orange | 1,313 | 4 | 1,239 | 4 |
| Pamlico | 643 | 100 | 659 | 99 |
| Pasquotank | 847 | 39 | 809 | 35 |
| Pender | 778 | 75 | 746 | 71 |
| Perquimans | 754 | 88 | 729 | 84 |
| Person | 860 | 36 | 846 | 24 |
| Pitt | 994 | 9 | 949 | 9 |
| Polk | 769 | 80 | 690 | 94 |
| Randolph | 814 | 50 | 771 | 53 |
| Richmond | 770 | 79 | 735 | 78 |
| Robeson | 787 | 67 | 736 | 77 |
| Rockingham | 791 | 63 | 735 | 78 |
| Rowan | 982 | 10 | 924 | 13 |
| Rutherford | 790 | 65 | 748 | 68 |
| Sampson | 828 | 44 | 793 | 41 |
| Scotland | 824 | 46 | 785 | 45 |
| Stanly | 794 | 60 | 758 | 61 |
| Stokes | 699 | 98 | 675 | 97 |
| Surry | 818 | 48 | 776 | 49 |
| Swain | 832 | 42 | 755 | 63 |
| Transylvania | 795 | 58 | 747 | 69 |
| Tyrrell | 704 | 97 | 675 | 97 |
| Union | 982 | 10 | 931 | 11 |
| Vance | 802 | 57 | 756 | 62 |
| Wake | 1,331 | 3 | 1,251 | 3 |
| Warren | 707 | 96 | 692 | 93 |
| Washington | 825 | 45 | 802 | 37 |
| Watauga | 809 | 53 | 774 | 51 |
| Wayne | 839 | 41 | 799 | 40 |
| Wilkes | 812 | 51 | 769 | 55 |
| Wilson | 938 | 16 | 913 | 15 |
| Yadkin | 795 | 58 | 747 | 69 |
| Yancey | 757 | 86 | 765 | 57 |
| **Statewide** | **$1,146** | | **$1,081** | |

North Carolina Association of County Commissioners

# Tax Levy Per Capita

This map shows each county's total tax levy per capita for FY 2021-22 at 100% collection.
High levies per capita are shown in green, low in tan.
Data source: North Carolina Department of Revenue; North Carolina State Demographer's Office.




$1,200 - $1,827
$900 - $1,200
$750 - $900
$650 - $750
$491 - $650

Table ranked from highest levy per capita to lowest.

| Rank | County | Rank | County | Rank | County | Rank | County | Rank | County |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Dare | 21 | Polk | 41 | Iredell | 61 | Sampson | 81 | Vance |
| 2 | Hyde | 22 | Person | 42 | Lee | 62 | Granville | 82 | Rockingham |
| 3 | Tyrrell | 23 | Cabarrus | 43 | Johnston | 63 | Cleveland | 83 | Burke |
| 4 | Avery | 24 | Davie | 44 | Yancey | 64 | Richmond | 84 | Alamance |
| 5 | Transylvania | 25 | Macon | 45 | Anson | 65 | Columbus | 85 | Stanly |
| 6 | Currituck | 26 | Union | 46 | Martin | 66 | Stokes | 86 | Nash |
| 7 | Northampton | 27 | Pender | 47 | Gaston | 67 | Bertie | 87 | Cumberland |
| 8 | Orange | 28 | Bladen | 48 | Forsyth | 68 | Edgecombe | 88 | Hoke |
| 9 | Chatham | 29 | Beaufort | 49 | Gates | 69 | Catawba | 89 | Craven |
| 10 | Warren | 30 | Buncombe | 50 | Franklin | 70 | Cherokee | 90 | Caswell |
| 11 | Brunswick | 31 | Montgomery | 51 | Perquimans | 71 | Madison | 91 | Yadkin |
| 12 | Alleghany | 32 | Chowan | 52 | Rutherford | 72 | Caldwell | 92 | Wayne |
| 13 | Mecklenburg | 33 | Lincoln | 53 | Guilford | 73 | Lenoir | 93 | Davidson |
| 14 | Durham | 34 | Haywood | 54 | Duplin | 74 | Halifax | 94 | Surry |
| 15 | Camden | 35 | Carteret | 55 | Moore | 75 | Pasquotank | 95 | Harnett |
| 16 | New Hanover | 36 | Henderson | 56 | Mitchell | 76 | Rowan | 96 | Randolph |
| 17 | Wake | 37 | Ashe | 57 | Watauga | 77 | Pitt | 97 | Onslow |
| 18 | Pamlico | 38 | Clay | 58 | Hertford | 78 | McDowell | 98 | Robeson |
| 19 | Graham | 39 | Washington | 59 | Wilson | 79 | Alexander | 99 | Swain |
| 20 | Jackson | 40 | Jones | 60 | Scotland | 80 | Wilkes | 100 | Greene |

**North Carolina Association of County Commissioners**

Map Scale 1:3,750,000.
NCACC County Map Book, 2022. | 54

# Tax Levy Per Capita


This table shows each county's total tax levy per capita at a 100% collection rate for FY 2021-22 and FY 2020-21. It also shows each county's rank among the 100 counties; a rank of 1 indicates the highest tax levy per capita. Source: North Carolina Department of Revenue; North Carolina State Demographer's Office.

| | FY 2021-22 | | FY 2020-21 | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | $ | Rank | $ | Rank |
| Alamance | 594 | 84 | 586 | 84 |
| Alexander | 614 | 79 | 590 | 82 |
| Alleghany | 1,078 | 12 | 973 | 15 |
| Anson | 768 | 45 | 753 | 42 |
| Ashe | 814 | 37 | 703 | 58 |
| Avery | 1,326 | 4 | 1,286 | 4 |
| Beaufort | 864 | 29 | 899 | 19 |
| Bertie | 696 | 67 | 701 | 59 |
| Bladen | 880 | 28 | 850 | 24 |
| Brunswick | 1,084 | 11 | 1,061 | 10 |
| Buncombe | 861 | 30 | 800 | 33 |
| Burke | 606 | 83 | 586 | 83 |
| Cabarrus | 950 | 23 | 932 | 18 |
| Caldwell | 659 | 72 | 603 | 76 |
| Camden | 1,018 | 15 | 839 | 28 |
| Carteret | 822 | 35 | 802 | 32 |
| Caswell | 572 | 90 | 554 | 90 |
| Catawba | 690 | 69 | 676 | 64 |
| Chatham | 1,184 | 9 | 1,050 | 11 |
| Cherokee | 667 | 70 | 596 | 78 |
| Chowan | 856 | 32 | 830 | 30 |
| Clay | 804 | 38 | 783 | 35 |
| Cleveland | 719 | 63 | 693 | 61 |
| Columbus | 701 | 65 | 614 | 74 |
| Craven | 573 | 89 | 541 | 91 |
| Cumberland | 581 | 87 | 574 | 85 |
| Currituck | 1,272 | 6 | 1,140 | 7 |
| Dare | 1,828 | 1 | 1,821 | 1 |
| Davidson | 557 | 93 | 488 | 98 |
| Davie | 901 | 24 | 836 | 29 |
| Duplin | 736 | 54 | 704 | 57 |
| Durham | 1,030 | 14 | 994 | 14 |
| Edgecombe | 693 | 68 | 663 | 66 |
| Forsyth | 758 | 48 | 740 | 47 |
| Franklin | 754 | 50 | 732 | 50 |
| Gaston | 761 | 47 | 737 | 48 |
| Gates | 757 | 49 | 723 | 53 |
| Graham | 979 | 19 | 961 | 16 |
| Granville | 719 | 62 | 630 | 70 |
| Greene | 491 | 100 | 452 | 99 |
| Guilford | 736 | 53 | 723 | 52 |
| Halifax | 653 | 74 | 629 | 71 |
| Harnett | 540 | 95 | 521 | 93 |
| Haywood | 827 | 34 | 733 | 49 |
| Henderson | 816 | 36 | 795 | 34 |
| Hertford | 729 | 58 | 664 | 65 |
| Hoke | 573 | 88 | 555 | 89 |
| Hyde | 1,665 | 2 | 1,617 | 2 |
| Iredell | 784 | 41 | 772 | 39 |
| Jackson | 957 | 20 | 872 | 22 |
| Johnston | 781 | 43 | 771 | 40 |
| Jones | 793 | 40 | 751 | 43 |
| Lee | 782 | 42 | 749 | 45 |
| Lenoir | 657 | 73 | 639 | 68 |
| Lincoln | 838 | 33 | 783 | 36 |
| Macon | 895 | 25 | 829 | 31 |
| Madison | 659 | 71 | 636 | 69 |
| Martin | 765 | 46 | 748 | 46 |
| McDowell | 620 | 78 | 605 | 75 |
| Mecklenburg | 1,063 | 13 | 1,049 | 12 |
| Mitchell | 732 | 56 | 721 | 54 |
| Montgomery | 859 | 31 | 839 | 27 |
| Moore | 735 | 55 | 721 | 55 |
| Nash | 584 | 86 | 566 | 86 |
| New Hanover | 1,003 | 16 | 883 | 21 |
| Northampton | 1,235 | 7 | 1,190 | 5 |
| Onslow | 528 | 97 | 512 | 95 |
| Orange | 1,198 | 8 | 1,124 | 8 |
| Pamlico | 993 | 18 | 958 | 17 |
| Pasquotank | 644 | 75 | 642 | 67 |
| Pender | 884 | 27 | 844 | 26 |
| Perquimans | 750 | 51 | 749 | 44 |
| Person | 951 | 22 | 850 | 23 |
| Pitt | 624 | 77 | 599 | 77 |
| Polk | 957 | 21 | 890 | 20 |
| Randolph | 536 | 96 | 527 | 92 |
| Richmond | 704 | 64 | 773 | 38 |
| Robeson | 518 | 98 | 491 | 97 |
| Rockingham | 611 | 82 | 596 | 79 |
| Rowan | 633 | 76 | 622 | 73 |
| Rutherford | 744 | 52 | 724 | 51 |
| Sampson | 720 | 61 | 699 | 60 |
| Scotland | 721 | 60 | 689 | 63 |
| Stanly | 588 | 85 | 556 | 87 |
| Stokes | 696 | 66 | 625 | 72 |
| Surry | 542 | 94 | 519 | 94 |
| Swain | 511 | 99 | 439 | 100 |
| Transylvania | 1,281 | 5 | 1,166 | 6 |
| Tyrrell | 1,381 | 3 | 1,300 | 3 |
| Union | 887 | 26 | 847 | 25 |
| Vance | 612 | 81 | 592 | 81 |
| Wake | 1,003 | 17 | 996 | 13 |
| Warren | 1,123 | 10 | 1,083 | 9 |
| Washington | 799 | 39 | 780 | 37 |
| Watauga | 730 | 57 | 710 | 56 |
| Wayne | 565 | 92 | 510 | 96 |
| Wilkes | 612 | 80 | 593 | 80 |
| Wilson | 728 | 59 | 693 | 62 |
| Yadkin | 569 | 91 | 555 | 88 |
| Yancey | 775 | 44 | 764 | 41 |
| | | | | |
| **Statewide** | **$822** | | **$794** | |

North Carolina Association of County Commissioners

# Property Tax Rate

This map shows county property tax rates per $100 valuation for FY 2021-22. The Statewide weighted average property tax rate for FY 2021-22 was 0.6272 per $100 valuation.
Counties with higher property tax rates are shown in green, counties with lower rates in tan.
Data source: NC Department of Revenue.




0.3300 — 1.0000

Table ranked from highest tax rate to lowest.

| Rank | County | Rank | County | Rank | County | Rank | County | Rank | County |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Scotland | 21 | Franklin | 40 | Wilson | 61 | Caldwell | 81 | Avery |
| 2 | Edgecombe | 21 | Hyde | 42 | Durham | 62 | Beaufort | 82 | Davidson |
| 2 | Tyrrell | 23 | Alexander | 43 | Person | 62 | Pamlico | 83 | Iredell |
| 4 | Northampton | 23 | Gates | 44 | Wayne | 64 | Lincoln | 84 | Haywood |
| 5 | Vance | 25 | Greene | 45 | Onslow | 65 | Mecklenburg | 85 | Polk |
| 6 | Camden | 26 | Anson | 46 | Burke | 66 | Montgomery | 86 | Ashe |
| 7 | Bertie | 27 | Pasquotank | 46 | Rockingham | 67 | Perquimans | 86 | Moore |
| 8 | Washington | 27 | Robeson | 48 | Cleveland | 67 | Stanly | 88 | Cherokee |
| 9 | Lenoir | 29 | Halifax | 49 | Pitt | 69 | Transylvania | 88 | Madison |
| 10 | Granville | 29 | Lee | 50 | Forsyth | 70 | Wake | 90 | Buncombe |
| 10 | Hertford | 31 | Chowan | 51 | Nash | 70 | Yancey | 91 | Brunswick |
| 12 | Gaston | 32 | Harnett | 52 | Chatham | 72 | Alleghany | 92 | New Hanover |
| 12 | Richmond | 32 | Hoke | 53 | Alamance | 72 | Rutherford | 93 | Currituck |
| 14 | Sampson | 32 | Jones | 53 | Stokes | 74 | Union | 94 | Clay |
| 15 | Bladen | 35 | Cabarrus | 53 | Wilkes | 75 | Mitchell | 95 | Watauga |
| 16 | Orange | 36 | Caswell | 53 | Yadkin | 76 | McDowell | 96 | Dare |
| 17 | Martin | 36 | Duplin | 57 | Rowan | 77 | Catawba | 97 | Macon |
| 17 | Warren | 38 | Davie | 58 | Graham | 78 | Henderson | 98 | Jackson |
| 19 | Columbus | 39 | Guilford | 59 | Pender | 79 | Craven | 98 | Swain |
| 20 | Cumberland | 40 | Johnston | 60 | Randolph | 80 | Surry | 100 | Carteret |

**North Carolina Association of County Commissioners**

Map Scale 1:3,750,000.
NCACC County Map Book, 2022. | 56

# Property Tax Rate

This table shows county property tax rates per $100 valuation for FY 2021-22 and FY 2020-21 and each county's rank among the 100 counties; a rank of 1 indicates the highest property tax rate. Source: North Carolina Department of Revenue.

| | FY 2021-22 | | FY 2020-21 | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | ¢ | Rank | ¢ | Rank |
| Alamance | 0.6600 | 53 | 0.6700 | 51 |
| Alexander | 0.7900 | 23 | 0.7900 | 21 |
| Alleghany | 0.5970 | 72 | 0.5970 | 72 |
| Anson | 0.7770 | 26 | 0.7770 | 24 |
| Ashe | 0.5100 | 86 | 0.4430 | 93 |
| Avery | 0.5500 | 81 | 0.5500 | 82 |
| Beaufort | 0.6250 | 62 | 0.6350 | 63 |
| Bertie | 0.8650 | 7 | 0.8650 | 7 |
| Bladen | 0.8200 | 15 | 0.8200 | 15 |
| Brunswick | 0.4850 | 91 | 0.4850 | 90 |
| Buncombe | 0.4880 | 90 | 0.5290 | 87 |
| Burke | 0.6950 | 46 | 0.6950 | 48 |
| Cabarrus | 0.7400 | 35 | 0.7400 | 36 |
| Caldwell | 0.6300 | 61 | 0.6300 | 65 |
| Camden | 0.8700 | 6 | 0.7400 | 36 |
| Carteret | 0.3300 | 100 | 0.3300 | 100 |
| Caswell | 0.7350 | 36 | 0.7350 | 39 |
| Catawba | 0.5750 | 77 | 0.5750 | 79 |
| Chatham | 0.6650 | 52 | 0.6700 | 51 |
| Cherokee | 0.5000 | 88 | 0.4600 | 92 |
| Chowan | 0.7550 | 31 | 0.7550 | 32 |
| Clay | 0.4300 | 94 | 0.4300 | 94 |
| Cleveland | 0.6875 | 48 | 0.7200 | 44 |
| Columbus | 0.8050 | 19 | 0.8050 | 18 |
| Craven | 0.5600 | 79 | 0.5494 | 83 |
| Cumberland | 0.7990 | 20 | 0.7990 | 20 |
| Currituck | 0.4600 | 93 | 0.4800 | 91 |
| Dare | 0.4005 | 96 | 0.4005 | 96 |
| Davidson | 0.5400 | 82 | 0.5400 | 85 |
| Davie | 0.7330 | 38 | 0.7380 | 38 |
| Duplin | 0.7350 | 36 | 0.7350 | 39 |
| Durham | 0.7222 | 42 | 0.7122 | 46 |
| Edgecombe | 0.9500 | 2 | 0.9500 | 2 |
| Forsyth | 0.6778 | 50 | 0.7435 | 35 |
| Franklin | 0.7950 | 21 | 0.8050 | 18 |
| Gaston | 0.8300 | 12 | 0.8300 | 12 |
| Gates | 0.7900 | 23 | 0.7900 | 21 |
| Graham | 0.6500 | 58 | 0.6500 | 60 |
| Granville | 0.8400 | 10 | 0.8400 | 10 |
| Greene | 0.7860 | 25 | 0.7860 | 23 |
| Guilford | 0.7305 | 39 | 0.7305 | 42 |
| Halifax | 0.7600 | 29 | 0.7600 | 30 |
| Harnett | 0.7500 | 32 | 0.7500 | 33 |
| Haywood | 0.5350 | 84 | 0.5850 | 76 |
| Henderson | 0.5610 | 78 | 0.5610 | 80 |
| Hertford | 0.8400 | 10 | 0.8400 | 10 |
| Hoke | 0.7500 | 32 | 0.7500 | 33 |
| Hyde | 0.7950 | 21 | 0.7700 | 26 |
| Iredell | 0.5375 | 83 | 0.5375 | 86 |
| Jackson | 0.3600 | 98 | 0.3800 | 97 |
| Johnston | 0.7300 | 40 | 0.7600 | 30 |
| Jones | 0.7500 | 32 | 0.7700 | 26 |
| Lee | 0.7600 | 29 | 0.7750 | 25 |
| Lenoir | 0.8450 | 9 | 0.8450 | 9 |
| Lincoln | 0.6190 | 64 | 0.5990 | 71 |
| Macon | 0.4000 | 97 | 0.3747 | 98 |
| Madison | 0.5000 | 88 | 0.5000 | 89 |
| Martin | 0.8100 | 17 | 0.8100 | 16 |
| McDowell | 0.5775 | 76 | 0.5875 | 75 |
| Mecklenburg | 0.6169 | 65 | 0.6169 | 68 |
| Mitchell | 0.5800 | 75 | 0.5800 | 78 |
| Montgomery | 0.6150 | 66 | 0.6200 | 67 |
| Moore | 0.5100 | 86 | 0.5100 | 88 |
| Nash | 0.6700 | 51 | 0.6700 | 51 |
| New Hanover | 0.4750 | 92 | 0.5550 | 81 |
| Northampton | 0.9050 | 4 | 0.9100 | 4 |
| Onslow | 0.7050 | 45 | 0.7050 | 47 |
| Orange | 0.8187 | 16 | 0.8679 | 6 |
| Pamlico | 0.6250 | 62 | 0.6250 | 66 |
| Pasquotank | 0.7700 | 27 | 0.7700 | 26 |
| Pender | 0.6450 | 59 | 0.6450 | 61 |
| Perquimans | 0.6100 | 67 | 0.5900 | 74 |
| Person | 0.7200 | 43 | 0.7200 | 44 |
| Pitt | 0.6841 | 49 | 0.6797 | 50 |
| Polk | 0.5143 | 85 | 0.5494 | 83 |
| Randolph | 0.6327 | 60 | 0.6327 | 64 |
| Richmond | 0.8300 | 12 | 0.8300 | 12 |
| Robeson | 0.7700 | 27 | 0.7700 | 26 |
| Rockingham | 0.6950 | 46 | 0.6950 | 48 |
| Rowan | 0.6575 | 57 | 0.6575 | 59 |
| Rutherford | 0.5970 | 72 | 0.5970 | 72 |
| Sampson | 0.8250 | 14 | 0.8250 | 14 |
| Scotland | 1.0000 | 1 | 1.0000 | 1 |
| Stanly | 0.6100 | 67 | 0.6700 | 51 |
| Stokes | 0.6600 | 53 | 0.6600 | 56 |
| Surry | 0.5520 | 80 | 0.5820 | 77 |
| Swain | 0.3600 | 98 | 0.3600 | 99 |
| Transylvania | 0.6033 | 69 | 0.6360 | 62 |
| Tyrrell | 0.9500 | 2 | 0.9400 | 3 |
| Union | 0.5880 | 74 | 0.7309 | 41 |
| Vance | 0.8900 | 5 | 0.8900 | 5 |
| Wake | 0.6000 | 70 | 0.6000 | 69 |
| Warren | 0.8100 | 17 | 0.8100 | 16 |
| Washington | 0.8500 | 8 | 0.8550 | 8 |
| Watauga | 0.4030 | 95 | 0.4030 | 95 |
| Wayne | 0.7075 | 44 | 0.6635 | 55 |
| Wilkes | 0.6600 | 53 | 0.6600 | 56 |
| Wilson | 0.7300 | 40 | 0.7300 | 43 |
| Yadkin | 0.6600 | 53 | 0.6600 | 56 |
| Yancey | 0.6000 | 70 | 0.6000 | 69 |
| **Weighted Avg.** | **0.6072** | | **0.6391** | |

North Carolina Association of County Commissioners

# Taxable Property Per Capita

This map shows each county's total assessed property valuation on a per capita basis for FY 2021-22.
North Carolina counties have $1.38 trillion in taxable property with a Statewide average of $131,137 per capita.
Counties with higher valuation per capita are shown in green, counties with lower valuation per capita in tan.
Data Source: North Carolina Department of Revenue; North Carolina Office of State Management and Budget





Table ranked from highest valuation to lowest.

| Rank | County | Rank | County | Rank | County | Rank | County | Rank | County |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Dare | 21 | Haywood | 41 | Cabarrus | 61 | Duplin | 81 | Yadkin |
| 2 | Currituck | 22 | Union | 42 | Mitchell | 62 | Wilson | 82 | Halifax |
| 3 | Jackson | 23 | Graham | 43 | Rutherford | 63 | Anson | 83 | Granville |
| 4 | Carteret | 24 | Orange | 44 | Perquimans | 64 | Surry | 84 | Richmond |
| 5 | Avery | 25 | Iredell | 45 | Davie | 65 | Stanly | 85 | Randolph |
| 6 | Macon | 26 | Henderson | 46 | Catawba | 66 | Rowan | 86 | Pasquotank |
| 7 | Brunswick | 27 | Tyrrell | 47 | Camden | 67 | Gates | 87 | Bertie |
| 8 | Transylvania | 28 | Moore | 48 | Chowan | 68 | Franklin | 88 | Wayne |
| 9 | New Hanover | 29 | Durham | 49 | Forsyth | 69 | Martin | 89 | Caswell |
| 10 | Hyde | 30 | Swain | 50 | McDowell | 70 | Washington | 90 | Lenoir |
| 11 | Clay | 31 | Montgomery | 51 | Bladen | 71 | Wilkes | 91 | Alexander |
| 12 | Polk | 32 | Warren | 52 | Johnston | 72 | Gaston | 92 | Hoke |
| 13 | Watauga | 33 | Beaufort | 53 | Jones | 73 | Pitt | 93 | Onslow |
| 14 | Alleghany | 34 | Pender | 54 | Stokes | 74 | Alamance | 94 | Edgecombe |
| 15 | Chatham | 35 | Northampton | 55 | Caldwell | 75 | Rockingham | 95 | Cumberland |
| 16 | Buncombe | 36 | Lincoln | 56 | Cleveland | 76 | Burke | 96 | Scotland |
| 17 | Mecklenburg | 37 | Cherokee | 57 | Davidson | 77 | Sampson | 97 | Harnett |
| 18 | Wake | 38 | Person | 58 | Lee | 78 | Nash | 98 | Vance |
| 19 | Ashe | 39 | Madison | 59 | Craven | 79 | Columbus | 99 | Robeson |
| 20 | Pamlico | 40 | Yancey | 60 | Guilford | 80 | Hertford | 100 | Greene |

**Valuation (in thousands)**

- $218 - $456
- $133 - $218
- $103 - $133
- $92 - $103
- $62 - $92

**North Carolina Association of County Commissioners**

Map Scale 1:3,750,000.

# Taxable Property Per Capita

This table shows each county's total assessed property valuation on a per capita basis for FY 2021-22 and FY 2020-21 and each county's rank among the 100 counties; a rank of 1 indicates the highest level of taxable property per capita. Source: North Carolina Department of Revenue; North Carolina State Demographer's Office.

| | FY 2021-22 | | FY 2020-21 | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | $ | Rank | $ | Rank |
| Alamance | 90,027 | 74 | 87,456 | 74 |
| Alexander | 77,730 | 91 | 74,682 | 91 |
| Alleghany | 180,610 | 14 | 163,059 | 14 |
| Anson | 98,834 | 63 | 96,934 | 56 |
| Ashe | 159,677 | 19 | 158,651 | 17 |
| Avery | 241,038 | 5 | 233,849 | 4 |
| Beaufort | 138,282 | 33 | 141,556 | 24 |
| Bertie | 80,427 | 87 | 81,081 | 84 |
| Bladen | 107,301 | 51 | 103,609 | 49 |
| Brunswick | 223,514 | 7 | 218,812 | 7 |
| Buncombe | 176,373 | 16 | 151,204 | 20 |
| Burke | 87,238 | 76 | 84,367 | 78 |
| Cabarrus | 128,417 | 41 | 125,926 | 38 |
| Caldwell | 104,615 | 55 | 95,640 | 60 |
| Camden | 117,036 | 47 | 113,346 | 46 |
| Carteret | 249,064 | 4 | 242,940 | 2 |
| Caswell | 77,836 | 89 | 75,389 | 89 |
| Catawba | 120,012 | 46 | 117,556 | 44 |
| Chatham | 177,971 | 15 | 156,767 | 18 |
| Cherokee | 133,446 | 37 | 129,602 | 33 |
| Chowan | 113,366 | 48 | 109,953 | 48 |
| Clay | 186,907 | 11 | 182,131 | 10 |
| Cleveland | 104,603 | 56 | 96,252 | 58 |
| Columbus | 87,058 | 79 | 76,318 | 87 |
| Craven | 102,361 | 59 | 98,447 | 54 |
| Cumberland | 72,732 | 95 | 71,797 | 94 |
| Currituck | 276,585 | 2 | 237,398 | 3 |
| Dare | 456,425 | 1 | 454,638 | 1 |
| Davidson | 103,186 | 57 | 90,416 | 69 |
| Davie | 122,951 | 45 | 113,283 | 47 |
| Duplin | 100,113 | 61 | 95,789 | 59 |
| Durham | 142,639 | 29 | 139,500 | 26 |
| Edgecombe | 72,950 | 94 | 69,822 | 95 |
| Forsyth | 111,785 | 49 | 99,477 | 52 |
| Franklin | 94,889 | 68 | 90,898 | 68 |
| Gaston | 91,670 | 72 | 88,752 | 72 |
| Gates | 95,845 | 67 | 91,497 | 66 |
| Graham | 150,541 | 23 | 147,864 | 21 |
| Granville | 85,614 | 83 | 75,013 | 90 |
| Greene | 62,493 | 100 | 57,530 | 100 |
| Guilford | 100,799 | 60 | 98,952 | 53 |
| Halifax | 85,889 | 82 | 82,729 | 83 |
| Harnett | 71,958 | 97 | 69,450 | 96 |
| Haywood | 154,510 | 21 | 125,343 | 39 |
| Henderson | 145,381 | 26 | 141,751 | 23 |
| Hertford | 86,771 | 80 | 79,012 | 85 |
| Hoke | 76,453 | 92 | 73,969 | 92 |
| Hyde | 209,394 | 10 | 210,051 | 8 |
| Iredell | 145,948 | 25 | 143,715 | 22 |
| Jackson | 265,782 | 3 | 229,580 | 5 |
| Johnston | 107,044 | 52 | 101,386 | 51 |
| Jones | 105,744 | 53 | 97,515 | 55 |
| Lee | 102,939 | 58 | 96,599 | 57 |
| Lenoir | 77,773 | 90 | 75,599 | 88 |
| Lincoln | 135,438 | 36 | 130,679 | 32 |
| Macon | 223,853 | 6 | 221,249 | 6 |
| Madison | 131,846 | 39 | 127,170 | 36 |
| Martin | 94,438 | 69 | 92,387 | 65 |
| McDowell | 107,408 | 50 | 102,985 | 50 |
| Mecklenburg | 172,358 | 17 | 170,103 | 12 |
| Mitchell | 126,280 | 42 | 124,379 | 40 |
| Montgomery | 139,740 | 31 | 136,495 | 28 |
| Moore | 144,132 | 28 | 141,356 | 25 |
| Nash | 87,158 | 78 | 84,416 | 77 |
| New Hanover | 211,241 | 9 | 159,174 | 16 |
| Northampton | 136,429 | 35 | 130,727 | 31 |
| Onslow | 74,847 | 93 | 72,602 | 93 |
| Orange | 146,274 | 24 | 129,499 | 34 |
| Pamlico | 158,910 | 20 | 153,345 | 19 |
| Pasquotank | 83,638 | 86 | 83,364 | 80 |
| Pender | 137,084 | 34 | 130,866 | 30 |
| Perquimans | 123,011 | 44 | 127,032 | 37 |
| Person | 132,035 | 38 | 118,002 | 43 |
| Pitt | 91,248 | 73 | 88,086 | 73 |
| Polk | 186,010 | 12 | 161,961 | 15 |
| Randolph | 84,784 | 85 | 83,249 | 81 |
| Richmond | 84,804 | 84 | 93,084 | 64 |
| Robeson | 67,303 | 99 | 63,716 | 99 |
| Rockingham | 87,970 | 75 | 85,731 | 75 |
| Rowan | 96,291 | 66 | 94,548 | 63 |
| Rutherford | 124,542 | 43 | 121,327 | 42 |
| Sampson | 87,229 | 77 | 84,785 | 76 |
| Scotland | 72,079 | 96 | 68,911 | 97 |
| Stanly | 96,442 | 65 | 82,996 | 82 |
| Stokes | 105,472 | 54 | 94,733 | 62 |
| Surry | 98,134 | 64 | 89,193 | 71 |
| Swain | 141,906 | 30 | 121,833 | 41 |
| Transylvania | 212,282 | 8 | 183,283 | 9 |
| Tyrrell | 145,316 | 27 | 138,306 | 27 |
| Union | 150,872 | 22 | 115,841 | 45 |
| Vance | 68,725 | 98 | 66,484 | 98 |
| Wake | 167,208 | 18 | 166,025 | 13 |
| Warren | 138,618 | 32 | 133,750 | 29 |
| Washington | 94,032 | 70 | 91,203 | 67 |
| Watauga | 181,233 | 13 | 176,203 | 11 |
| Wayne | 79,923 | 88 | 76,857 | 86 |
| Wilkes | 92,695 | 71 | 89,902 | 70 |
| Wilson | 99,679 | 62 | 94,885 | 61 |
| Yadkin | 86,285 | 81 | 84,061 | 79 |
| Yancey | 129,219 | 40 | 127,376 | 35 |
| | | | | |
| **Statewide** | **$131,137** | | **$124,174** | |

North Carolina Association of County Commissioners

# Local Option Sales Taxes

This map shows local sales and use tax rates as of July 1, 2022. All counties levy the first 2¢ of local option sales taxes. Some also levy Article 43 (the optional quarter cent) and/or Article 46 (the transit tax). Counties are colored according to tax bracket.
Data source: North Carolina Department of Revenue.





Table shows the total sales and use tax rate in each county.

| County | Tax | County | Tax | County | Tax | County | Tax | County | Tax |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Alamance | 6.75% | Chowan | 6.75% | Guilford | 6.75% | Mitchell | 6.75% | Rutherford | 7.00% |
| Alexander | 7.00% | Clay | 7.00% | Halifax | 7.00% | Montgomery | 7.00% | Sampson | 7.00% |
| Alleghany | 6.75% | Cleveland | 6.75% | Harnett | 7.00% | Moore | 7.00% | Scotland | 6.75% |
| Anson | 7.00% | Columbus | 6.75% | Haywood | 7.00% | Nash | 6.75% | Stanly | 7.00% |
| Ashe | 7.00% | Craven | 6.75% | Henderson | 6.75% | New Hanover | 7.00% | Stokes | 6.75% |
| Avery | 6.75% | Cumberland | 7.00% | Hertford | 7.00% | Northampton | 6.75% | Surry | 7.00% |
| Beaufort | 6.75% | Currituck | 6.75% | Hoke | 6.75% | Onslow | 7.00% | Swain | 7.00% |
| Bertie | 7.00% | Dare | 6.75% | Hyde | 6.75% | Orange | 7.50% | Transylvania | 6.75% |
| Bladen | 6.75% | Davidson | 7.00% | Iredell | 6.75% | Pamlico | 6.75% | Tyrrell | 6.75% |
| Brunswick | 6.75% | Davie | 6.75% | Jackson | 7.00% | Pasquotank | 7.00% | Union | 6.75% |
| Buncombe | 7.00% | Duplin | 7.00% | Johnston | 6.75% | Pender | 6.75% | Vance | 6.75% |
| Burke | 6.75% | Durham | 7.50% | Jones | 7.00% | Perquimans | 6.75% | Wake | 7.25% |
| Cabarrus | 7.00% | Edgecombe | 7.00% | Lee | 7.00% | Person | 6.75% | Warren | 6.75% |
| Caldwell | 6.75% | Forsyth | 7.00% | Lenoir | 6.75% | Pitt | 7.00% | Washington | 6.75% |
| Camden | 6.75% | Franklin | 6.75% | Lincoln | 7.00% | Polk | 6.75% | Watauga | 6.75% |
| Carteret | 6.75% | Gaston | 7.00% | Macon | 6.75% | Randolph | 7.00% | Wayne | 6.75% |
| Caswell | 6.75% | Gates | 6.75% | Madison | 7.00% | Richmond | 6.75% | Wilkes | 7.00% |
| Catawba | 7.00% | Graham | 7.00% | Martin | 7.00% | Robeson | 7.00% | Wilson | 6.75% |
| Chatham | 7.00% | Granville | 6.75% | McDowell | 6.75% | Rockingham | 7.00% | Yadkin | 6.75% |
| Cherokee | 7.00% | Greene | 7.00% | Mecklenburg | 7.25% | Rowan | 7.00% | Yancey | 6.75% |

**Sales and Use Tax Rate**

- 7.50% (Local: 2.75%)
- 7.25% (Local: 2.5%)
- 7.00% (Local: 2.25%)
- 6.75% (Local: 2%)

**North Carolina Association of County Commissioners**

Map Scale 1:3,750,000.
NCACC County Map Book, 2022. | 60

# Local Option Sales Taxes

This table summarizes the local sales taxes available to counties under G.S. 105, Articles 39 through 46.

| Article | Rate | Distribution | Restrictions | Shared with Towns | Counties Levying | Notes |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Article 39 | 1% (1¢) | Point of Sale | None. | Yes | 100 counties | |
| Article 40 | 0.5% (1/2 ¢) | Per Capita | Counties must use 30% on school capital. | Yes | 100 counties | Jointly, these 3 articles are usually called "the First 2¢." |
| Article 42 | 0.5% (1/2 ¢) | Point of Sale | Counties must use 60% on school capital. | Yes | 100 counties | |
| Article 43 | 0.25% (1/4 ¢) or 0.5% (1/2¢) | Point of Sale | 100% to Transit. | Yes, if a city runs a transit system. | 4 counties | Rate is 0.5% for Durham, Forsyth, Guilford, Mecklenburg, Orange & Wake; 0.25% in all other counties. |
| Article 46 | 0.25% (1/4 ¢) | Point of Sale | None. | No | 46 counties | |

This table summaries the sales tax rate in each North Carolina county and shows which Articles of sales tax each county levies. When local taxes are added to the State sales tax rate of 4.75%, total sales taxes range from 6.75% to 7.50%.

| Tax Rate | Counties (as of July 1, 2022) |
|---|---|
| **6.75%**<br>4.75% State;<br>2.00% First 2¢ | Alamance, Alleghany, Avery, Beaufort, Bladen, Brunswick, Burke, Caldwell, Camden, Carteret, Caswell, Chowan, Cleveland, Columbus, Craven, Currituck, Dare, Davie, Franklin, Gates, Granville, Guilford, Henderson, Hoke, Hyde, Iredell, Johnston, Lenoir, Macon, McDowell, Mitchell, Nash, Northampton, Pamlico, Pender, Perquimans, Person, Polk, Richmond, Scotland, Stokes, Transylvania, Tyrrell, Union, Vance, Warren, Washington, Watauga, Wayne, Wilson, Yadkin, Yancey |
| **7.00%**<br>4.75% State;<br>2.00% First 2¢;<br>0.25% Art. 46 | Alexander, Anson, Ashe, Bertie, Buncombe, Cabarrus, Catawba, Chatham, Cherokee, Clay, Cumberland, Davidson, Duplin, Edgecombe, Forsyth, Gaston, Graham, Greene, Halifax, Harnett, Haywood, Hertford, Jackson, Jones, Lee, Lincoln, Madison, Martin, Montgomery, Moore, New Hanover, Onslow, Pasquotank, Pitt, Randolph, Robeson, Rockingham, Rowan, Rutherford, Sampson, Stanly, Surry, Swain, Wilkes |
| **7.25%**<br>4.75% State;<br>2.00% First 2¢;<br>0.50% Art. 43 | Mecklenburg and Wake |
| **7.50%**<br>4.75% State;<br>2.00% First 2¢;<br>0.50% Art. 43; 0.25% Art. 46 | Durham and Orange |




**North Carolina Association of County Commissioners**

323 West Jones St., Suite 500
Raleigh, NC 27603

(919) 715-2893
www.ncacc.org

© 2022 North Carolina Association of County Commissioners